# **Laboratory Markers of Inflammation: CRP and ESR in Clinical Practice**

Alkhadaa, Saker Faiad M <sup>1</sup>, Wafi Mazi A Alshammri <sup>2</sup>, Abdullah Ali Alruwaili <sup>3</sup>, Asrar Jamaan Mohammed Alzahrani <sup>4</sup>, Alshammari,Ahmad Mohammad D <sup>5</sup>, Alazmi, Adnan Saud Y <sup>6</sup>, Khalid Ahmad Al Luhaybi <sup>7</sup>, Mona Owaidha Alatawi <sup>8</sup>, Ali Sakran Ali Alruwaili <sup>9</sup>, Zaunab Ahmed Alsaba <sup>10</sup>

- 1- Laboratory Specialist, Eradah Complex for Mental Health, Al-Qurayyat, Saudi Arabia
- 2- Laboratory Specialist, Maternity and Children's Hospital in Al-Jouf, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia
  - 3- Laboratory Specialist, Jadidat Arar Hospital, Saudi Arabia
  - 4- Laboratory Specialist, King Abdulaziz Almhajar Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
    - 5- Laboratory Specialist, North Medical Tower at Arar in Saudi Arabia
    - 6- Laboratory Specialist, King Salman Specialist Hospital, Hail, Saudi Arabia
    - 7- Laboratory Technician, Shmeisy Medical Complex, Makkah, Saudi Arabia
- 8- Laboratory Technician, Al-Khalidiyah Primary Health Care Center, Tabuk Health Cluster, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia
  - 9- Laboratory Technician, Irada Hospital for Mental Health, Sakaka, Al-Jawf, Saudi Arabia 10- Laboratory Technician, Qatif Central Hospital, Qatif, Saudi Arabia

#### Abstract:

C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) are two widely used laboratory markers for detecting inflammation in clinical practice. CRP is an acute-phase reactant produced by the liver in response to inflammatory cytokines, particularly interleukin-6 (IL-6). It rises quickly within hours of an inflammatory stimulus, making it a sensitive marker for acute inflammation, infection, and tissue injury. Elevated CRP levels can indicate various conditions, such as systemic infections, autoimmune diseases, and inflammatory disorders, guiding clinicians in diagnosing and monitoring responses to treatment. It is particularly valuable due to its rapid response to changes in the inflammatory state, providing real-time insights into a patient's condition. In contrast, the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) reflects the rate at which red blood cells settle in a vertical column of blood and is influenced by the presence of acute-phase proteins like fibrinogen that increase during inflammation. Although ESR is a useful marker for chronic inflammation, its slower response time—often taking hours to days to elevate—limits its utility in acute settings. ESF can be influenced by several factors, including age, gender, and the presence of anemia. Despite these limitations, both CRP and ESR are valuable tools in clinical practice when interpreted together with clinical findings and other diagnostic tests, enhancing the understanding of a patient's inflammatory status.

**Keywords:** C-reactive protein (CRP), Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), Inflammation, Acute-phase reactants, Cytokines, Diagnosis, Monitoring, Autoimmune diseases, Systemic infections, Chronic inflammation.

#### **Introduction:**

Inflammation is a fundamental biological response to harmful stimuli, such as pathogens, damaged cells, or irritants. It is a complex process that involves the activation of the immune system and can be classified as either acute or chronic. While acute inflammation is a protective response that resolves after the elimination of offending agents, chronic inflammation can lead to tissue damage and is associated with a variety of diseases, including autoimmune disorders, cardiovascular diseases, and

cancer. To assess the extent and presence of inflammation, clinicians often rely on laboratory markers, with C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) being the most commonly used in clinical practice [1].

C-reactive protein, a pentameric protein produced by the liver in response to inflammatory cytokines, notably interleukin-6 (IL-6), has garnered considerable attention as a sensitive marker of systemic inflammation. Its concentration in the bloodstream can increase dramatically during inflammatory states, often within hours of the initiating inflammatory event. The rapid response time of CRP makes it particularly valuable in acute clinical settings, where timely diagnosis and subsequent intervention are crucial. Elevated CRP levels can indicate the presence of various conditions, ranging from infections to chronic inflammatory diseases, and they may also be correlated with disease severity, prognosis, and response to therapy [2].

On the other hand, the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) measures the rate at which red blood cells settle in a vertical column of anticoagulated blood over a specified period, typically one hour. The ESR is influenced by various factors including the concentration of fibrinogen and other acute phase reactants, which increase during inflammation. Although ESR is a non-specific marker of inflammation, it serves as a useful tool in clinical practice for assessing the presence of inflammatory conditions, gauging disease activity, and monitoring treatment response. However, its utility can be limited by the fact that it is affected by various physiological variables, such as age, gender, and anemia, thus potentially complicating interpretation in certain patient populations [3].

The clinical applications of CRP and ESR extend beyond individual patient assessment; they are also instrumental in research settings, guiding the understanding of pathophysiological mechanisms underlying inflammatory diseases. As chronic inflammation is implicated in a range of conditions, including cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and neurodegenerative conditions, the study of these laboratory markers has profound implications for public health. By elucidating the relationships between chronic inflammatory markers and these diseases, researchers hope to develop novel therapeutic strategies aimed at modulation of the inflammatory response [4].

Despite their importance, both CRP and ESR have limitations that healthcare professionals must consider. CRP levels, while highly sensitive, may not differentiate between the types of inflammation or indicate the underlying cause. For example, both infectious and noninfectious inflammatory processes can lead to elevated CRP levels. Similarly, while ESR can indicate the presence of an inflammatory response, it does not provide insights into the specific type of inflammation or its source. Consequently, clinicians often use these markers in conjunction with clinical findings, imaging

modalities, and other laboratory tests to formulate a comprehensive diagnostic and management strategy [5].

Moreover, recent advances in biomarker research are expanding the horizons of inflammatory assessment. Emerging markers, such as procalcitonin, interleukin-6, and more specific assays aimed at particular disease states, may offer additional insights and improve diagnostic accuracy. The integration of these innovative biomarkers with traditional markers like CRP and ESR could enhance the ability to manage inflammatory diseases effectively [6].

#### **Understanding C-Reactive Protein (CRP):**

C-Reactive Protein (CRP) is a critical biomarker that reflects the presence of inflammation in the body. As a substance produced by the liver in response to inflammation, CRP is a topic of significant interest in medical diagnostics and research. Since its discovery in the 1930s, CRP has evolved from a mere laboratory curiosity to an essential tool for understanding a wide range of health conditions [7].

C-Reactive Protein is a pentameric protein belonging to the family of acute-phase reactants. It is synthesized in the liver in response to cytokines, mainly interleukin-6 (IL-6), during instances of inflammation. The name "C-Reactive" derives from its ability to react with the C-polysaccharide of Streptococcus pneumoniae, a bacteria associated with pneumonia. CRP levels can rise dramatically in the acute phase of various conditions, typically increasing within hours of an inflammatory stimulus, making it a valuable marker for monitoring inflammatory processes [8].

The normal range for CRP in healthy individuals is typically less than 10 milligrams per liter (mg/L). However, during acute inflammation, CRP levels can surge to over 100 mg/L, providing immediate and quantifiable information regarding physiological changes in the body. This rapid response is what makes CRP particularly useful in clinical settings for diagnosing and monitoring health conditions [8].

CRP plays a vital role in the body's immune response. It is involved in several mechanisms that help eliminate pathogens and promote healing. Upon its release into circulation, CRP binds to dead or dying cells and certain types of bacteria, tagging them for destruction by phagocytic cells—primarily macrophages—via a process known as

opsonization. Furthermore, CRP activates the complement system, a group of proteins that aids in the immune response, thereby enhancing the body's ability to fight infections [9].

In addition to its role in direct immune responses, CRP is implicated in modulating systemic inflammatory processes. It serves as a signaling molecule that can influence other immune cells, aiding in the regulation of cytokine production and promoting inflammation resolution. While this process is crucial for healing, chronic elevation of CRP levels can be detrimental, as persistent inflammation is associated with a variety of diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and various autoimmune disorders [10].

CRP testing is commonly utilized in clinical practice as it provides insights into the presence and intensity of inflammation. The primary utility of CRP measurement lies in its ability to aid in the diagnosis and management of several medical conditions. For example, elevated CRP levels can be indicative of infections, autoimmune diseases (such as rheumatoid arthritis and lupus), tissue injury, and other inflammatory states.

One of the most compelling uses of CRP measurement is in cardiovascular risk assessment. Research has established a correlation between elevated CRP levels and an increased risk of cardiovascular events, such as heart attack and stroke. This association has led to the exploration of CRP as a potential predictor of cardiovascular disease (CVD). A high-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP) test measures lower levels of CRP more precisely and is often used to assess cardiovascular health. Clinicians may employ hs-CRP levels in conjunction with traditional risk factors to stratify patients' risks and guide preventative strategies [10].

In addition to its diagnostic value, CRP is also utilized to monitor disease activity and response to treatment. In conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis, CRP levels can be tracked to gauge the effectiveness of medications or to identify disease flares. Thus, measuring CRP not only provides information about a patient's current health status but can also inform clinical decision-making [10].

Despite its utility, CRP is not without limitations. While elevated levels of CRP indicate the presence of inflammation, they do not pinpoint the exact cause. Consequently, elevated CRP can arise from various conditions, complicating the diagnostic process. For this reason, CRP testing is often

employed alongside other diagnostic tests and clinical evaluations to develop a comprehensive understanding of a patient's health.

Moreover, CRP levels can vary based on individual factors such as age, sex, ethnicity, and underlying health conditions. Therefore, while CRP is a valuable marker of inflammation, it should not be used in isolation to make definitive clinical diagnoses [10].

Ongoing research is expanding our understanding of CRP and its relationship with various health conditions. Recent studies have begun to explore the potential of CRP not only as a marker of inflammation but also as a predictive biomarker for numerous diseases. For example, researchers are investigating links between elevated CRP levels and conditions such as cancer, neurodegenerative diseases, and metabolic syndrome [11].

Additionally, therapeutic approaches targeting inflammation are being studied, with CRP serving as a potential indicator of treatment efficacy. Personalized medicine strategies may emerge, wherein CRP levels inform tailored interventions for individuals at risk of inflammatory diseases [11].

# Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) Explained:

The Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) is a widely utilized laboratory test that measures the rate at which red blood cells (erythrocytes) settle at the bottom of a test tube over a specified period, usually one hour. This simple yet powerful diagnostic tool has been instrumental in helping healthcare professionals gather insights into a patient's inflammatory status, guiding the diagnosis and management of various medical conditions [12].

The use of ESR as a diagnostic measure dates back to the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Dr. Rudolph Adolf von Rothera, an Austrian doctor, first advocated its clinical application. The method was refined by the introduction of the Westergren method in 1921, which has since become the standard. Over the years, the ESR test has gained prominence for its ability to indicate the presence of an inflammatory process in the body, although it is important to note that it is a nonspecific test that cannot diagnose specific diseases [12].

The settlement of erythrocytes in a test tube is influenced by several factors. Under normal circumstances, red blood cells have a negative charge on their surface, causing them to repel one

another. However, in the presence of inflammation, certain proteins, such as fibrinogen and immunoglobulins, increase in the bloodstream, which can neutralize the negative charge. This results in a phenomenon known as "rouleaux formation," where red blood cells stick together, allowing them to settle more rapidly [12].

To perform the ESR test, a blood sample is collected and placed in a vertical tube, typically a Westergren or a Lance-Modified tube. The distance the erythrocytes fall in one hour is measured in millimeters and is reported as the ESR value. Normal ranges can vary based on factors such as age, sex, and underlying health conditions, but generally, elevated values indicate the presence of inflammation or disease [12].

## **Clinical Applications**

The ESR test has a range of clinical applications, primarily in the detection and monitoring of inflammatory diseases. Here are some key areas where ESR is commonly employed:

- 1. Rheumatic Diseases: Conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus can stimulate an inflammatory response, leading to elevated ESR levels. While ESR cannot confirm these diagnoses, it serves as a useful tool in monitoring disease activity or response to therapy [13].
- 2. **Infectious Diseases**: An elevated ESR may indicate the presence of an infection, particularly in cases of systemic infection or bacterial inflammation. However, it is essential to correlate ESR levels with clinical findings and other laboratory tests to arrive at a definitive diagnosis.
- 3. **Malignancies**: Certain cancers, such as lymphoma and multiple myeloma, can result in increased ESR levels. Elevated sedimentation rates may prompt further investigation to rule out malignancy.
- 4. **Autoimmune Conditions**: In diseases characterized by autoimmune responses, such as vasculitis, ESR can indicate the severity of inflammation and assist in monitoring therapeutic response [13].
- 5. Temporal Arteritis and Polymyalgia Rheumatica: Both conditions are associated with significant inflammation,

and ESR serves as an important marker to support diagnosis and treatment decisions [13].

#### **Interpretation of Results**

While elevated ESR values can indicate inflammation, they are not definitive. It is crucial to interpret the results in conjunction with a comprehensive clinical assessment and additional laboratory tests. Factors such as anemia, pregnancy, obesity, and certain medications can also affect ESR results, leading to variations that might not be indicative of true pathological processes [14].

Conversely, a normal ESR does not exclude the presence of a disease. In some cases, inflammatory conditions—particularly acute infections—may not result in elevated ESR levels. Therefore, it is essential for healthcare providers to consider the entire clinical picture when interpreting ESR results [14].

#### **Limitations of the ESR Test**

Despite its popularity and utility, the ESR test has several limitations. Primarily, it is a nonspecific test; elevated levels can result from a variety of conditions that cause inflammation, including infections, autoimmune diseases, and malignancies. As a result, the ESR test cannot establish a specific diagnosis on its own [15].

Additionally, the ESR test is influenced by a number of physiological factors, including age and gender. For instance, ESR values tend to be higher in females compared to males, and older adults may naturally exhibit increased sedimentation rates. This variability necessitates adjustment and careful consideration when interpreting results in different populations.

Moreover, while ESR is valuable for monitoring the inflammatory process, it does not provide insight into the underlying cause of increased inflammation. This underscores the need for healthcare professionals to utilize additional diagnostic tests and clinical evaluations to confirm the presence and nature of a disease [15].

# Mechanisms of Inflammation and Marker Response:

Inflammation is a critical biological response that serves as the body's immediate defense mechanism against harmful stimuli, including pathogens, injury, and irritants. This complex process involves various biochemical and cellular events that work in tandem to restore homeostasis. While inflammation plays a crucial role in healing, it can also contribute to disease when it becomes uncontrolled or chronic. Understanding the mechanisms of inflammation and the markers associated with this response is essential for developing therapeutic interventions and managing inflammatory diseases [16].

Inflammation can be broadly categorized into two phases: acute and chronic. Acute inflammation is a short-term response, often lasting from a few days to a few weeks. It is characterized by the classic signs of redness, heat, swelling, pain, and loss of function. The acute inflammatory response is initiated when tissues are injured or infected. In contrast, chronic inflammation is prolonged and can last for months or even years, resulting from an unresolved acute inflammatory response or the continual presence of an irritant [16].

#### **Acute Inflammation**

The initiation of acute inflammation begins when a tissue injury occurs, leading to the release of inflammatory mediators. These mediators, including histamine, prostaglandins, and cytokines, can alter vascular permeability, allowing plasma proteins and leukocytes to migrate from the bloodstream into the affected tissue. Key players in acute inflammation include:

- 1. Vascular Response: Upon injury, blood vessels dilate (vasodilation) and become more permeable. This allows more blood to reach the injured area, resulting in redness and heat. The increased permeability facilitates the leakage of plasma proteins and immune cells into the surrounding tissue, contributing to swelling [17].
- 2. Cellular Response: The infiltration of immune cells such as neutrophils and macrophages from the bloodstream is pivotal in the acute inflammatory response. **Neutrophils** are usually the responders, arriving at the site within minutes after injury. They engage pathogens via phagocytosis and release enzymes and reactive oxygen species that help to neutralize dangerous agents. Following neutrophils, monocytes migrate into the inflamed tissue, where they differentiate into macrophages, which play roles in both phagocytosis orchestrating the healing process [18].

3. Mediators of Inflammation: A variety of chemical mediators orchestrate the inflammatory response. These include histamines, which cause vasodilation and increased vascular permeability; cytokines, such as interleukins and tumor necrosis factor (TNF), which recruit immune cells; and leukotrienes and prostaglandins, which further amplify the inflammatory response and enhance pain sensitivity [19].

#### **Chronic Inflammation**

If the initial acute inflammatory response is ineffective in resolving the issue, or if the inflammatory stimulus persists, chronic inflammation can develop. Chronic inflammation is characterized by the continuous influx of immune cells, mainly macrophages, lymphocytes, and plasma cells, alongside the proliferation of fibroblasts and the formation of granulation tissue [20].

The mechanisms driving chronic inflammation include:

- 1. Persistent Pathogen or Irritant:
  Conditions such as chronic infections (e.g., tuberculosis) or prolonged exposure to irritants (like smoking) prevent resolution, leading to a sustained inflammatory response.
- 2. **Autoimmunity**: In autoimmune diseases, the immune system mistakenly targets healthy tissue, prompting an ongoing inflammatory response. This can be observed in conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis and lupus.
- 3. **Metabolic Disorders**: Conditions like obesity have been recognized to trigger low-grade chronic inflammation. Adipose tissue can produce inflammatory mediators that contribute to systemic inflammation, affecting various organs and increasing the risk of metabolic diseases [21].

#### **Inflammatory Markers**

A variety of biomarkers can be measured to evaluate the inflammation status in patients. These markers can serve as indicators of the extent and severity of inflammation and may also provide insights into the underlying cause [22]. Letters in High Energy Physics ISSN: 2632-2714

- 1. C-Reactive Protein (CRP): This is one of the most widely used markers of inflammation. It is produced by the liver in response to cytokines, primarily interleukin-6 (IL-6). Elevated CRP levels are associated with acute inflammatory conditions and can be a prognostic marker in chronic inflammatory diseases [22].
- 2. Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR): The ESR test measures the rate at which red blood cells settle in a tube of blood. Increased rates can indicate the presence of inflammatory processes in the body [22].
- 3. **Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines**: Elevated levels of specific cytokines, such as TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-6, can provide insights into inflammatory responses. These cytokines are often involved in triggering and perpetuating inflammation.
- 4. **Cellular Markers**: The presence and types of leukocytes (e.g., neutrophils, eosinophils, lymphocytes) in blood or tissue samples can also serve as indicators of inflammation. For instance, an increase in neutrophils is typically associated with acute inflammation, whereas lymphocyte predominance may indicate chronic inflammation [22].

#### Clinical Applications of CRP and ESR:

C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) are two important laboratory tests that serve as markers for inflammation in the body. While they are not specific to any particular disease, both CRP and ESR have significant clinical utility in diagnosing, monitoring, and managing a variety of conditions. Understanding the clinical applications of these tests is crucial for healthcare providers to deliver effective patient care [23].

CRP is an acute-phase protein synthesized by the liver in response to inflammation, infection, and tissue injury. Its production is primarily regulated by interleukin-6 (IL-6), a cytokine released by activated macrophages and other cells in response to inflammation. Elevated levels of CRP can be detected within hours of the onset of inflammation, making it a rapid marker for acute inflammatory conditions [23].

#### **Clinical Applications of CRP**

- 1. Diagnosis of Inflammatory Conditions: CRP levels can help distinguish between inflammatory and non-inflammatory causes of symptoms. For instance, in cases of suspected bacterial infection, elevated CRP levels may indicate an active infection, guiding clinicians towards further diagnostic interventions such as imaging studies or cultures [24].
- 2. Monitoring Disease Activity: In chronic inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and inflammatory bowel disease, CRP can serve as a valuable tool for monitoring disease activity. Fluctuations in CRP levels can indicate disease exacerbation or remission, allowing healthcare providers to modify treatments accordingly.
- 3. Cardiovascular Risk Assessment: CRP has gained traction as a potential marker for cardiovascular disease. High-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP) assays have been developed to quantify low levels of CRP in asymptomatic individuals to assess cardiovascular disease risk. Elevated hs-CRP levels have been associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular events, leading to its inclusion in cardiovascular risk stratification protocols [24].
- 4. **Postoperative** Monitoring: Following surgical procedures, CRP levels can be indicative of postoperative complications such as infections or inflammatory responses. Monitoring CRP levels in the postoperative period helps identify complications early, allowing for timely interventions [24].

### **Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR)**

ESR assesses the rate at which red blood cells settle in a vertical tube over a specified period, typically one hour. The rate of sedimentation is influenced by various factors, including the presence of acutephase proteins, particularly fibrinogen, which increases in response to inflammation. ESR is a nonspecific test that reflects the overall inflammatory activity in the body [25].

1. Diagnosis **Inflammatory** of Diseases: Similar to CRP, ESR is useful in diagnosing various inflammatory conditions. Elevated ESR levels can indicate the presence of systemic inflammation, prompting further investigations for conditions like rheumatoid arthritis, temporal arteritis, or vasculitis.

- 2. Assessment of Conditions with Nonspecific Symptoms: ESR is particularly valuable in situations where symptoms are vague or nonspecific. For instance, in cases of unexplained fever or malaise, a markedly elevated ESR may warrant more thorough evaluation to rule out serious underlying conditions [25].
- 3. Monitoring Chronic Inflammatory Diseases: ESR levels provide insight into disease progression and response to treatment in chronic inflammatory diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis and inflammatory bowel disease. In these cases, a declining ESR may indicate effective management and disease control.
- 4. **Differentiation of Fever Etiologies:** In the context of fever, ESR can help differentiate between infectious and inflammatory causes. An elevated ESR accompanied by a normal CRP may suggest a non-infectious inflammatory etiology, guiding the diagnostic approach and therapeutic decisions [25].

#### Comparative Analysis of CRP and ESR

While both CRP and ESR are valuable markers of inflammation, they have distinct characteristics that influence their clinical utility. CRP levels rise and fall more rapidly than ESR, making CRP a more sensitive marker for acute inflammation. Conversely, the ESR test is affected by various factors, including age, sex, and hemoglobin levels, which can lead to variability in results. Thus, it is often recommended to use both tests in conjunction for a more comprehensive assessment of inflammation [26].

Despite their benefits, CRP and ESR have significant limitations. Neither test is specific to a particular disease; elevated levels can be seen in a variety of conditions, including infections, chronic inflammatory diseases, malignancies, and tissue

injuries. In certain cases, a normal CRP or ESR result does not rule out the presence of disease. Moreover, the tests may have a limited capacity for differentiating the specific causes of inflammation [26].

In addition, healthcare providers should consider the clinical context in interpreting the results. Factors such as patient demographics, relevant medical history, and presenting symptoms are essential for making informed clinical decisions. It is also important to pair these markers with more specific tests when necessary to achieve accurate diagnoses [27].

#### **Interpretation of CRP and ESR Results:**

C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) are both crucial markers used in clinical practice to assess the presence and intensity of inflammation in the body. While they provide valuable insights, interpreting these results requires an understanding of their biological basis, clinical significance, and the contexts in which they are used [27].

C-reactive protein is an acute-phase protein synthesized by the liver in response to inflammatory cytokines, particularly interleukin-6 (IL-6). It is markedly elevated during acute inflammation and is used as a biomarker for inflammatory diseases, infections, and tissue damage. CRP is known for its rapid response to inflammation, with levels rising within hours of an inflammatory stimulus and peaking at about 48 hours. Normal CRP levels typically range from 0 to 10 mg/L, but values can be significantly higher in conditions such as bacterial infections, autoimmune diseases, and chronic inflammatory conditions [28].

On the other hand, the erythrocyte sedimentation rate measures the rate at which red blood cells settle in a tube of blood over a specified period, usually one hour. This phenomenon occurs due to changes in the plasma properties during inflammation, particularly the increase of fibrinogen and other proteins that cause red blood cells to aggregate and settle faster. ESR is a nonspecific test and, similar to CRP, can be elevated in a variety of conditions, including infections, autoimmune diseases, and malignancies. Normal values vary by age and sex but are generally considered to be below 20 mm/hr for men and 30 mm/hr for women [29].

# **Interpretation of Results**

Interpreting CRP and ESR results involves analyzing them in the context of clinical symptoms, medical history, and other laboratory findings.

#### 1. Elevation of CRP and ESR:

o When both CRP and ESR are elevated, this generally indicates an ongoing inflammatory process in the body. Conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis, infection, and systemic lupus erythematosus often present with elevated levels of these markers. Physicians may use this information to monitor disease activity or response to treatment [30].

#### 2. Isolation of Results:

An isolated elevation of CRP with a normal ESR could suggest acute inflammation or infection. For instance, bacterial infections. including pneumonia appendicitis, often present with a high CRP. Conversely, a high ESR with normal CRP levels may suggest chronic inflammation, such as temporal arteritis or autoimmune conditions, where the inflammatory response has been prolonged or ongoing for a longer duration [30].

#### 3. Normal Values:

Normal CRP and ESR values typically suggest that there is no significant inflammatory process occurring. However, it is essential to note that these tests are not definitive diagnostic tools. They do not provide information about underlying cause inflammation, and normal results do not entirely rule out conditions like malignancies, certain infections, autoimmune or disorders [31].

### **Clinical Context and Limitations**

While CRP and ESR serve as essential tools for assessing inflammation, they have their limitations.

Both tests are nonspecific inflammatory markers and can be elevated in a wide variety of conditions, some of which might not involve a pathological process. Factors such as obesity, age, pregnancy, and chronic diseases can influence CRP and ESR levels, leading to potential misinterpretations [32].

Furthermore, while CRP is widely regarded for its rapid response and specificity to acute-phase inflammation, it does not provide insight into the duration of the inflammatory process. ESR, being a more prolonged indicator, can provide information about chronic inflammation, but its results can be confounded by factors like anemia or variations in red blood cell shape, which can alter sedimentation rates regardless of an underlying inflammatory process [33].

In clinical settings, the interpretation of CRP and ESR results is integral to patient management. Elevated levels can prompt further investigation, such as imaging studies, microbiological cultures, or biopsies, to identify the underlying cause of inflammation. Both CRP and ESR are also utilized to monitor disease progression and response to treatment, offering clinicians a means to assess therapeutic effectiveness or identify disease flare-ups [34].

Advancements in laboratory technology and our understanding of inflammation are paving the way toward more precise markers. Emerging tests, such as high-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP), provide a more nuanced evaluation of cardiovascular risk. This differentiation allows healthcare providers to target specific inflammatory processes, leading to more tailored and effective interventions [35].

### **Limitations and Considerations in Clinical Use:**

Inflammation is a complex biological response triggered by harmful stimuli, including pathogens, damaged cells, or irritants. It plays a fundamental role in the body's defense and healing processes, but excessive or chronic inflammation can lead to various disease states. Evaluating inflammation is crucial in diagnosing, monitoring, and managing these conditions. C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) are arguably the most commonly used laboratory tests to assess inflammation. However, despite their widespread use, both tests possess significant limitations and require careful consideration in clinical practice [36].

C-reactive protein (CRP) is an acute-phase reactant produced by the liver in response to inflammatory stimuli. It is primarily regulated by cytokines, particularly interleukin-6 (IL-6). Elevated CRP levels indicate an acute inflammatory response, often triggered by infections, trauma, or autoimmune diseases. The test for CRP is sensitive, allowing it to detect even minor increases in the protein's concentration, which can aid in identifying inflammation rapidly [37].

On the other hand, the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) measures how quickly red blood cells settle in a tube of blood over a specified period. This process is affected by various factors, including the presence of inflammatory proteins, which can change blood viscosity and cause red cells to clump together. ESR is less specific than CRP; although both tests can indicate inflammation, ESR can be influenced by other factors beyond inflammatory processes, including nutritional status and certain chronic conditions [38].

#### Limitations of CRP in Clinical Use

- 1. Lack of Specificity: One of the primary limitations of CRP is its lack of specificity to a solitary disease. Elevated CRP levels can be observed in a wide array of conditions, including infections, autoimmune diseases, trauma, and even malignancies. Unlike more targeted biomarkers that can indicate specific abnormalities (such as rheumatoid factor in rheumatoid arthritis), CRP merely signals the presence of inflammation without pinpointing its origin [39].
- 2. Not Routine for Chronic Inflammation: CRP is most useful in acute settings. Its levels can fluctuate significantly, making it less valuable for monitoring chronic inflammatory conditions. In patients with chronic diseases, CRP levels may remain consistently elevated or fluctuate without clear correlations to the patient's clinical status [39].
- 3. Influence of Other Factors: CRP levels can be affected by various non-inflammatory factors such as obesity, smoking, pregnancy, and diabetes. This means that an elevated CRP could reflect underlying metabolic dysfunction as much as it reflects an inflammatory state,

complicating the interpretation of results [40].

#### **Limitations of ESR in Clinical Use**

- 1. Sensitivity and Non-specificity: While ESR can indicate inflammation, its sensitivity comes with low specificity. It is influenced by numerous factors, including age, sex, and even time of day. For instance, ESR naturally increases with age and can be interpreted as abnormal in elderly populations even in the absence of inflammation [41].
- 2. Slow Response to Change: ESR is a lagging indicator of inflammation, often responding slowly to changes in the inflammatory process. It may take days to weeks to rise following an inflammatory insult and can take equally long to return to baseline. This delay makes ESR less useful for rapid assessment or dynamic monitoring of inflammatory diseases [41].
- 3. Technical Variability: The ESR test is inherently variable due to the procedural aspects of the assay. Different techniques, tube types, and variable laboratory conditions can yield inconsistent results, which can create confusion in clinical decision-making. Moreover, factors such as hemolysis and the presence of anticoagulants can affect ESR readings, leading to misinterpretation of inflammation severity [42].

#### **Considerations in Clinical Use**

Given their limitations, clinicians must approach the interpretation of CRP and ESR results with caution. It is crucial to assess these markers in the context of a patient's overall clinical picture, including their history, physical examination findings, and other laboratory tests [43].

1. Complementary Testing: Utilizing CRP and ESR in conjunction with other diagnostic tests can provide a more comprehensive view of a patient's inflammatory status. For instance, imaging studies, specific autoantibody tests, or cytokine panels can helpto clarify the underlying cause of inflammation when combined with CRP or ESR findings.

Letters in High Energy Physics ISSN: 2632-2714

- 2. Clinical Scenario: The utility of CRP and ESR can vary significantly depending on the clinical scenario. In acute infections or exacerbations of autoimmune diseases, CRP might serve as a quick and reliable indicator of inflammation and disease activity. Conversely, in chronic conditions, its use may require careful interpretation to avoid misconstrued assessments of disease control [44].
- 3. Patient Factors: It is essential to consider patient-specific factors, such as age, comorbidities, and baseline inflammatory levels when interpreting test results. Tailoring assessments according to these personal attributes can improve diagnostic accuracy and treatment efficacy [45].
- 4. **Integration of Clinical Judgment**: The reliance on laboratory values should never overshadow clinical judgment. Physicians must balance the quantitative aspects of inflammation markers with qualitative clinical evaluations, ensuring a holistic approach to patient care [46].

# **Future Perspectives on Inflammatory Markers** in Medicine:

Inflammation plays a pivotal role in various physiological and pathological processes within the human body. It serves as a crucial mechanism for the immune system, leading to tissue repair and defense against infection. However, when inflammation becomes chronic, it is implicated in a myriad of diseases, including cardiovascular disorders, diabetes, autoimmune conditions, and cancer. As the medical community advances in its understanding of inflammation, the focus on inflammatory markers as diagnostic tools, prognostic indicators, and therapeutic targets has gained significant momentum [47].

Inflammatory markers are biological substances that indicate the presence and intensity of inflammation in the body. They encompass a wide range of molecules, including cytokines, chemokines, acutephase proteins, and other mediators released during the inflammatory response. Some of the most commonly studied inflammatory markers are C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α). The ability to accurately measure these markers has ushered in a new paradigm in medicine, enabling clinicians to

assess the state of inflammation in patients more effectively [48].

As technology advances, assays for measuring these markers are becoming more sophisticated, sensitive, and accessible. This accessibility is vital as it allows for the incorporation of inflammatory marker testing into routine clinical practice, leading to early detection of diseases, better patient monitoring, and more informed therapeutic decisions [49].

In the realm of diagnostics, inflammatory markers hold substantial promise. Currently, many diseases rely on a combination of clinical evaluation, imaging modalities, and laboratory tests for diagnosis. However, the integration of inflammatory markers into diagnostic protocols could enhance predictive capabilities. For example, elevated levels of CRP are often associated with acute infections and can aid in differentiating between bacterial and viral infections. Similarly, IL-6 levels have been shown to correlate with disease severity in conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis and sepsis [50].

The future of diagnostics may see the advent of multi-biomarker panels that combine various inflammatory markers to improve the accuracy of diagnoses. These panels could be particularly valuable in complex diseases with heterogeneous presentations, such as systemic lupus erythematosus and inflammatory bowel disease, leading to more rapid and reliable diagnosis and timely intervention [51].

Personalized medicine is a transformative approach in healthcare that tailors treatment based on individual patient characteristics. The use of inflammatory markers could play a central role in this paradigm shift. By analyzing levels of specific inflammatory mediators, healthcare providers could stratify patients by their risk profiles and tailor treatment plans accordingly. For instance, patients exhibiting elevated levels of TNF- $\alpha$  may respond better to TNF inhibitors, while those with high IL-6 levels might benefit from targeted IL-6 receptor antagonists [51].

Moreover, the application of biomarkers in clinical trials is vital for drug development and evaluation. Biomarkers can help identify patient populations likely to benefit from specific therapies, thus streamlining research and enhancing the efficacy of drug discovery. Partnering with bioinformatics and machine learning tools will facilitate the analysis of large-scale datasets, allowing for the identification

of novel associations and patterns that can further refine therapeutic strategies [51].

A critical aspect of managing chronic inflammatory diseases involves monitoring disease progression and assessing treatment efficacy. Regular measurement of inflammatory markers can provide real-time feedback about the inflammatory status of a patient, allowing for rapid adjustments to therapeutic regimens. For example, patients with chronic inflammatory diseases may have periodic assessments of CRP or other markers to determine whether their treatment is effectively controlling inflammation [52].

The future landscape of disease monitoring may also involve wearable devices and biosensors that continuously track inflammatory markers in real time. This approach could revolutionize patient care, leading to proactive management of diseases before they exacerbate. Furthermore, advancements in telemedicine and remote patient monitoring technologies can facilitate the monitoring of symptoms and inflammatory markers outside traditional healthcare settings, ensuring timely interventions and improving patient outcomes [52].

Despite the promising future of inflammatory markers in medicine, several challenges remain. The specificity and sensitivity of inflammatory markers can vary significantly depending on the condition being investigated. The potential for false-positive and false-negative results necessitates cautious interpretation of biomarker data. Additionally, genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors can influence inflammatory marker levels, complicating the establishment of standardized reference ranges [53].

Moreover, ensuring the widespread adoption of inflammatory marker testing in clinical routines poses logistical and financial challenges. Accessibility to advanced testing and the need for trained personnel in interpreting biomarker data underscore the requirement for systemic changes in healthcare infrastructure [54].

#### **Conclusion:**

In conclusion, C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) are critical laboratory markers that play a significant role in the assessment and management of inflammatory conditions in clinical practice. CRP serves as a rapid indicator of acute inflammation, providing clinicians with timely insights to diagnose and

monitor various diseases, particularly infections and autoimmune disorders. In contrast, ESR, while valuable for evaluating chronic inflammation, has limitations related to its slower response and potential confounding factors. Together, these markers enhance the clinician's ability to understand a patient's inflammatory status, guiding treatment decisions and improving patient outcomes. As research continues to evolve, integrating CRP and ESR with other emerging biomarkers and advancing techniques may further diagnostic inflammatory assessment, leading to more personalized and effective patient care.

#### References:

- 1. Chen CH, Chen HA, Liao HT, et al. The clinical usefulness of ESR, CRP, and disease duration in ankylosing spondylitis: the product of these acute-phase reactants and disease duration is associated with patient's poor physical mobility. Rheumatol Int. 2015;35:1263–1267. doi: 10.1007/s00296-015-3214-4.
- Kucharz EJ. Chronic inflammationenhanced atherosclerosis: Can we consider it as a new clinical syndrome? Med Hypotheses. 2012;78:396–397. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2011.11.020.
- 3. Bijsma JW. Optimal treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: EULAR recommendations for clinical practice. Pol Arch Med Wewn. 2010;120:347–353.
- 4. Hamdi W, Néji O, Ghannouchi MM, et al. Comparative study of indices of activity evaluation in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Phys Rehabil Med. 2011;54:421–428. doi: 10.1016/j.rehab.2011.09.002.
- 5. Kucharz EJ. Edmund Biernacki and the erythrocyte sedimentation rate. Lancet. 1987;329:696. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(87)90472-7.
- 6. Gafter-Gvili A, Leibovici L, Molad Y. Elevation of inflammatory markers in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus is associated with poorer outcome. Biomed Pharmacother. 2013;67:48–52. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2012.10.009.
- 7. Madrenas J, Potter P, Cairns E. Giving credit where credit is due: John Hunter and the discovery of erythrocyte sedimentation rate. Lancet. 2005;366:2140–2141. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67337-0.
- 8. Ablij H, Meinders A. C-reactive protein: history and revival. Eur J Intern Med.

- 2002;13:412–422. doi: 10.1016/s0953-6205(02)00132-2.
- Silva I, Mateus M, Branco JC. Velocidade de sedimentação on proteina C reactiva. Que variaveis utilizar na avaliacao clinica dos doentes com artivite reumatóide? Acta Reumatol Port. 2010;25:456–462.
- Navarro-Compán V, Gherghe AM, Smolen JS, et al. Relationship between disease activity indices and their individual components and radiographic progression in RA: a systematic literature review. Rheumatology. 2015;54:994–1007. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keu413.
- 11. de Vries MK, van Eijk IC, van der Horst-Bruinsma IE, et al. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein level, and serum amyloid A protein for patient selection and monitoring of antitumor necrosis treatment in ankylosing spondylitis. Arthritis Rheum. 2009;61:1484–1490. doi: 10.1002/art.24838.
- 12. Shearn MA, Kang IY. Effect of age and sex on the erythrocyte sedimentation rate. J Rheumatol. 1986;13:297–298.
- Lee YJ, Lee JH, Shin YH, et al. Gender difference and determination of C-reactive protein level in Korean adults. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2009;47:863–869. doi: 10.1515/CCLM.2009.196.
- 14. Ruof J, Stucki G. Validity aspects of erythrocyte sedimentation rate and Creactive protein in ankylosing spondylitis: a literature review. J Rheumatol. 1999;26:966–970.
- 15. Nordal EB, Zak M, Aalto K, et al. Validity and predictive ability of the juvenile arthritis disease activity score based on CRP versus ESR in a Nordic population–based setting. Ann Rheum Dis. 2012;71:1122–1127. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200237.
- Siemons L, ten Klooster PM, Vonkeman HE, et al. How age and sex affect the erythrocyte sedimentation rate and Creactive protein in early rheumatoid arthritis. BMC Musculoskeletal Dis. 2015;15:368–374. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-15-368.
- 17. Kay J, Morgacheva O, Messing SP, et al. Clinical disease activity and acute phase reactant levels are discordant among patients with active rheumatoid arthritis:

- acute phase reactant levels contribute separately to predicting outcome at one year. Arthritis Res Ther. 2014;16:R40. doi: 10.1186/ar4469.
- 18. Piéroni L, Bastard JP, Piton A, et al. Interpretation of circulating C-reactive protein levels in adults: body mass index and gender are a must. Diabetes Metab. 2003;29:133–138. doi: 10.1016/s1262-3636(07)70019-8.
- 19. Amezcu-Guerra LM, Springall R, Arrieta-Alvarado AA, et al. C-reactive protein and complement components but not other acute-phase reactions discriminate between clinical subsets and organ damage in systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Lab. 2011;57:607–613.
- Mitchell SA, Leidy NK, Mooney KH, Dudley WN, Beck SL, LaStayo PC, et al. Determinants of functional performance in long-term survivors of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation with chronic graft-versus-host disease (cGVHD). Bone Marrow Transplant. 2010;45:762–769. doi: 10.1038/bmt.2009.238.
- 21. Lee SJ, Vogelsang G, Flowers ME. Chronic graft-versus-host disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2003;9:215–233. doi: 10.1053/bbmt.2003.50026.
- Gabay C, Kushner I. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. N Engl J Med. 1999;340:448–454. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199902113400607.
- 23. Thepot S, Zhou J, Perrot A, Robin M, Xhaard A, de Latour RP, et al. The graft-versus-leukemia effect is mainly restricted to NIH-defined chronic graft-versus-host disease after reduced intensity conditioning before allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Leukemia. 2010;24:1852–1858. doi: 10.1038/leu.2010.187.
- Pihusch M, Pihusch R, Fraunberger P, Pihusch V, Andreesen R, Kolb HJ, et al. Evaluation of C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, and procalcitonin levels in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell recipients. Eur J Haematol. 2006;76:93–101. doi: 10.1111/j.0902-4441.2005.00568.x.
- 25. Liem LM, Fibbe WE, van Houwelingen HC, Goulmy E. Serum transforming growth factor-beta1 levels in bone marrow transplant recipients correlate with blood cell counts and chronic graft-versus-host disease. Transplantation. 1999;67:59–65.

- doi: 10.1097/00007890-199901150-00009.
- Ohtsuka T. Serum interleukin-6 level is reflected in elevated high-sensitivity Creactive protein level in patients with systemic sclerosis. J Dermatol. 2010;37:801–806. doi: 10.1111/j.1346-8138.2010.00883.x.
- 27. Weisdorf DJ. Chronic graft-versus-host disease: where is promise for the future? Leukemia. 2005;19:1532–1535. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2403856.
- 28. Barak V, Levi-Schaffer F, Nisman B, Nagler A. Cytokine dysregulation in chronic graft versus host disease. Leuk Lymphoma. 1995;17:169–173. doi: 10.3109/10428199509051718.
- 29. Filipovich AH, Weisdorf D, Pavletic S, Socie G, Wingard JR, Lee SJ, et al. National Institutes of Health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease: I. Diagnosis and staging working group report. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2005;11:945–956. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2005.09.004.
- Parkman R. Chronic graft-versus-host disease. Curr Opin Hematol. 1998;5:22– 25. doi: 10.1097/00062752-199801000-00004.
- 31. Schots R, Kaufman L, Van Riet I, Lacor P, Trullemans F, De Waele M, et al. Monitoring of C-reactive protein after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation identifies patients at risk of severe transplant-related complications and mortality. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1998;22:79–85. doi: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1701286.
- 32. Skert C, Damiani D, Michelutti A, Patriarca F, Arpinati M, Fili C, et al. Kinetics of Th1/Th2 cytokines and lymphocyte subsets to predict chronic GVHD after allo-SCT: results of a prospective study. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2009;44:729–737. doi: 10.1038/bmt.2009.80.
- 33. Lee SJ, Klein JP, Barrett AJ, Ringden O, Antin JH, Cahn JY, et al. Severity of chronic graft-versus-host disease: association with treatment-related mortality and relapse. Blood. 2002;100:406–414. doi: 10.1182/blood.v100.2.406.
- 34. Vogelsang G, Pavletic S. Clinical manifestations and natural history. In: Flowers M, Vogelsang G, editors. Chronic Graft Versus Host Disease Interdisciplinary Management. Cambridge

- University Press; New York, NY: 2009. pp. 56–69.
- 35. Gaitonde S, Samols D, Kushner I. Creactive protein and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 2008;59:1814–1820. doi: 10.1002/art.24316.
- 36. Fujii H, Cuvelier G, She K, Aslanian S, Shimizu H, Kariminia A, et al. Biomarkers in newly diagnosed pediatric-extensive chronic graft-versus-host disease: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. Blood. 2008;111:3276–3285. doi: 10.1182/b lood-2007-08-106286.
- 37. O'Sullivan JW, Stevens S, Hobbs FDR, et al. Temporal trends in use of tests in UK primary care, 2000–15: retrospective analysis of 250 million tests. BMJ. 2018;363:k4666.
- 38. Helliwell T, Muller S, Hider S. ESR can be normal in giant cell arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica. BMJ. 2012;344:e1408.
- 39. Sokka T, Pincus T. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, or rheumatoid factor are normal at presentation in 35%–45% of patients with rheumatoid arthritis seen between 1980 and 2004: analyses from Finland and the United States. J Rheum. 2009;36(7):1387–1390.
- 40. Watson J, Round A, Hamilton W. Raised inflammatory markers. BMJ. 2012;344:e454.
- 41. Bhaskar D. Concise guidance: diagnosis and management of polymyalgia rheumatica. Clin Med (Lond). 2010;10(3):270–274.
- 42. Cantini F, Salvarani C, Olivieri I, et al. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein in the evaluation of disease activity and severity in polymyalgia rheumatica: a prospective follow-up study. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2000;30(1):17–24.
- 43. Allen A, Carville S, McKenna F. Guideline Development Group Diagnosis and management of rheumatoid arthritis in adults: summary of updated NICE guidance. BMJ. 2018;362:k3015.
- 44. Koshiaris C, Van den Bruel A, Oke JL, et al. Early detection of multiple myeloma in primary care using blood tests: a casecontrol study in primary care. Br J Gen Pract. 2018.
- 45. Watson J, Salisbury C, Whiting P, et al. Added value and cascade effects of inflammatory marker testing in UK primary care. Br J Gen Pract. 2019. in press.

- O'Sullivan JW, Heneghan C, Perera R, et al. Variation in diagnostic test requests and outcomes: a preliminary metric for OpenPathology.net. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):4752.
- 47. Hamilton W. The CAPER studies: five case-control studies aimed at identifying and quantifying the risk of cancer in symptomatic primary care patients. Br J Cancer. 2009;101(Suppl 2):S80–S86.
- 48. DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics. 1988;44(3):837–845.
- 49. Parikh M, Miller NR, Lee AG, et al. Prevalence of a normal C-reactive protein with an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate in biopsy-proven giant cell arteritis. Ophthalmology. 2006;113(10):1842–1845.
- 50. Watson J, de Salis I, Hamilton W, et al. 'I'm fishing really' inflammatory

- marker testing in primary care: a qualitative study. Br J Gen Pract. 2016.
- 51. Assasi N, Blackhouse G, Campbell K, et al. Comparative value of erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) testing in combination versus individually for the diagnosis of undifferentiated patients with suspected inflammatory disease or serious infection: a systematic review and economic analysis. CADTH Health Technology Assessments.; 2015.
- 52. May S. ESR inflammatory response marker? No. 2016.
- 53. Watson J, Nicholson BD, Hamilton W, et al. Identifying clinical features in primary care electronic health record studies: methods for codelist development. BMJ Open. 2017;7(11):e019637.
- 54. von Roon AC, Karamountzos L, Purkayastha S, et al. Diagnostic precision of fecal calprotectin for inflammatory bowel disease and colorectal malignancy. Am J Gastroenterol. 2007;102(4):803–813.