Letters in High Energy Physics
ISSN: 2632-2714

Volume 2023
Issue 2

Nursing Interventions for Bone Fracture Patients in Acute Care Settings

Nujud Hamood A Alenezi [1], Jawaher Hajer Banyah Alrawaili [2], Omar Mohammed S Alruwaili [3], Mona Hamad Oudah Albaqawi [4], Nawal Muattish R Alenezi [5], Afrah Ruhayman Alanazi [6], Qaydhah Mohammed Hamoud Alruwaili [7], Eidah Mohammed Hamoud Alruwaili [8], Alanaz,Abeer Hagaa [9], Najwa Ahmed Ghaith Al-Rifai [10]

1- Nursing specialist, Ministry of Health Branch- Northern Border Region, Saudi Arabia
2- Nursing specialist, Prince Salman Medical, Medina, Saudi Arabia
3- Nursing specialist, Eradah Complex for Mental Health- Arar, Saudi Arabia
4- Nursing specialist, Baqa General Hospital, Hail, Saudi Arabia
5- Nursing technician, Ministry of Health Branch- Northern Border Region, Saudi Arabia
6- Nursing technician, Mansouriya Primary Health Care Center in Arar, Saudi Arabia
7- Nursing technician, Zaloum Primary Health Care Center, Al-Jouf, Saudi Arabia
8- Nursing technician, Al-Jouf Women, Maternity, and Children's Hospital, Al-Jouf, Saudi Arabia
9- Nursing, North Medical Tower at Arar in Saudi Arabia
10- Nursing, Al Sarif Health Center, Yanbu, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

In acute care settings, nursing interventions for patients with bone fractures focus on pain management, mobility, and prevention of complications. Initially, effective pain management is prioritized to ensure comfort and facilitate healing. This can involve administering prescribed analgesics, using ice packs to reduce swelling, and employing non-pharmacological methods such as relaxation techniques. Nurses also assess the level of pain using appropriate scales and regularly monitor vital signs, as changes may indicate complications such as infection or internal bleeding. Collaborating with the healthcare team for timely imaging and treatment decisions is critical. Another essential intervention is promoting mobility and preventing complications associated with immobility. Nurses educate patients on the importance of early mobilization as tolerated, using assistive devices when necessary. They monitor for signs of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and implement preventive measures like leg exercises and compression stockings. Additionally, patient education on fracture management and rehabilitation promotes adherence to treatment protocols. Conducting regular assessments for skin integrity around immobilization devices and implementing appropriate skin care practices are vital to prevent pressure ulcers and other complications.

Keywords: Bone Fracture, Acute Care Nursing, Pain Management, Mobilization, Complication Prevention, Patient Education, Vital Signs Monitoring, Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT), Skin Integrity, Rehabilitation.

Introduction:

Bone fractures represent one of the most common injuries encountered in acute care settings, resulting from a multitude of causes ranging from traumatic accidents to pathological conditions such as osteoporosis. The World Health Organization (WHO) has highlighted the prevalence of fractures as a major public health concern, especially among the elderly population, where age-related bone density loss elevates the risk of fractures. Given the significant morbidity associated with bone fractures, a clear understanding of effective nursing interventions is crucial for improving patient outcomes, enhancing recovery, and minimizing complications [1].

Nursing interventions play a pivotal role in the management of patients with bone fractures, particularly in acute care settings where immediate and often complex clinical assessments and treatments are required. Nurses serve as the frontline

caregivers, responsible for not only administering medical treatments but also assessing patients' needs, tracking their progress, and facilitating communication between the patient, family members, and other healthcare professionals. The acute care environment is characterized by high patient acuity, necessitating comprehensive and tailored nursing interventions to ensure effective pain management, prevent complications, and promote optimal healing [2].

Historically, the management of bone fractures involved a more rigid and less holistic approach, primarily focusing on surgical intervention and immobilization. However, contemporary frameworks emphasize a multi-dimensional perspective that includes physical, emotional, and psychosocial dimensions of patient care. The implementation of evidence-based nursing interventions facilitates the alignment of clinical practice with the latest research findings, thereby promoting not only the physical recovery of patients but also their overall well-being. This multifaceted approach is critical in an acute care setting where patients may experience significant anxiety, fear, and emotional distress related to their injuries [3].

Pain management is one of the most critical components in the care of patients with fractures, as inadequate pain control can impede recovery and increase the length of hospital stay. Research has demonstrated that a combination of pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions can effectively mitigate pain. Nurses play an essential role in assessing pain levels, administering analgesics, and utilizing complementary therapies such as guided imagery, relaxation techniques, and positioning strategies to enhance patient comfort. In addition, patient education regarding pain management options empowers patients to participate actively in their recovery process, fostering a sense of autonomy and control [4].

Another vital nursing intervention for fracture patients in acute care is the prevention of complications, such as deep vein thrombosis (DVT), infection, and muscle atrophy. The immobility resulting from fractures and subsequent immobilization poses a considerable risk, necessitating vigilant nursing assessments and preventative strategies. Implementing early mobilization protocols, utilizing compression devices, and promoting adequate hydration and nutrition are strategies that nurses can employ to reduce the risk of complications associated with immobility. Furthermore, education regarding signs and symptoms of complications serves as an important preventive measure, encouraging patients to report any concerning changes promptly[5].

In addition to physical care, addressing the emotional and psychological needs of fracture patients is paramount. The experience of a fracture can lead to significant upheaval in daily life, resulting in feelings of vulnerability, frustration, and anxiety. Nurses are often tasked with providing emotional support, facilitating access to mental health resources, and implementing strategies such as active listening and counseling to help patients navigate these emotional challenges. By fostering a therapeutic nurse-patient relationship, nurses can create a supportive environment in which patients feel comfortable expressing their concerns and fears [6].

Lastly, the coordination of discharge planning and post-acute care is a critical aspect of nursing interventions for fracture patients. Effective transition from the hospital to home or rehabilitation settings requires a comprehensive understanding of the patient's ongoing needs, including mobility assistance, follow-up appointments, and rehabilitation services. Nurses are instrumental in ensuring that patients and their families receive clear instructions regarding home care, medication management, and signs of complications to watch for after discharge. This continuity of care is essential for preventing readmissions and promoting long-term recovery [7].

Assessment and Diagnosis of Bone Fractures:

Bone fractures represent one of the most common injuries encountered in medical practice, with implications for both immediate treatment and long-term recovery. An understanding of their assessment and diagnosis is crucial for healthcare professionals to provide effective care. Fractures can vary widely in their presentation, causation, and severity, necessitating a thorough evaluation process to

ensure appropriate treatment strategies are employed [8].

Before discussing assessment and diagnosis, it is essential to comprehend what bone fractures are. A fracture refers to a break in the continuity of the bone. They can be classified in several ways, including their complexity (simple vs. compound), their pattern (transverse, oblique, spiral), and the extent of the fracture (complete vs. incomplete). Understanding the various categorizations can significantly aid medical professionals in diagnosing and managing fractures[9].

Fractures typically result from traumatic incidents such as falls, collisions, or direct blows. Nonetheless, they can also arise from repetitive stress or health conditions that weaken bones, such as osteoporosis. Each of these scenarios may lead to distinctive presentations that impactthe diagnostic approach [10].

Initial Assessment

The assessment process begins with a detailed patient history and physical examination. The healthcare provider must collect information regarding how the injury occurred, the severity of the pain, and any previous medical history related to bone health or prior fractures. This information helps in forming an initial impression of the injury [11].

During the physical examination, specific signs and symptoms should be evaluated. These include swelling, tenderness, bruising, deformity, and localized warmth of the affected area. Palpation of the bone can often reveal point tenderness consistent with a fracture. In some cases, the presence of an open fracture, where the bone punctures through the skin, necessitates immediate attention for infection control and stabilization [12].

The **functionality assessment** is also a key component at this stage. The provider observes the patient's ability to move the affected limb and assesses the range of motion. This functional evaluation helps establish a baseline for future comparisons as well as informs the provider about potential associated injuries to nearby ligaments and tendons [12].

Diagnostic Imaging

While the preliminary assessment provides valuable insights, diagnostic imaging is indispensable for confirming the presence and type of fracture. Various imaging modalities play a role in fracture diagnosis, including:

1. **Plain Radiography (X-rays)**: The most common initial imaging technique used for suspected fractures is X-ray. X-rays can reveal most types of fractures and are pivotal for identifying the location and extent of the break. Multiple views, including anteroposterior and lateral images, may be necessary to visualize the fracture adequately [13].

2. **Computed Tomography (CT) Scans**: CT scans offer a more detailed view of complex fractures, especially those involving joint surfaces, such as the pelvis or spine. They create cross-sectional images that provide information about the nature and displacement of the fracture fragments.

3. **Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)**: MRI is particularly useful in identifying stress fractures or cases where there is suspicion of bone marrow edema without a clear radiographic finding. It is often employed in the case of soft-tissue injuries or when a fracture is associated with severe pain but not detected on X-ray.

4. **Ultrasound**: While not commonly used for fractures, ultrasound can sometimes assist in assessing certain types of fractures in pediatrics or in evaluating soft tissue around the fracture [13].

Classification Systems

Once a fracture is diagnosed via imaging, it is often classified following established systems, such as the Salter-Harris classification for pediatric fractures or the AO classification system, which categorizes fractures according to the location and complexity on a numeric scale. This classification is critical in guiding treatment and predicting outcomes, particularly in complicated cases or when surgical intervention is required [14].

In the assessment of bone fractures, it is crucial to differentiate them from other musculoskeletal injuries. Conditions such as sprains, strains, or dislocations can present with similar symptoms such as pain and swelling. The use of history, physical

examination, and imaging studies helps distinguish fractures from these other injuries. Additionally, it is paramount to consider underlying pathological conditions—such as tumors, infections, or metabolic bone diseases—that might predispose an individual to fracture, known as pathological fractures [15].

The management of a fracture depends on its type, location, and complexity. Once the fracture is diagnosed, treatment options range from conservative management—such as rest, ice, compression, elevation (RICE), immobilization using casts or splints—to surgical interventions, including internal fixation or external fixation, depending on the fracture's nature and associated complications.

Follow-up is equally vital. Regular evaluations, including physical examinations and repeat imaging, may be necessary to monitor healing progress and detect any complications such as non-union or malunion. Healthcare professionals must also provide patients with education regarding rehabilitation exercises aimed at restoring strength, flexibility, and function to the affected limb to optimize recovery [15].

Pain Management Strategies for Fracture Patients:

Fractures are among the most common musculoskeletal injuries, often resulting from falls, vehicle accidents, sports injuries, or other physical traumas. While fractures can usually be treated effectively, they often lead to significant pain that can affect a patient's quality of life and delay recovery. Consequently, effective pain management is crucial for individuals recovering from fractures [16].

Pain is a complex experience that involves not only the physical injury itself but also emotional, psychological, and environmental factors. For patients with fractures, pain often manifests as acute and can range from mild discomfort to severe, debilitating pain. The intensity and duration of pain can be influenced by several factors: the type and location of the fracture, the patient's overall health, their pain threshold, and the presence of any comorbid conditions. Understanding the nature of pain in fracture patients is essential for devising effective management strategies.

The pharmacological approach to pain management in fracture patients typically begins with analgesics. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), such as ibuprofen and naproxen, are frequently recommended due to their ability to reduce inflammation and pain. Acetaminophen is another option, particularly for patients who may have contraindications for NSAIDs. However, for more severe pain, stronger analgesics, including opioids like morphine or oxycodone, may be necessary in the short term [16].

Opioids can be very effective in managing severe pain, especially immediately following a fracture or surgical intervention. However, their use comes with risks, such as the potential for addiction, tolerance, and side effects like constipation, nausea, and respiratory depression. For this reason, healthcare providers must carefully evaluate the necessity and duration of opioid therapy, often preferring to restrict its use to the initial post-fracture phase or after surgery.

In addition to standard analgesics, adjuvant medications can play a significant role in pain management. Drugs such as gabapentin and pregabalin are effective for neuropathic pain, which may arise if a fracture affects nearby nerves. Antidepressants, particularly tricyclics or serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), can also be helpful in managing chronic pain by altering pain perception and improving mood [17].

In conjunction with pharmacological treatments, non-pharmacological methods are essential for a holistic approach to pain management. These strategies focus on psychological and physical aspects of pain and aid in recovery and rehabilitation.

Physical therapy is a cornerstone in the recovery process for fracture patients. Early intervention with a qualified physical therapist can help improve mobility, reduce pain, and prevent complications, such as joint stiffness and muscle atrophy. Techniques may include gentle range-of-motion exercises, strengthening activities, and the application of modalities such as heat, cold, and

electrical stimulation. Tailored rehabilitation programs not only alleviate pain but also facilitate the healing process by promoting circulation to the injured area [18].

Cognitive-behavioral therapy can also be instrumental in pain management. It focuses on changing the patient's perceptions and reactions to pain, helping them develop coping strategies to deal with discomfort. CBT has been shown to reduce the psychological distress associated with chronic pain, improve emotional well-being, and enhance a patient's ability to manage their symptoms effectively [19].

Many patients benefit from alternative therapies, such as acupuncture, massage therapy, and mindfulness practices. Acupuncture involves the insertion of fine needles into specific points of the body, aiming to restore balance and reduce pain. Massage therapy can alleviate muscle tension in areas surrounding the fracture and promote relaxation. Mindfulness and meditation practices encourage patients to focus their attention in a way that can reduce their perception of pain and enhance overall well-being [20].

A multimodal approach to pain management—utilizing a combination of pharmacological and non-pharmacological strategies—has been shown to be particularly effective for fracture patients. This strategy not only enhances pain relief but also minimizes the potential for side effects associated with high doses of any single treatment. By addressing the pain experience through multiple avenues, healthcare providers can offer more comprehensive care that promotes faster healing [20].

Mobility Promotion and Rehabilitation Techniques:

Fractures, or breaks in the continuity of bone, represent a significant health concern and a common occurrence in both young and older populations. They can result from various incidents, including falls, sports injuries, or accidents, leading to not only physical pain but also emotional distress and decreased quality of life. Rehabilitation following a fracture is crucial, focusing not only on healing the bone itself but also on restoring function and mobility to prevent long-term complications [21].

Bone fractures can vary in type and severity, ranging from simple, closed fractures, which do not break the skin, to complex, open fractures that expose the bone and surrounding tissue to the environment. In addition, fractures can be classified according to their location—common sites include the wrist (often due to falls), hip (especially in older adults), and ankle. The recovery process entails a multidisciplinary approach involving orthopedic specialists, physical therapists, occupational therapists, and sometimes psychologists to address the complete spectrum of healing [21].

The impact of a fracture extends beyond the physical realm; patients often experience psychological effects, including anxiety and depression. The fear of re-injury can inhibit them from fully engaging in rehabilitation exercises, emphasizing the need for a holistic approach to recovery [22].

Immediate management of a fracture typically includes immobilization through casts or splints to provide stability and protect the area while the bone heals. The healing process can take several weeks to months, depending on the type and location of the fracture, the age and health of the patient, and other underlying factors. During this time, mobility enhancement and rehabilitation techniques must be carefully tailored to the individual's condition.

At the onset of rehabilitation, especially when the fracture is still healing, passive range of motion exercises may be introduced. These exercises involve a therapist moving the patient's joints without the patient's assistance. This process helps maintain joint flexibility and circulation, without placing undue stress on the healing bone [23].

Once the initial healing phase is completed and it is safe to begin rehabilitation, active range of motion exercises become essential. AROM allows patients to engage their muscles and joints actively, promoting blood flow and enhancing flexibility, coordination, and strength.

Gradual reintroduction of weight-bearing activities is crucial in the recovery phase. Depending on the fracture's severity and location, patients may begin with partial weight-bearing exercises, progressing to

full weight-bearing activities. Such exercises help stimulate bone remodeling by applying mechanical stress, which enhances bone strength and reduces the risk of future fractures[24].

Aquatic therapy provides a unique environment for rehabilitation, offering buoyancy that reduces the risk of falls while allowing the patient to perform weight-bearing and resistance exercises. The warm water can alleviate pain and muscle stiffness, providing an effective modality for enhancing mobility without placing excessive strain on the recovering bone [24].

Physical therapists play an integral role in the rehabilitation process. They develop individualized exercise programs that cater to a patient's specific needs, incorporating strength training, balance exercises, and mobility drills. Manual therapy techniques, such as joint mobilization, can also be utilized to enhance flexibility and alleviate pain. Regular assessments ensure that the rehabilitation plan adapts to the patient's progress [25].

Occupational therapy is essential for enabling patients to perform daily activities as they recover. Therapists focus on enhancing functional mobility, addressing fine motor skills, and modifying environments to promote independence. For instance, using adaptive tools can help patients during the recovery process while they regain strength and coordination [26].

Education about the healing process, rehabilitation expectations, and pain management strategies plays a vital role in recovery. Addressing the emotional impact of a fracture is equally important. Providing psychosocial support can help patients cope with the mental hurdles associated with recovery, fostering a more positive outlook and motivating patients to adhere to their rehabilitation protocols [27].

Advancements in rehabilitation technology have introduced innovative techniques that enhance recovery for bone fracture patients. For example, robotic-assisted therapy allows for precise movements and controlled environments in which patients can practice mobility exercises. Virtual reality therapy can also offer engaging, interactive rehabilitation options, making exercises less monotonous and more accessible [28].

Preventing Complications: Focus on Immobility:

Bone fractures are among the most common injuries that prompt medical attention. They can occur as a result of a variety of incidents—from slips and falls to automobile accidents—affecting individuals of all ages. While the immediate concern following a fracture typically revolves around pain management and proper alignment for healing, an equally critical aspect of recovery is the prevention of complications associated with immobility. As patients with bone fractures are often required to limit movement to allow for optimal healing, understanding the complications that can arise from immobility and the strategies to mitigate them becomes essential for healthcare providers, patients, and caregivers alike [29].

Immobility refers to a state where a person is unable to move normally or is restricted in movement. This can occur due to a fracture that necessitates the use of casts, splints, or braces, which immobilize the affected limb to facilitate proper healing. While immobilization is a key treatment component, it is crucial to recognize that prolonged immobility can lead to various complications, including muscle atrophy, joint stiffness, pressure ulcers, venous thromboembolism, and psychosocial issues [29].

One of the first complications of immobility is muscle atrophy, or the wasting away of muscle tissue. When a limb is immobilized, the surrounding muscles are not engaged, leading to a reduction in muscle mass and strength as the body adapts to decreased activity levels. Studies have shown that muscle mass can decrease significantly within just a few days of immobilization, which can delay the rehabilitation process and hinder recovery [30].

Joint stiffness is another common complication experienced by fracture patients. Prolonged immobility can result in the formation of adhesions within the joints, restricting movement and contributing to a reduction in the range of motion. If not addressed, this can lead to long-term functional impairment that may take considerable time and therapy to overcome [30].

For patients who are bedridden or have limited mobility, there is an increased risk of developing

pressure ulcers, also known as bedsores. These injuries occur due to prolonged pressure on the skin, particularly over bony prominences, and can lead to serious infections if not properly managed. Pressure ulcers can significantly impede rehabilitation efforts, as affected patients may require additional medical care that can postpone their physical therapy and recovery [31].

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) is a serious complication that involves the formation of blood clots in the deep veins of the body, often in the lower extremities. The risk of VTE increases significantly in individuals who are immobilized, as the lack of movement inhibits proper venous return. If a clot dislodges, it can travel to the lungs (pulmonary embolism), posing a critical threat to the patient's life [32].

Beyond the physical complications, patients experiencing prolonged immobility often face psychosocial challenges as well. Feelings of isolation, anxiety, and depression can arise due to lack of mobility and independence. This psychological toll can hinder motivation to participate in rehabilitation efforts, thereby prolonging recovery [33].

Given the serious complications associated with immobility, it is crucial to implement prevention strategies tailored to fracture patients. These strategies encompass a comprehensive approach, addressing both physical and emotional well-being.

One of the primary strategies to prevent complications from immobility is early mobilization. Research has shown that initiating movement as soon as it is clinically feasible—not only minimizes muscle atrophy and joint stiffness but also enhances overall recovery outcomes. Physical therapists play a pivotal role in developing individualized mobility plans that consider the patient's condition and limitations while encouraging safe movement as early as possible[33].

Implementing passive and active range of motion exercises can significantly counteract the effects of immobility. These exercises, which involve moving the joints through their full range, help maintain joint flexibility, reduce stiffness, and promote circulation. Healthcare providers can educate patients and caregivers on specific exercises that are appropriate for their situation, even if full weight-bearing is not yet permitted.

Maintaining proper positioning in bed or during periods of inactivity helps alleviate pressure on specific skin areas, thus minimizing the risk of developing pressure ulcers. Regularly changing a patient's position can help mitigate this risk. Additionally, a well-balanced diet rich in protein, vitamins, and minerals is critical for maintaining muscle mass and supporting overall healing during the recovery process [34].

Addressing the psychosocial aspects of recovery is equally important. Providing psychological support, whether through counseling, support groups, or engaging family members, can help alleviate feelings of anxiety and depression associated with prolonged immobility. Encouraging social interaction—whether through virtual means or in-person visits—can also create a support system that will motivate and empower patients throughout their recovery journey [35].

In some cases, patients may require anticoagulant medications to prevent venous thromboembolism, particularly if they are deemed at high risk due to immobility. On the other hand, proper pain management should be sought to ensure that patients can participate in their rehabilitation efforts without being sidelined by discomfort [35].

Patient Education and Self-Management Strategies:

Bone fractures are a common injury that can significantly impact an individual's daily life, mobility, and overall health. While medical intervention is essential in healing fractures, patient education and self-management strategies play equally vital roles in recovery [36].

A bone fracture occurs when there is a break in the continuity of the bone. Fractures can result from various factors, including traumatic injuries (falling, accidents), repetitive stress, or underlying health conditions that weaken bones, such as osteoporosis. The healing process for fractures can vary based on the fracture type (e.g., simple, compound, stress) and location, as well as patient age, overall health, and the presence of comorbid conditions [36].

The Importance of Patient Education

Patient education serves multiple purposes in the recovery process. Effective education can empower patients by providing them with knowledge about their injury, treatment options, and the necessary steps toward recovery. Understanding the healing process helps mitigate anxiety, fostering a more positive outlook and enhancing adherence to prescribed therapies [37].

The primary components of fracture-related patient education include:

1. **Anatomy and Function**: Educating patients about the skeletal system, specifically about the affected bone and its functions, is crucial. Visual aids, such as diagrams or 3D models, can enhance comprehension and help patients appreciate the significance of their recovery [37].

2. **Types of Fractures and Treatment Options**: Patients should be informed about the specific type of fracture they have, the treatment plan (e.g., casting, splinting, surgical intervention), and the expected timeline for recovery. Understanding why a particular treatment is essential increases the likelihood of compliance.

3. **Potential Complications**: Educating patients about complications—such as nonunion, malunion, infection, or complications from surgery—can alert them to watch for warning signs and seek assistance when necessary.

4. **Post-treatment Care**: Patients must understand post-treatment care, including immobilization, pain management, rehabilitation, and follow-up appointments. Clear communication about maintaining casts or splints, as well as safely managing pain with medications, is paramount [37].

5. **Diet and Nutrition**: Bone healing is a complex biological process that requires adequate nutrition. Education on the role of calcium, vitamin D, and protein in bone health can motivate patients to adopt a nutritious diet that supports healing [38].

6. **Activity Modification**: Guidance on how to modify activities to avoid strain on the healing bone is crucial. Patients need to be informed about how long they should avoid specific movements or activities, as well as appropriate rehabilitation exercises to regain strength and mobility [38].

Self-Management Strategies for Bone Fracture Patients

In addition to understanding their condition, patients can employ self-management strategies to facilitate their recovery:

1. **Pain Management**: Implementing proper pain management strategies is critical. Patients should be educated on the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), ice application, and alternative pain relief techniques such as relaxation exercises [39].

2. **Adhering to Rehabilitation Protocols**: A prescribed rehabilitation plan is vital for restoring mobility and function. Patients should be encouraged to adhere to physical therapy guidelines and perform prescribed at-home exercises. Regular follow-up with healthcare providers can help monitor progress and adjust rehabilitation as necessary.

3. **Mobility Aids**: Understanding when and how to use mobility aids (crutches, walkers, braces) can prevent further injury and promote independence. Education regarding their proper use can improve mobility, prevent falls, and enhance confidence [39].

4. **Setting Realistic Goals**: Facilitating goal setting can motivate patients. Goals should be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART). For instance, a patient might aim to regain specific range-of-motion measurements within a predetermined timeframe.

5. **Maintaining a Support Network**: Encouraging patients to engage their family and friends in their recovery provides emotional support and motivation. Patients can benefit from sharing progress, receiving assistance in daily tasks, and adhering to medication schedules [40].

6. **Psychoeducation and Mental Health**: Fractures can significantly affect a patient's mental health, leading to feelings of frustration or depression. Support groups, counseling, and resources on coping strategies can help patients manage feelings of anxiety or sadness that often accompany physical limitations.

7. **Behavioral Changes and Lifestyle Modifications**: Education on avoiding risky behaviors (such as smoking and excessive alcohol consumption) is vital. Patients should understand how these factors can adversely affect bone health and healing, prompting them to adopt a healthier lifestyle that supports future bone integrity [40].

Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Fracture Care:

Fractures, defined as breaks in the continuity of bone, are common injuries that can significantly impact patients' mobility, health, and quality of life. The care of fractures extends beyond the initial diagnosis and treatment; it encompasses a spectrum of services that include pain management, surgical intervention, rehabilitation, and long-term follow-up. These complexities underscore the necessity for an interdisciplinary approach, where diverse clinical expertise converges to deliver comprehensive care [41].

Roles of Various Healthcare Professionals

In the realm of fracture care, several key professionals play pivotal roles, each contributing their unique knowledge and skills:

1. **Orthopedic Surgeons**: These specialists are primarily responsible for diagnosing and treating fractures, which may involve surgical procedures like internal fixation or joint replacements. Their expertise is crucial in determining the best treatment modality based on the type and location of the fracture [42].

2. **Physicians**: Not limited to orthopedic surgeons, other physicians, including trauma specialists and geriatricians, play vital roles, especially in managing comorbidities that can complicate fracture healing [42].

3. **Nurses**: Nursing staff are essential in the management of patient care, including preoperative assessment, postoperative care, and patient education. Their role in monitoring vital signs and recognizing complications is critical for early intervention.

4. **Physical and Occupational Therapists**: These professionals facilitate rehabilitation through personalized exercise programs that promote mobility and strength recovery after a fracture. Their interventions are essential for restoring functionality and preventing long-term disability [42].

5. **Pharmacists**: In fracture care, pharmacists ensure that patients receive optimal pain management through medication reconciliation and counseling on the safe use of analgesics and anti-inflammatories. Their role is increasingly important in addressing polypharmacy, particularly in older adults.

6. **Social Workers and Case Managers**: These professionals assist with the psychosocial aspects of fracture care, including discharge planning and connecting patients with community resources which can facilitate recovery and navigation of healthcare services.

7. **Radiologists**: Critical for diagnosis, radiologists interpret imaging studies such as X-rays, CT scans, and MRIs, providing valuable insights into the nature and extent of fractures that influence treatment decisions [42].

Benefits of Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Collaboration among these diverse healthcare professionals has numerous advantages:

1. **Comprehensive Care**: A multidisciplinary team approach ensures that all aspects of a patient's health, from physical needs to emotional support, are addressed. This comprehensive care model can result in better recovery rates and improved patient experiences [43].

2. **Enhanced Communication**: Interdisciplinary teams facilitate open communication among providers, promoting clarity regarding treatment plans. Regular team meetings and shared decision-making allow for coordinated efforts towards patient care.

3. **Holistic Perspective on Patient Care**: An interdisciplinary approach offers a broader perspective on patient health, considering social, emotional, and physical factors that impact recovery. This holistic view is particularly vital in populations with complex health needs, such as the elderly [44].

4. **Prevention of Complications**: Effective collaboration can lead to early identification of complications related to fractures or individual

patient circumstances. Interventions can then be taken proactively, reducing the risk of prolonged hospitalization or re-admission.

5. **Research and Innovation**: Collaborative environments attract diverse ideas that foster research and innovation. Interdisciplinary teams can explore novel treatment protocols, evaluation metrics, and rehabilitation strategies, driving progress in fracture care [45].

Challenges to Effective Collaboration

Despite the clear benefits, interdisciplinary collaboration in fracture care is not without challenges:

1. **Communication Barriers**: Different professional languages and terminologies may lead to misunderstandings. Inconsistent communication can jeopardize patient safety and lead to fragmented care [46].

2. **Time Constraints**: Healthcare professionals often face demanding schedules that limit opportunities for collaborative meetings and discussions, making coordinated efforts difficult to implement consistently.

3. **Role Conflicts**: The multiplicity of roles may create jurisdictional conflicts among providers, potentially leading to overlapping responsibilities or areas of neglect [46].

4. **Systems-Based Challenges**: Institutional policies and structural barriers may hinder effective collaboration. A lack of resources — including limited staffing and inadequate referral systems — can adversely impact teamwork.

5. **Training Gaps**: Many healthcare professionals receive limited training in collaborative models. This gap can affect their ability to work effectively on interdisciplinary teams, potentially reducing the quality of care provided [47].

Future Outlook for Interdisciplinary Collaboration

The future of fracture care is increasingly leaning towards integrated, patient-centered models that emphasize multidisciplinary collaboration. Advancements in technology, such as electronic health records (EHRs), facilitate communication and information sharing among team members, promoting coordinated care practices. Additionally, the growing emphasis on value-based care reinforces the relevance of interdisciplinary approaches, as teams work together to enhance outcomes while controlling costs [48].

Further, innovations in educational programs are integrating training in teamwork and collaborative practice into medical and allied health curricula. Initiatives aimed at fostering interdisciplinary collaboration in educational settings are increasing awareness regarding the importance of teamwork among emerging healthcare professionals [49].

Outcome Measurement and Quality Improvement in Fracture Nursing Care:

Fractures, or breaks in bones, pose significant challenges to healthcare systems globally. These challenges include not only the physical implications for patients but also the complex management required by nursing and multidisciplinary teams for optimal care and recovery. As fractures can affect mobility, overall health status, and psychological well-being, effective care and rehabilitation become paramount. In recent years, there has been a pronounced emphasis on outcome measurement and quality improvement in nursing care, particularly concerning fractures [50].

Significance of Outcome Measurement in Fracture Nursing Care

Outcome measurement serves as a systematic method to assess the effectiveness of healthcare interventions and the quality of care received by patients. In the context of fracture nursing care, it provides critical insight into various dimensions of patient recovery, from correct healing of bones to timely rehabilitation and overall patient satisfaction. Several factors make outcome measurement vital in this domain:

1. **Patient-Centric Care**: By focusing on specific outcomes such as pain levels, functional mobility, and quality of life, nurses can tailor their

interventions to meet individual patient needs, ensuring that care is both patient-centered and effective [51].

2. **Resource Allocation**: Outcome measurement allows healthcare providers to allocate resources more efficiently. A thorough analysis of recovery trends can identify areas needing additional resources, training, or support, thereby optimizing care delivery within clinical settings [52].

3. **Benchmarking and Standards**: In order to push for improvement, it is essential to establish benchmarks based on outcome data. These benchmarks facilitate comparisons across organizations and enhance the accountability of nursing staff and healthcare teams in delivering fracture care [53].

4. **Research and Policy Development**: Outcome measurement not only serves immediate clinical needs but also contributes to broader research initiatives and policy development aimed at enhancing care for all fracture patients across various settings [p53].

Metrics for Outcome Measurement in Fracture Nursing Care

Effective outcome measurement relies on selecting appropriate metrics that reflect the specific aspects of fracture care. The following categories of metrics can be instrumental:

1. **Clinical Outcomes**: These include morbidity and mortality rates, incidence of nonunion or malunion of fractures, and rates of complications such as infections or venous thromboembolism. Monitoring these rates helps identify areas warranting intervention and improvement [54].

2. **Functional Outcomes**: Assessing the degree of mobility and function post-injury is crucial. Metrics such as the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test or the Functional Independence Measure (FIM) can gauge a patient's recovery trajectory and long-term functional status.

3. **Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs)**: Incorporating patient perspectives on their health status and recovery, metrics like the Visual Analog Scale for pain and the EQ-5D for health-related quality of life capture the holistic impact of fracture nursing care on patients [55].

4. **Satisfaction Assessments**: Measuring patient satisfaction through surveys or interviews provides invaluable qualitative data that can streamline communication and responsiveness in care practices [56].

Quality Improvement Frameworks in Fracture Nursing Care

After establishing clear metrics, quality improvement (QI) frameworks serve to methodically elevate care standards and optimize patient outcomes. Some widely recognized approaches include:

1. **Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) Cycle**: This iterative model encourages teams to develop a strategy for improvement (Plan), implement it on a small scale (Do), analyze the data (Study), and make necessary adjustments (Act). In fracture nursing care, this might involve testing new pain management protocols or rehabilitation exercises incrementally[57].

2. **Lean Six Sigma**: This principles-based framework focuses on reducing waste and enhancing efficiency while ensuring quality. By implementing Lean methodologies, nursing teams can streamline processes such as patient flow and discharge procedures to improve care efficiency specifically for fracture patients.

3. **Clinical Pathways**: Pathways standardize care for specific conditions, ensuring adherence to best-practice guidelines. For fractures, standardized pathways can enhance care coordination among various specialties and streamline interventions, ultimately optimizing patient recovery [58].

4. **Collaborative Practice Models**: Integrating nursing staff with other healthcare professionals, such as physical therapists and social workers, fosters a holistic approach to fracture care. Such collaboration enriches the array of expertise available to patients and reflects a comprehensive strategy for driving improvements [59].

Implementation Strategies for Quality Improvement

To effectively implement outcome measurement and quality improvement in fracture nursing care, several strategies can be adopted:

1. **Education and Training**: Regular training programs focused on best practices in fracture management (including the most recent evidence-based guidelines) enhance staff competencies and ensure high-quality care delivery [60].

2. **Data Collection and Technology Utilization**: Equipping nursing staff with tools for efficient data collection (like electronic health records) promotes accurate monitoring of outcomes and identifies areas for improvement [61].

3. **Patient Involvement**: Engaging patients in their care process not only improves satisfaction but fosters a sense of shared responsibility. Educational resources regarding healing expectations, mobility exercises, and pain management can empower patients to take active roles in their recovery [62].

4. **Feedback Mechanisms**: Instituting structured feedback loops—for instance, debriefings with nursing staff and interdisciplinary teams—can refine processes and highlight areas in need of attention or adjustment, thus reinforcing a culture of continuous improvement[63].

Conclusion:

In summary, effective nursing interventions for bone fracture patients in acute care settings are crucial for facilitating recovery and minimizing complications. By prioritizing pain management, promoting mobility, and implementing preventive measures against potential complications, nurses play an essential role in enhancing patient outcomes. Moreover, comprehensive patient education empowers individuals to actively participate in their recovery process, while interdisciplinary collaboration ensures a holistic approach to care. The integration of these nursing interventions not only improves the immediate care of fracture patients but also lays the groundwork for successful long-term rehabilitation. Future research is needed to further refine these interventions and explore innovative strategies that can enhance the quality of care provided to this population, ultimately contributing to better outcomes and patient satisfaction.

References:

1. Banks E, Reeves GK, Beral V, Balkwill A, Liu B, Roddam A. Million Women Study Collaborators. Hip fracture incidence in relation to age, menopausal status, and age at menopause: prospective analysis. PLoS Med. 2009 Nov;6(11):e1000181. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000181.
2. Lim KB, Eng AK, Chng SM, Tan AG, Thoo FL, Low CO. Limited magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and the occult hip fracture. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2002 Sep;31(5):607–610.
3. Zuckerman JD. Hip fracture. N Engl J Med. 1996 Jun 6;334(23):1519–1525. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199606063342307.
4. Aderinto J, Keating J. The management of intracapsular fracture of the femoral neck. Orthopaed Trauma. 2010 Feb;24(1):42–52.
5. Tinetti ME, Kumar C. The patient who falls: “It's always a trade-off.” JAMA. 2010 Jan 20;303(3):258–266. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.2024.
6. Juliebø V, Krogseth M, Skovlund E, Engedal K, Wyller TB. Medical treatment predicts mortality after hip fracture. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2010 Apr;65(4):442–449. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glp199.
7. Freeman MA, Todd RC, Pirie CJ. The role of fatigue in the pathogenesis of senile femoral neck fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1974 Nov;56-B(4):698–702. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.56B4.698.
8. Cserháti P, Kazár G, Manninger J, Fekete K, Fre nyó S. Non-operative or operative treatment for undisplaced femoral neck fractures: a comparative study of 122 non-operative and 125 operatively treated cases. Injury. 1996 Oct;27(8):583–588. doi: 10.1016/s0020-1383(96)00073-3.
9. Angthong C, Suntharapa T, Harnroongroj T. Major risk factors for the second contralateral hip fracture in the elderly. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc. 2009

May-Jul;43(3):193–198. doi: 10.3944/AOTT.2009.193.
10. Koval KJ, Zuckerman JD. Hip fractures: ii. evaluation and treatment of intertrochanteric fractures. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 1994 May;2(3):150–156. doi: 10.5435/00124635-199405000-00003.
11. Lee YP, Griffith JF, Antonio GE, Tang N, Leung KS. Early magnetic resonance imaging of radiographically occult osteoporotic fractures of the femoral neck. Hong Kong Med J. 2004 Aug;10(4):271–275.
12. Dominguez S, Liu P, Roberts C, Mandell M, Richman PB. Prevalence of traumatic hip and pelvic fractures in patients with suspected hip fracture and negative initial standard radiographs—a study of emergency department patients. Acad Emerg Med. 2005 Apr;12(4):366–369. doi: 10.1197/j.aem.2004.10.024.
13. Braithwaite RS, Col NF, Wong JB. Estimating hip fracture morbidity, mortality and costs. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003 Mar;51(3):364–370. doi: 10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51110.x.
14. Swiontkowski MF. Intracapsular fractures of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1994 Jan;76(1):129–138. doi: 10.2106/00004623-199401000-00019.
15. Malanga GA, Jasey NN, Jr, Solomon J. Femoral Neck Fracture. Medscape. 2009 Jan 28.
16. Garden RS. The structure and function of the proximal end of the femur. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1961 Aug;43B(3):576–589.
17. Barefield E. Costs of falls among older adults. National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Division of Unintentional Injury Prevention. 2008.
18. Barefield E. Osteoporosis-related hip fractures cost $13 billion to $18 billion yearly. Moving toward Healthier Diets. 1996. January-April.
19. Tinetti ME, Kumar C. The patient who falls: “It's always a trade-off.” JAMA. 2010 Jan 20;303(3):258–266. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.2024.
20. Christodoulou NA, Dretakis EK. Significance of muscular disturbances in the localization of fractures of the proximal femur. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1984. pp. 215–217. Jul-Aug. (187)
21. Wani MI, Wani MM, Sultan A, Dar T. Subtrochanteric fractures—current management options. Internet J Orthop Surg. 2010;17(2).
22. Al-Hourani K., Pearce O., Kelly M. Standards of open lower limb fracture care in the United Kingdom. Injury. 2021;52(3):378–383. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2021.01.021.
23. Rinkel W. D., Fakkel T. M., Cabezas M. C., Birnie E., Coert J. H. (Cost-)effectiveness of lower extremity nerve decompression surgery in subjects with diabetes: the DeCompression (DECO) trial study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open. 2020;10(4). doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035644.
24. Yang X., Chen Y., Chen S., Pan L. Observation on clinical application effect of psychological nursing intervention in nursing care of elderly patients with chronic gastritis and analysis of nursing satisfaction. Minerva Gastroenterologica. 2021. doi: 10.23736/S2724-5985.21.03047-3.
25. Dartel D. V., Vermeer M., Folbert E. C., Arend J. A., Miriam M. R. V. H., Johannes H. H. Early predictors for discharge to geriatric rehabilitation after hip fracture treatment of older patients. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2021;22(12):2454–2460. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2021.03.026.
26. Lee A., Geoghegan L., Nolan G., Coopera K., Supera J., Pearse M. Open tibia/fibula in the elderly: a retrospective cohort study. JPRAS Open. 2021;31:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpra.2021.09.003.
27. Hussain M. S., Avilucea F. R., Archdeacon M. T. Effectiveness of the taut-line hitch knot in reducing and splinting lower extremity fractures. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma. 2019;33(1):e31–e35. doi: 10.1097/bot.0000000000001315.
28. Albareda J., Ibarz E., Mateo J., et al. Are the unreamed nails indicated in diaphysealfractures of the lower extremity? A biomechanical study. Injury. 2021;52:S61–S70. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2021.02.062.
29. Crotty M., Gnanamanickam E. S., Cameron I., Agar M. Are people in residential care entitled to

receive rehabilitation services following hip fracture? Views of the public from a citizens' jury. BMC Geriatrics. 2020;20(1):5–12. doi: 10.1186/s12877-020-01575-y.

30. Al-Thani H., El-Matbouly M., Al-Sulaiti M., Asim M., El-Menyar A. Does perioperative hemoglobin A1c level affect the incidence, pattern and mortality of lower extremity amputation? Current Vascular Pharmacology. 2019;17(4):354–364. doi: 10.2174/1570161116666180123112529.
31. Mathilde N., Louis P., Laure A., Eric D., Gilberto V. Lower extremity arterial disease in patients with diabetes: a contemporary narrative review. Cardiovascular Diabetology. 2019;17(1):p. 138. doi: 10.1186/s12933-018-0781-1.
32. Meng X., Chen X., Liu Z., Zhou L. Nursing practice in stroke rehabilitation: perspectives from multi-disciplinary healthcare professionals. Nursing and Health Sciences. 2020;22(1):28–37. doi: 10.1111/nhs.12641.
33. Vemulapalli K. C., Davis S. L., Mathews V., et al. Treatment of periprosthetic nonunionsof the lower extremity. Orthopedics. 2020;43(4):209–214. doi: 10.3928/01477447-20200428-04.
34. Marcia L., Kim D. Y. Predictors of peripheral vascular injury in patients with blunt lower extremity fractures. Annals of Vascular Surgery. 2019;57:35–40. doi: 10.1016/j.avsg.2018.12.056.
35. Kuo W. Y., Shyu Y., Wang J. S., Min-Chi C., Chi-Chuan W., Mei-Ling C. Adherence to home-based rehabilitation in older adults with diabetes after hip fracture. Nursing Research. 2019;68(5):383–389. doi: 10.1097/nnr.0000000000000371.
36. Cannon J, Silvestri S, Munro M. Imaging choices in occult hip fracture. J Emerg Med. 2009 Aug;37(2):144–152. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2007.12.039.
37. Grimes JP, Gregory PM, Noveck H, Butler MS, Carson JL. The effects of time-to-surgery on mortality and morbidity in patients following hip fracture. Am J Med. 2002 Jun 15;112(9):702–709. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9343(02)01119-1.
38. Eriksson BI, Bauer KA, Lassen MR. Turpie AG; Steering Committee of the Pentasaccharide in Hip-Fracture Surgery Study. Fondaparinux compared with enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after hip-fracture surgery. N Engl J Med. 2001 Nov 1;345(18):1298–1304. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa011100.
39. Merrer J, Girou E, Lortat-Jacob A, Montravers P. Lucet JC; Groupe de Recherch e sur l'Antibioprophylaxie en Chirurgie. Surgical site infection after surgery to repair femoral neck fracture: a French multicenter retrospective study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2007 Oct;28(10):1169–1174. doi: 10.1086/520745.
40. Gdalevich M, Cohen D, Yosef D, Tauber C. Morbidity and mortality after hip fracture: the impact of operative delay. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2004 Jun;124(5):334–340. doi: 10.1007/s00402-004-0662-9.
41. Al-Ani AN, Samuelsson B, Tidermark J, et al. Early operation on patients with a hip fracture improved the ability to return to independent living. A prospective study of 850 patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008 Jul;90(7):1436–1442. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.G.00890.
42. Powers PJ, Gent M, Jay RM, et al. A randomized trial of less intense postoperative warfarin or aspirin therapy in the prevention of venous thromboembolism after surgery for fractured hip. Arch Intern Med. 1989 Apr;149(4):771–774.
43. Whinney CM. Do hip fractures need to be repaired within 24 hours of injury? Cleve ClinJ Med. 2005 Mar;72(3):250–252. doi: 10.3949/ccjm.72.3.250.
44. Colwell CW. Annenberg Center for Health Sciences and Quadrant Medical Education. Thromboprophylaxis in orthopedic surgery. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ) 2006. Jun, pp. 1–9.
45. Christmas C. Medical care of the hip fracture patient. Clin Geriatr. 2006 Apr;14(4):40–45.
46. Yonezawa T, Yamazaki K, Atsumi T, Obara S. Influence of the timing of surgery on mortality and activity of hip fracture in elderly patients. J Orthop Sci. 2009 Sep;14(5):566–573. doi: 10.1007/s00776-009-1380-5.
47. Fleisher LA, Beckman JA, Brown KA, et al. ACC/AHA 2007 Guidelines on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Care

for Noncardiac Surgery: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008 Oct 23;5052(17)(9):1707–1732.

48. Handoll HH, Farrar MJ, McBirnie J, Tytherleigh-Strong GM, Milne AA, Gillespie WJ. Heparin, low molecular weight heparin and physical methods for preventing deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism following surgery for hip fractures. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002. (Review) Issue 4. Art. No. CD000305.
49. Merchant RA, Lui KL, Ismail NH, Wong HP, Sitoh YY. The relationship between postoperative complications and outcomes after hip fracture surgery. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2005 Mar;34(2):163–168.
50. Hagino T, Ochiai S, Sato E, Maekawa S, Wako M, Haro H. The relationship between anemia at admission and outcome in patients older than 60 years with hip fracture. J Orthop Traumatol. 2009 Sep;10(3):119–122. doi: 10.1007/s10195-009-0060-8.
51. Beaupre LA, Morrish DW, Hanley DA, et al. Oral bisphosphonates are associated with reduced mortality after hip fracture. Osteoporos Int. 2011 Mar;22(3):983–991. doi: 10.1007/s00198-010-1411-2.
52. Foss NB, Kristensen MT, Kehlet H. Anaemia impedes functional mobility after hip fracture surgery. Age Ageing. 2008 Mar;37(2):173–178. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afm161.
53. Edwards C, Counsell A, Boulton C, Moran CG. Early infection after hip fracture surgery: risk factors, costs and outcome. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2008 Jun;90(6):770–777. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.90B6.20194.
54. Torgerson DJ, Dolan P. Prescribing by general practitioners after an osteoporotic fracture. Ann Rheum Dis. 1998 Jun;57(6):378–379. doi: 10.1136/ard.57.6.378.
55. Rubin BR, René AA, Mains DA, Marshall MA. An analysis of osteoporosis-related hip fractures using hospital discharge data. J Am Osteopath Assoc. 2003 Apr;103(4):169–175.
56. Partanen J, Syrjälä H, Vähänikkilä H, Jalovaara P. Impact of deep infection after hip fracture surgery on function and mortality. J Hosp Infect. 2006 Jan;62(1):44–49. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2005.04.020.
57. Jennings LA, Auerbach AD, Maselli J, Pekow PS, Lindenauer PK, Lee SJ. Missed opportunities for osteoporosis treatment in patients hospitalized for hip fracture. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010 Apr;58(4):650–657. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.02769.x.
58. Kyziridis TC. Post-operative delirium after hip fracture treatment—a review of the current literature. Psychosoc Med. 2006 Feb 8;3:Doc01.
59. Furlaneto ME, Garcez-Leme LE. Delirium in elderly individuals with hip fracture: causes, incidence, prevalence, and risk factors. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2006 Feb;61(1):35–40. doi: 10.1590/s1807-59322006000100007.
60. Wald H, Epstein A, Kramer A. Extended use of indwelling urinary catheters in postoperative hip fracture patients. Med Care. 2005 Oct;43(10):1009–1017. doi: 10.1097/01.mlr.0000178199.07789.32.
61. Marcantonio ER, Flacker JM, Wright RJ, Resnick NM. Reducing delirium after hip fracture: a randomized trial. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2001 May;49(5):516–522. doi: 10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.49108.x.
62. Metge CJ, Leslie WD, Manness LJ, Yogendran M, Yuen CK. Kvern B; Maximizing Osteoporosis Management in Manitoba Steering Committee. Postfracture care for older women: gaps between optimal care and actual care. Can Fam Physician. 2008 Sep;54(9):1270–1276.
63. Nurmi-Lüthje I, Lüthje P, Kaukonen JP, et al. Post-fracture prescribed calcium and vitamin D supplements alone or, in females, with concomitant anti-osteoporotic drugs is associated with lower mortality in elderly hip fracture patients: a prospective analysis. Drugs Aging. 2009;26(5):409–421. doi: 10.2165/00002512-200926050-00005