Managing Diabetic Patients in Multidisciplinary Healthcare: The Role of Dentistry, Nursing, Pharmacy, Preventive Medicine, and Emergency Services

Areej Taher Albahrani[1] ،Maryam Zaebi Ajebi[2] ،Alatawi ،Fatimah Hammad[3] ،Mohammed Ibrahim Alhubail[4] ،Ghadeer Zaky Alabdulmhsen[5] ،Yousef Abdullah Aljuaidan[6] ،Alzahrani Abdulmajeed Saeed R[7] ،Yusra Abbas Alabyad[8] ،Althagafi ،Ali Madari A[9] ،Fatmah Seed Hebshi[10]

1. Dentist - Health cluster alahsa - MOH - Areej.bahrani@hotmail.com
2. Dental assistant - King salman millitary hospital - ajeebimariam@gmail.com
3. Dental assistant - King Salman Millatry Hospital - fatmhaltwy110@gmail.com
4. Nursing - Eradah Complex for mental health - Hubail27@hotmail.com
5. Nurse - omran general hospital - al-ghadeer5000@hotmail.com
6. Emergency Medical Services technician - Alahsa health cluster - YAljuaidan@moh.gov.sa
7. Health care - King abdulaziz International Airport - ALZAHRANI ABDULMAJEED SAEED R
8. Nurse - Health care administration Preventive Medical - Yalabayed@moh.gov.sa
9. Dentist - TERAH THAGEEF HEALTH CENTER, MOH, KSA - aamm14292010@hotmail.com
10. Nurse technician - Alwaha PHC MOH Eastern Jeddah - F1atima@outlook.com

Abstract

Diabetes mellitus represents a global health crisis requiring comprehensive preventive strategies across primary, secondary, and tertiary stages of care. This study examines evidence-based preventive medicine approaches for diabetic patients, emphasizing risk reduction, early detection, and complication management. Primary prevention strategies, including lifestyle interventions modeled after the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) and targeted metformin use, demonstrate 31–58% reductions in diabetes incidence among high-risk populations. Secondary prevention focuses on glycemic control (HbA1c <7%), multifactorial risk management (blood pressure, lipids), and routine screenings for microvascular complications, which collectively reduce morbidity by 25–85%. Tertiary prevention employs multidisciplinary care for advanced complications, such as diabetic foot teams and cardiac rehabilitation. Innovative approaches, including digital health tools and precision medicine, show promise but face implementation barriers like inequitable access and insufficient reimbursement. A paradigm shift toward integrated, patient-centered prevention is critical to mitigate the growing diabetes burden.

Keywords: diabetes prevention, lifestyle intervention, complication screening, multidisciplinary care, precision medicine, health equity

Introduction:

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both. With over 537 million adults living with diabetes globally, the disease poses a significant public health challenge, contributing to increased morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs [1]. Effective management of diabetes requires a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach due to its systemic nature and the wide range of complications it can cause, including cardiovascular disease, neuropathy, retinopathy, and periodontal disease [2]. Given the complexity of diabetes care, collaboration among healthcare professionals—such as dentists, nurses, pharmacists, preventive medicine specialists, and emergency responders—is essential to optimize patient outcomes and reduce the burden of the disease.

The role of dentistry in diabetes management is often overlooked, despite strong evidence linking periodontal disease and poor glycemic control. Research indicates that periodontal inflammation can exacerbate insulin resistance, while uncontrolled diabetes increases susceptibility to oral infections [3]. Dentists play a crucial role in early detection of diabetes through oral manifestations such as xerostomia, candidiasis, and delayed wound

healing [4]. Furthermore, integrating oral health into diabetes care plans can improve metabolic control and reduce complications, highlighting the need for interprofessional collaboration [5].

Nursing professionals are at the forefront of diabetes management, providing patient education, monitoring glycemic control, and facilitating adherence to treatment plans. Nurses in primary care and hospital settings are instrumental in delivering structured diabetes self-management education (DSME), which has been shown to improve HbA1c levels and reduce hospital admissions [6]. Additionally, nurse-led interventions, including foot care assessments and lifestyle counseling, are critical in preventing diabetic complications such as ulcers and amputations [7]. The expanding role of advanced practice nurses in prescribing and adjusting diabetes medications further underscores their importance in a multidisciplinary team [8].

Pharmacists contribute significantly to diabetes care by ensuring medication adherence, optimizing drug therapy, and preventing adverse drug interactions. Medication nonadherence remains a major barrier to glycemic control, with studies showing that pharmacist-led interventions improve medication compliance and clinical outcomes [9]. Furthermore, pharmacists are increasingly involved in point-of-care testing for HbA1c and lipid profiles, enabling timely adjustments in therapy [10]. Their expertise in pharmacotherapy is particularly valuable in managing complex cases, such as patients with comorbid hypertension or dyslipidemia, where polypharmacy poses additional risks [11].

Preventive medicine specialists play a pivotal role in mitigating the progression of diabetes through early screening, risk assessment, and lifestyle interventions. Population-based strategies, such as community diabetes prevention programs, have demonstrated success in reducing incidence rates among high-risk individuals [12]. By focusing on modifiable risk factors—such as obesity, physical inactivity, and poor nutrition—preventive medicine complements clinical care and reduces long-term complications.

Emergency services also play a critical role in diabetes management, particularly in acute complications such as diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and severe hypoglycemia. Rapid assessment and intervention by emergency medical teams can be life-saving, emphasizing the need for standardized protocols and interprofessional training [13]. Additionally, emergency departments serve as an entry point for undiagnosed diabetic patients, offering opportunities for early intervention and referral to multidisciplinary care teams [14].

The Role of Dentistry in Diabetic Patient Care

Diabetes mellitus is a systemic disease with far-reaching implications for oral health, and conversely, poor oral health can exacerbate diabetes complications. The bidirectional relationship between diabetes and periodontal disease has been well-documented, with research indicating that chronic periodontitis can worsen glycemic control, while uncontrolled diabetes increases susceptibility to oral infections [15]. Given this interplay, dental professionals play a crucial role in the multidisciplinary management of diabetic patients. Dentists are uniquely positioned to detect early signs of undiagnosed diabetes through oral manifestations such as xerostomia, candidiasis, gingival inflammation, and delayed wound healing [16]. By incorporating diabetes screening into routine dental examinations, oral healthcare providers can contribute to early diagnosis and prompt medical intervention, ultimately improving patient outcomes.

Periodontal disease is one of the most common complications of diabetes, affecting nearly 22% of diabetic patients, with severe periodontitis being significantly more prevalent in this population [17]. The underlying mechanism involves hyperglycemia-induced inflammation, which impairs immune response and accelerates periodontal tissue destruction [18]. Studies have demonstrated that effective periodontal treatment, including scaling and root planing, can lead to improvements in glycemic control, as measured by reductions in HbA1c levels [19]. This suggests that periodontal therapy should be an integral component of diabetes management. Furthermore, dentists can collaborate with physicians to develop coordinated care plans that address both oral and systemic health, reinforcing the importance of interprofessional communication in diabetic patient care.

In addition to periodontal disease, diabetic patients are at higher risk for other oral conditions, including dental caries, oral candidiasis, and burning mouth syndrome. Xerostomia, or dry mouth, is a frequent complaint among diabetic individuals due to decreased salivary flow, which increases the risk of caries and oral infections [20]. Dentists can mitigate these risks by recommending saliva substitutes, sugar-free chewing gum, and fluoride treatments to strengthen enamel and prevent decay [21]. Moreover, diabetic patients with poorly controlled blood glucose levels often exhibit impaired wound healing, which can complicate oral surgical procedures such as extractions and implant placements [22]. Preoperative glycemic monitoring and close postoperative follow-up are essential to minimize complications and ensure optimal recovery.

Patient education is another critical aspect of the dentist's role in diabetic care. Many individuals with diabetes are unaware of the connection between oral health and systemic disease, leading to neglect of routine dental visits [23]. Dentists should emphasize the importance of meticulous oral hygiene, regular professional cleanings, and prompt treatment of gum disease to prevent exacerbation of diabetes-related complications. Additionally, dietary counseling can help patients reduce sugar intake, which benefits both glycemic control and oral health [24]. Collaborative efforts between dentists, diabetes educators, and nutritionists can reinforce these messages and encourage adherence to preventive measures.

Emerging research highlights the potential for dental professionals to participate in broader diabetes management strategies. Some studies suggest that chairside HbA1c testing in dental settings could facilitate early detection of prediabetes and diabetes, particularly in high-risk populations [25]. This approach aligns with the concept of dental-medical integration, where oral health providers work alongside primary care teams to deliver holistic patient care. Furthermore, teledentistry has shown promise in improving access to dental care for diabetic patients, particularly those in rural or underserved areas [26]. By leveraging technology, dentists can monitor oral health remotely, provide virtual consultations, and coordinate care with other healthcare providers to ensure continuity in diabetes management.

Despite the clear benefits of integrating dentistry into diabetic care, several barriers exist, including limited awareness among medical professionals about the oral-systemic connection and insufficient reimbursement for dental services in diabetes management programs [27]. Addressing these challenges requires policy changes, enhanced interprofessional education, and greater emphasis on collaborative care models. By recognizing dentistry as a vital component of diabetes care, healthcare systems can improve patient outcomes, reduce complications, and lower overall treatment costs.

Nursing Contributions to Diabetes Management

Diabetes mellitus is a complex chronic condition requiring continuous medical care and patient self-management to prevent acute complications and reduce long-term risks. Nurses play a pivotal role in diabetes management, serving as educators, caregivers, coordinators, and advocates for patients across various healthcare settings. Their contributions are essential in improving glycemic control, preventing complications, and enhancing the quality of life for individuals with diabetes. Nursing interventions range from direct patient care to community-based health promotion, making them indispensable in the multidisciplinary approach to diabetes care [28].

One of the most critical roles of nurses in diabetes management is patient education. Diabetes self-management education (DSME) is a cornerstone of effective care, empowering patients to take an active role in their treatment. Nurses provide structured education on blood glucose monitoring, medication adherence, dietary modifications, and physical activity, all of which are crucial for maintaining optimal glycemic levels [29]. Studies have shown that nurse-led DSME programs significantly improve HbA1c levels, reduce hospital admissions, and enhance patients' confidence in managing their condition [30]. Additionally, nurses tailor education to individual needs, considering cultural, socioeconomic, and literacy factors to ensure comprehension and adherence. This personalized approach is particularly important in diverse populations where diabetes prevalence is disproportionately high [31].

Beyond education, nurses are instrumental in clinical monitoring and intervention. In inpatient settings, nurses manage insulin therapy, monitor for hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, and adjust treatment plans in collaboration with physicians. Their expertise in insulin administration—including the use of insulin pumps and continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) systems—ensures precise glycemic control, reducing the risk of complications such as diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) or severe hypoglycemia [32]. In primary care, nurse practitioners often take on advanced roles, prescribing medications, ordering diagnostic tests, and managing comorbidities such as hypertension and dyslipidemia, which are common in diabetic patients [33]. This expanded scope of practice improves access to care, particularly in underserved areas where physician shortages exist.

Nurses also play a vital role in preventive care, particularly in screening for and managing diabetes-related complications. Foot care is a key area where nursing interventions prevent severe outcomes such as ulcers and amputations. Regular foot assessments, patient education on proper foot hygiene, and early referral to podiatrists are standard nursing practices that reduce morbidity in diabetic patients [34]. Similarly, nurses conduct screenings for retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy, facilitating early detection and intervention. Their role in coordinating care with specialists—such as endocrinologists, ophthalmologists, and nephrologists—ensures a comprehensive approach to diabetes management, addressing both immediate and long-term health needs [35].

Community and public health nursing further extend the impact of nursing interventions in diabetes care. Nurses lead diabetes prevention programs, targeting high-risk individuals with lifestyle modification strategies to delay or prevent the onset of type 2 diabetes. These programs often include weight management, nutritional counseling, and physical activity promotion, which have been proven effective in reducing diabetes incidence [36]. School nurses also contribute by monitoring children with type 1 diabetes, ensuring safe insulin administration, and educating families on disease management. Their role is critical in creating supportive environments that promote adherence to treatment regimens in pediatric populations [37].

Pharmacy's Impact on Diabetes Treatment

Diabetes mellitus management requires a comprehensive approach where pharmacists play an increasingly vital role in optimizing medication therapy and improving patient outcomes. As medication experts, pharmacists contribute significantly to diabetes care through direct patient counseling, medication management, and collaborative practice with other healthcare providers. The expanding role of pharmacists in diabetes management has demonstrated measurable improvements in glycemic control, medication adherence, and reduction of diabetes-related complications [38]. This paper examines the multifaceted contributions of pharmacy professionals across various healthcare settings and their growing importance in multidisciplinary diabetes care teams.

One of the most significant impacts pharmacists have on diabetes treatment is through medication therapy management (MTM). Pharmacists conduct comprehensive medication reviews to identify potential drug interactions, inappropriate dosages, and adherence barriers that may compromise glycemic control [39]. Studies show that pharmacist-led MTM services result in statistically significant reductions in HbA1c levels (average decrease of 0.5-2.0%) compared to standard care alone [40]. Community pharmacists are particularly well-positioned to provide ongoing medication monitoring due to their frequent patient interactions and accessibility. Many pharmacies now offer point-of-care testing for HbA1c, blood glucose, and blood pressure, allowing pharmacists to make timely adjustments to therapy and provide immediate feedback to patients [41]. These services bridge gaps in care, especially for patients who may not have regular access to primary care providers.

Pharmacists also play a crucial role in improving medication adherence, which remains a major challenge in diabetes management. Approximately 50% of patients with chronic conditions like diabetes fail to take their medications as prescribed, leading to poor glycemic control and increased complications [42]. Pharmacists employ various strategies to enhance adherence, including simplified dosing regimens, medication synchronization programs, and tailored patient education. A systematic review demonstrated that

pharmacist interventions improved medication adherence by 12-25% in diabetic patients [43]. Additionally, pharmacists address cost-related nonadherence by identifying lower-cost alternatives, assisting with patient assistance programs, and advocating for insurance coverage of essential diabetes medications [44]. These interventions are particularly valuable given the rising costs of newer antidiabetic agents and insulin products.

The clinical role of pharmacists in diabetes care has expanded considerably in recent years. Many states now authorize pharmacist prescribing privileges for diabetes medications through collaborative practice agreements [45]. This expanded scope allows pharmacists to initiate, modify, and monitor drug therapy, particularly in underserved areas where access to endocrinologists may be limited. Clinical pharmacists in ambulatory care settings often manage complex cases involving multiple comorbidities, adjusting therapy based on comprehensive assessments of efficacy and safety. Their expertise is especially valuable when managing challenging scenarios such as renal impairment, where dosage adjustments for many diabetes medications are required [46]. Hospital-based pharmacists contribute to inpatient diabetes management by optimizing insulin regimens, preventing medication errors, and facilitating smooth transitions of care upon discharge.

Diabetes education provided by pharmacists significantly enhances patient understanding and self-management skills. Pharmacists educate patients on proper medication administration (including insulin injection techniques), recognition and management of hypoglycemia, and the importance of medication adherence [47]. Many pharmacies now offer structured diabetes education programs that complement those provided by other healthcare professionals. These educational interventions often focus on practical aspects of medication use, storage, and timing relative to meals, which are frequently misunderstood by patients. Pharmacists also play a key role in promoting lifestyle modifications, providing counseling on nutrition, physical activity, and smoking cessation - all critical components of diabetes management.

Emerging models of care increasingly integrate pharmacists into multidisciplinary diabetes teams. These collaborative practice models have shown superior outcomes compared to traditional care, with demonstrated reductions in HbA1c, hospitalizations, and diabetes-related complications [48]. Pharmacists contribute unique expertise regarding pharmacotherapy selection, particularly as the diabetes treatment landscape becomes increasingly complex with new medication classes. Their input helps ensure that medication regimens are evidence-based, cost-effective, and tailored to individual patient characteristics and preferences. As healthcare systems move toward value-based care, the pharmacist's role in achieving quality metrics and improving population health outcomes will continue to grow.

Despite these advancements, barriers to optimal pharmacist involvement in diabetes care persist. These include limited recognition of pharmacist services by insurance providers, variability in state practice regulations, and insufficient interprofessional communication [49]. Addressing these challenges requires policy changes to expand pharmacist reimbursement, standardization of collaborative practice agreements, and enhanced integration of pharmacists into electronic health record systems. As diabetes prevalence continues to rise globally, fully leveraging pharmacists' expertise will be essential for delivering comprehensive, patient-centered care that improves outcomes and reduces the overall burden of this chronic disease.

Emergency Services and Diabetes Crisis Management

Diabetes-related emergencies represent some of the most critical situations encountered in emergency medical services (EMS), accounting for approximately 10-15% of all EMS calls related to metabolic disorders [48]. Emergency personnel serve as frontline responders for acute diabetic complications including diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state (HHS), and severe hypoglycemia. These conditions require rapid recognition, immediate intervention, and appropriate triage to prevent life-threatening consequences. The effective management of diabetes crises by emergency services significantly impacts patient morbidity and mortality,

highlighting the crucial role of EMS in the continuum of diabetes care [49].

Acute Diabetes Complications in Emergency Settings

Diabetic ketoacidosis remains one of the most common diabetes emergencies encountered by EMS providers, particularly in patients with type 1 diabetes. DKA develops when severe insulin deficiency leads to uncontrolled hyperglycemia, ketone production, and metabolic acidosis [50]. Emergency medical technicians (EMTs) and paramedics must recognize hallmark symptoms including Kussmaul respirations, fruity breath odor, and altered mental status. Pre-hospital management focuses on airway protection, intravenous fluid resuscitation, and blood glucose monitoring while avoiding premature potassium administration that could exacerbate cardiac complications [51]. Studies demonstrate that protocol-driven EMS interventions for DKA reduce time to treatment initiation by 30-45 minutes compared to emergency department (ED) arrival-initiated care [52]. Similarly, hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state (HHS) requires careful fluid resuscitation and gradual glucose correction to avoid cerebral edema, with EMS playing a critical role in early recognition and stabilization during transport [53].

Hypoglycemic emergencies represent an equally critical challenge for emergency responders, accounting for nearly 100,000 ED visits annually in the U.S. alone [54]. Severe hypoglycemia (blood glucose <54 mg/dL) can lead to seizures, coma, and permanent neurological damage if not promptly treated. EMS protocols typically authorize paramedics to administer intravenous dextrose or intramuscular glucagon for unconscious patients [55]. Research indicates that pre-hospital glucose administration reduces hospital admission rates by 40% for moderate-severe hypoglycemia cases [56]. However, challenges persist in distinguishing hypoglycemia from other causes of altered mental status, particularly in elderly patients with comorbid conditions. The increasing availability of point-of-care ketone testing in EMS systems shows promise for more accurate differential diagnosis of hyperglycemic crises [57].

Special Considerations in Emergency Diabetes Management

Pediatric diabetes emergencies present unique challenges for EMS providers. Children with new-onset type 1 diabetes may present in DKA as their initial manifestation, requiring careful fluid management to avoid cerebral edema [58]. EMS systems with specialized pediatric protocols demonstrate improved outcomes through weight-based fluid calculations and controlled glucose correction rates. Geriatric patients similarly require tailored approaches due to increased risks of atypical presentations, polypharmacy interactions, and comorbidities that complicate emergency management [59].

Technological advancements are transforming EMS diabetes care. Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) data integration with EMS electronic health records enables responders to access historical glucose trends during crises [60]. Some advanced EMS systems now utilize telemedicine consultations with endocrinologists for complex cases, reducing unnecessary hospital transports by 15-20% [61]. Community paramedicine programs have also emerged as innovative solutions, providing follow-up care for frequent EMS utilizers with recurrent diabetes emergencies [62].

Barriers and Future Directions

Despite these advances, significant challenges remain in pre-hospital diabetes management. Variability in EMS protocols across regions leads to inconsistent care quality, particularly for rare conditions like HHS [63]. Medication shortages, particularly of injectable glucagon, occasionally limit treatment options in the field [64]. Additionally, nearly 25% of hypoglycemia cases transported by EMS represent preventable events related to medication errors or inadequate self-management education [65].

Future improvements require enhanced EMS training in diabetes crisis recognition, standardized national protocols, and better integration with outpatient diabetes care teams. The growing adoption of "treat and release" protocols for uncomplicated hypoglycemia may reduce unnecessary ED visits when appropriate safety

criteria are met [66]. Mobile health technologies, including smartphone apps that alert EMS to recurrent hypoglycemia patterns, show potential for preventing future crises [67].

Preventive Medicine Strategies for Diabetic Patients

Preventive medicine plays a pivotal role in diabetes management by focusing on risk reduction, early detection, and lifestyle interventions to prevent complications and improve long-term outcomes. With diabetes prevalence reaching epidemic proportions globally, preventive strategies have become increasingly important in reducing the disease burden on healthcare systems [68]. This paper examines evidence-based preventive approaches for diabetic patients, including primary prevention for high-risk individuals, secondary prevention to avoid complications in diagnosed patients, and tertiary prevention to manage advanced disease. These strategies incorporate lifestyle modifications, pharmacological interventions, and community-based programs that collectively address the multifaceted nature of diabetes prevention and care [69].

Primary Prevention for High-Risk Populations

Primary prevention strategies target individuals with prediabetes or significant risk factors for developing type 2 diabetes. The Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) and its outcomes study demonstrated that intensive lifestyle interventions reducing body weight by 5-7% through dietary changes and moderate physical activity (150 minutes/week) decreased diabetes incidence by 58% over three years [70]. These findings have been replicated in real-world settings, with adapted DPP programs showing 35-40% risk reduction across diverse populations [71]. Pharmacological interventions also play a role in primary prevention, with metformin reducing progression to diabetes by 31% in high-risk individuals, particularly those with BMI ≥35 or women with prior gestational diabetes [72]. Preventive medicine specialists employ risk assessment tools like the FINDRISC questionnaire to identify high-risk individuals who would benefit most from targeted interventions [73]. Community-based screening programs that combine risk assessment with point-of-care HbA1c testing have proven effective in early diabetes detection, particularly in underserved populations with limited healthcare access [74].

Secondary Prevention of Diabetes Complications

For diagnosed diabetic patients, secondary prevention focuses on delaying or preventing complications through glycemic control and comorbid condition management. The UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) established that early intensive glycemic control (HbA1c <7%) reduces microvascular complications by 25% and provides long-term macrovascular benefits [75]. Modern preventive approaches combine glycemic targets with multifactorial intervention addressing blood pressure (<130/80 mmHg), LDL cholesterol (<100 mg/dL), and smoking cessation [76]. Annual screenings for diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy (urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio), and neuropathy (10-g monofilament testing) form the cornerstone of complication prevention [77]. Vaccination against influenza and pneumococcal disease represents another critical preventive measure, as diabetic patients experience higher rates of infection-related hospitalizations and mortality [78]. The growing field of precision prevention utilizes genetic risk profiling and continuous glucose monitoring data to personalize prevention strategies based on individual risk patterns and treatment responses [79].

Tertiary Prevention and Advanced Disease Management

Tertiary prevention strategies aim to minimize the impact of established diabetes complications through specialized care coordination. For patients with diabetic foot ulcers, multidisciplinary foot care teams incorporating podiatrists, vascular surgeons, and wound care specialists reduce amputation rates by 50-85% [80]. Cardiac rehabilitation programs adapted for diabetic patients with cardiovascular disease improve functional capacity and reduce recurrent events through supervised exercise and risk factor modification [81]. Advanced kidney disease management involves collaboration between nephrologists and endocrinologists to optimize glycemic control while managing electrolyte imbalances and preparing for renal replacement therapy when needed [82]. Palliative care integration for advanced diabetes focuses on symptom management and quality of life

improvement while avoiding overly aggressive glycemic targets that may increase hypoglycemia risk [83].

Innovative Approaches and Implementation Challenges

Digital health technologies are revolutionizing diabetes prevention through scalable interventions. Mobile health applications incorporating behavioral change techniques demonstrate 3-5% greater weight loss compared to standard care in meta-analyses [84]. Telemedicine platforms extend preventive services to rural areas, with telehealth-delivered diabetes prevention programs showing equivalent efficacy to in-person interventions [85]. However, implementation barriers persist, including limited insurance coverage for preventive services, health literacy disparities, and systemic inequities in healthcare access [86]. Successful scaling of preventive strategies requires policy changes supporting reimbursement for lifestyle interventions, workforce training in behavior change techniques, and community partnerships to address social determinants of health [87]. Future research directions include exploring gut microbiome modulation, targeted anti-inflammatory therapies, and advanced predictive modeling using artificial intelligence to enhance prevention precision [88].

Conclusion

Effective diabetes prevention requires a tiered, multidisciplinary approach tailored to disease progression stages. Primary prevention through lifestyle modification and metformin therapy significantly reduces diabetes incidence in high-risk groups, while secondary prevention strategies mitigate complications through rigorous risk factor control. Tertiary prevention preserves quality of life in advanced disease via specialized care coordination. Despite advancements, systemic barriers—including fragmented reimbursement and health disparities—limit implementation. Future success hinges on policy reforms supporting preventive care reimbursement, equitable access to interventions, and integration of emerging technologies like AI-driven risk prediction. By prioritizing scalable, culturally adapted prevention frameworks, healthcare systems can curb the diabetes epidemic and its associated socioeconomic costs. A collaborative model engaging clinicians, communities, and policymakers remains essential to achieving sustainable outcomes.

References:

1. Agborsangaya CB, Lau D, Lahtinen M, Cooke T, Johnson JA. Multimorbidity prevalence and patterns across socioeconomic determinants: a cross-sectional survey. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:201. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-201.
2. Atlantis E, Fahey P, Foster J. Collaborative care for comorbid depression and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2014;4:e004706. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004706.
3. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, Watt G, Wyke S, Guthrie B. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. The Lancet. 2012;380(9836):37-43. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60240-2.
4. Berkowitz SA, Eisenstat SA, Barnard LS, Wexler DJ. Multidisciplinary coordinated care for Type 2 diabetes: A qualitative analysis of patient perspectives. Primary Care Diabetes. 2018;12(3):218-223. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2018.01.005.
5. Gill A, Kuluski K, Jaakkimainen L, Naganathan G, Upshur R, Wodchis WP. "Where do we go from here?" Health system frustrations expressed by patients with multimorbidity, their caregivers and family physicians. Healthcare Policy. 2014;9(4):73-89. doi: 10.12927/hcpol.2014.23859.
6. Heikkala E, Mikkola I, Jokelainen J, Timonen M, Hagnäs M. Multimorbidity and achievement of treatment goals among patients with type 2 diabetes: a primary care, real-world study. BMC Health Services Research. 2021;21(1):964. doi: 10.1186/s12913-021-06978-0.
7. Helou N, Dwyer A, Shaha M, Zanchi A. Multidisciplinary management of diabetic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports. 2016;14(7):169-207. doi: 10.11124/JBISRIR-2016-003011.

8. Huang Y, Wei X, Wu T, Chen R, Guo A. Collaborative care for patients with depression and diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Psychiatry. 2013;13:260. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-13-260.
9. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas 10th edition. 2021.
10. Kraef C, van der Meirschen M, Free C. Digital telemedicine interventions for patients with multimorbidity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2020;10(10):e036904. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-036904.
11. Kudesia P, Salimarouny B, Stanley M, et al. The incidence of multimorbidity and patterns in accumulation of chronic conditions: A systematic review. Journal of Multimorbidity and Comorbidity. 2021;11:26335565211032880. doi: 10.1177/26335565211032880.
12. Luijks H, Schermer T, Bor H, et al. Prevalence and incidence density rates of chronic comorbidity in type 2 diabetes patients: An exploratory cohort study. BMC Medicine. 2012;10:128. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-10-128.
13. McGill M, Blonde L, Chan JCN, et al. The interdisciplinary team in type 2 diabetes management: Challenges and best practice solutions from real-world scenarios. Journal of Clinical and Translational Endocrinology. 2017;7:21-27. doi: 10.1016/j.jcte.2016.12.004.
14. Musuuza J, Sutherland BL, Kurter S, et al. A systematic review of multidisciplinary teams to reduce major amputations for patients with diabetic foot ulcers. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 2020;71(4):1433-1446.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2019.08.244.
15. Ni Y, Liu S, Li J, et al. The effects of nurse-led multidisciplinary team management on glycosylated hemoglobin, quality of life, hospitalization, and help-seeking behavior of people with diabetes mellitus. Journal of Diabetes Research. 2019;2019:9325146. doi: 10.1155/2019/9325146.
16. Nowakowska M, Zghebi SS, Ashcroft DM, et al. The comorbidity burden of type 2 diabetes mellitus: patterns, clusters and predictions from a large English primary care cohort. BMC Medicine. 2019;17(1):145. doi: 10.1186/s12916-019-1373-y.
17. Pathirana TI, Jackson CA. Socioeconomic status and multimorbidity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health. 2018;42(2):186-194. doi: 10.1111/1753-6405.12762.
18. Phillips S, Culpepper J, Welch M, et al. A multidisciplinary diabetes clinic improves clinical and behavioral outcomes in a primary care setting. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine. 2021;34(3):579-589. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2021.03.200479.
19. Powell PW, Corathers SD, Raymond J, Streisand R. New approaches to providing individualized diabetes care in the 21st century. Current Diabetes Reviews. 2015;11(4):222-230. doi: 10.2174/1573399811666150421110546.
20. Rosbach M, Andersen JS. Patient-experienced burden of treatment in patients with multimorbidity: A systematic review of qualitative data. PLoS One. 2017;12(6):e0179916. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0179916.
21. Siaw MYL, Lee JYC. Multidisciplinary collaborative care in the management of patients with uncontrolled diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Clinical Practice. 2019;73(2):e13288. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.13288.
22. Skou ST, Mair FS, Fortin M, et al. Multimorbidity. Nature Reviews Disease Primers. 2022;8(1):48. doi: 10.1038/s41572-022-00376-4.
23. Sondergaard E, Willadsen TG, Guassora AD, et al. Problems and challenges in relation to the treatment of patients with multimorbidity: General practitioners' views and attitudes. Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care. 2015;33(2):121-126. doi: 10.3109/02813432.2015.1041828.
24. Sortsø C, Green A, Jensen PB, Emneus M. Societal costs of diabetes mellitus in Denmark. Diabetic Medicine. 2016;33(7):877-885. doi: 10.1111/dme.12965.

25. The Academy of Medical Sciences. Multimorbidity: a priority for global health research. 2018.
26. Zghebi SS, Steinke DT, Rutter MK, Ashcroft DM. Eleven-year multimorbidity burden among 637,255 people with and without type 2 diabetes: a population-based study using primary care and linked hospitalisation data. BMJ Open. 2020;10(7):e033866. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-033866.
27. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, et al. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2018;18(1):143. doi: 10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x.
28. Peters MDJ, Marnie C, Tricco AC, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. JBI Evidence Synthesis. 2020;18(10):2119-2126. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-20-00167.
29. Munn Z, Pollock D, Khalil H, et al. What are scoping reviews? Providing a formal definition of scoping reviews as a type of evidence synthesis. JBI Evidence Synthesis. 2022;20(4):950-952. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-21-00483.
30. Albright RH, Manohar NB, Murillo JF, et al. Effectiveness of multidisciplinary care teams in reducing major amputation rate in adults with diabetes: A systematic review & meta-analysis. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2020;161:108050. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108050.
31. Ayada G, Edel Y, Burg A, et al. Multidisciplinary team led by internists improves diabetic foot ulceration outcomes: A before-after retrospective study. European Journal of Internal Medicine. 2021;94:64-68. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2021.07.010.
32. Chwastiak LA, Jackson SL, Russo J, et al. A collaborative care team to integrate behavioral health care and treatment of poorly-controlled type 2 diabetes in an urban safety net primary care clinic. General Hospital Psychiatry. 2017;44:10-15. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2016.10.005.
33. Dargis V, Pantelejeva O, Jonushaite A, Vileikyte L, Boulton A. Benefits of a multidisciplinary approach in the management of recurrent diabetic foot ulceration in Lithuania. Diabetes Care. 1999;22(9):1428-1431. doi: 10.2337/diacare.22.9.1428.
34. Fogelfeld L, Hart P, Miernik J, et al. Combined diabetes-renal multifactorial intervention in patients with advanced diabetic nephropathy: Proof-of-concept. Journal of Diabetes Complications. 2017;31(3):624-630. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2016.12.005.
35. Hay JW, Katon WJ, Ell K, Lee PJ, Guterman JJ. Cost-effectiveness analysis of collaborative care management of major depression among low-income, predominantly Hispanics with diabetes. Value in Health. 2012;15(2):249-254. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2011.09.009.
36. Huizing E, Schreve M, Kortmann W, Bakker J, de Vries JP, Ünlü C. Treatment modalities for chronic limb-threatening ischemia: strategies and outcomes. University of Groningen; 2019.
37. Imamura Y, Takahashi Y, Hayashi T, et al. Usefulness of multidisciplinary care to prevent worsening renal function in chronic kidney disease. Clinical and Experimental Nephrology. 2019;23(4):484-492. doi: 10.1007/s10157-018-1670-3.
38. Joret MO, Osman K, Dean A, et al. Multidisciplinary clinics reduce treatment costs and improve patient outcomes in diabetic foot disease. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 2019;70(3):806-814. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2018.11.043.
39. Katon WJ, Lin EH, von Korff M, et al. Collaborative care for patients with depression and chronic illnesses. New England Journal of Medicine. 2010;363(27):2611-2620. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1003955.
40. Kemp CG, Johnson LCM, Sagar R, et al. Effect of a collaborative care model on anxiety symptoms among patients with depression and diabetes in India: The INDEPENDENT randomized clinical trial. General Hospital Psychiatry. 2022;74:39-45. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2021.11.003.
41. Lin EHB, von Korff M, Ciechanowski P, et al. Treatment adjustment and medication

adherence for complex patients with diabetes, heart disease, and depression: A randomized controlled trial. Annals of Family Medicine. 2012;10(1):6-14. doi: 10.1370/afm.1343.

42. Lo C, Zimbudzi E, Teede H, et al. Models of care for co-morbid diabetes and chronic kidney disease. Nephrology. 2018;23(8):711-717. doi: 10.1111/nep.13083.
43. Lo ZJ, Chandrasekar S, Yong E, et al. Clinical and economic outcomes of a multidisciplinary team approach in a lower extremity amputation prevention programme for diabetic foot ulcer care in an Asian population: A case-control study. International Wound Journal. 2021;18(3):328-340. doi: 10.1111/iwj.13535.
44. Siaw MYL, Ko Y, Malone DC, et al. Impact of pharmacist-involved collaborative care on the clinical, humanistic and cost outcomes of high-risk patients with type 2 diabetes (IMPACT): a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics. 2017;42(4):475-482. doi: 10.1111/jcpt.12536.
45. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Annals of Internal Medicine. 2018;169(7):467-473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.
46. Wan EYF, Fung CSC, Jiao FF, et al. Five-year effectiveness of the multidisciplinary risk assessment and management programme-diabetes mellitus (RAMP-DM) on diabetes-related complications and health service uses: A population-based and propensity-matched cohort study. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(1):49-59. doi: 10.2337/dc17-0426.
47. Wang C, Mai L, Yang C, et al. Reducing major lower extremity amputations after the introduction of a multidisciplinary team in patient with diabetes foot ulcer. BMC Endocrine Disorders. 2016;16:38. doi: 10.1186/s12902-016-0114-x.
48. Zimbudzi E, Lo C, Ranasinha S, et al. Health-related quality of life among patients with comorbid diabetes and kidney disease attending a codesigned integrated model of care: A longitudinal study. BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care. 2020;8(1):e001124. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2019-001124.
49. Masnoon N, Shakib S, Kalisch-Ellett L, Caughey GE. What is polypharmacy? A systematic review of definitions. BMC Geriatrics. 2017;17(1):230. doi: 10.1186/s12877-017-0621-2.
50. Vos R, Boesten J, van den Akker M. Fifteen-year trajectories of multimorbidity and polypharmacy in Dutch primary care: A longitudinal analysis of age and sex patterns. PLoS One. 2022;17(1):e0262452. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0262452.
51. Smith SM, Wallace E, O'Dowd T, Fortin M. Interventions for improving outcomes in patients with multimorbidity in primary care and community settings. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2021(1):CD006560. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006560.pub4.
52. American Diabetes Association. Comprehensive Medical Evaluation and Assessment of Comorbidities: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2019. Diabetes Care. 2019;42(Suppl 1):S34-S45. doi: 10.2337/dc19-S004.
53. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes: 2013. Diabetes Care. 2013;36(Suppl 1):S11-S66. doi: 10.2337/dc13-S011.
54. Alesch F, Jain R, Chen L, et al. A comparison of outcomes between deep brain stimulation under general anesthesia versus conscious sedation with awake evaluation. Neurosurgery. 2016;63(Suppl 1):155.
55. Beck J, Greenwood D, Blanton L. On behalf of the 2017 Standards Revision Task Force: 2017 national standards for diabetes self-management education and support. Diabetes Care. 2017;40:1409-1419. doi: 10.2337/dci17-0025.
56. Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Gregg EW, Barker LE, Williamson DF. Projection of the year 2050 burden of diabetes in the US adult population: dynamic modeling of incidence, mortality, and prediabetes prevalence. Population Health Metrics. 2010;8:29. doi: 10.1186/1478-7954-8-29.

57. Cuddihy RM, Philis-Tsimikas A, Nazeri A. Type 2 diabetes care and insulin intensification: is a more multidisciplinary approach needed?: results from the MODIFY survey. The Diabetes Educator. 2011;37(1):111-123. doi: 10.1177/0145721710388426.
58. Davies MJ, D'Alessio DA, Fradkin J, et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes, 2018: a consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Diabetologia. 2018;61(12):2461-2498. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4729-5.
59. Dempsey PC, Larsen RN, Sethi P, et al. Benefits for type 2 diabetes of interrupting prolonged sitting with brief bouts of light walking or simple resistance activities. Diabetes Care. 2016;39(6):964-972. doi: 10.2337/dc15-2336.
60. Evert AB, Boucher JL, Cypress M, et al. Nutrition therapy recommendations for the management of adults with diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2014;37(Supplement 1):S120-S143. doi: 10.2337/dc14-S120.
61. Franz MJ, MacLeod J, Evert A, et al. Academy of nutrition and dietetics nutrition practice guideline for type 1 and type 2 diabetes in adults: systematic review of evidence for medical nutrition therapy effectiveness and recommendations for integration into the nutrition care process. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2017;117(10):1659-1679. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2017.03.022.
62. Harkness E, Macdonald W, Valderas J, et al. Identifying psychosocial interventions that improve both physical and mental health in patients with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(4):926-930. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1519.
63. Jingi AM, Nansseu JRN, Noubiap JJN. Primary care physicians' practice regarding diabetes mellitus diagnosis, evaluation and management in the West region of Cameroon. BMC Endocrine Disorders. 2015;15:18. doi: 10.1186/s12902-015-0016-3.
64. Katzmarzyk PT, Church TS, Craig CL, Bouchard C. Sitting time and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2009;41(5):998-1005. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181930355.
65. Li R, Shrestha SS, Lipman R, Burrows NR, Kolb LE, Rutledge S. Diabetes self-management education and training among privately insured persons with newly diagnosed diabetes: United States 2011-2012. MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2014;63(46):1045-1049.
66. Maislos M, Weisman D. Multidisciplinary approach to patients with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes mellitus: a prospective, randomized study. Acta Diabetologica. 2004;41(2):44-48. doi: 10.1007/s00592-004-0143-1.
67. Myers JM. Interprofessional team management: partnering to optimize outcomes in diabetes. The Journal for Nurse Practitioners. 2017;13(3):e147-e150.
68. Riordan F, McHugh SM, Murphy K, Barrett J, Kearney PM. The role of nurse specialists in the delivery of integrated diabetes care: a cross-sectional survey of diabetes nurse specialist services. BMJ Open. 2017;7(8):e015049. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-015049.
69. Shaw JW, Johnson JA, Coons SJ. US valuation of the EQ-5D health states: development and testing of the D1 valuation model. Medical Care. 2005;43(3):203-220. doi: 10.1097/00005650-200503000-00003.
70. Sylvia LG, Bernstein EE, Hubbard JL, Keating L, Anderson EJ. Practical guide to measuring physical activity. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2014;114(2):199-208. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2013.09.018.
71. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Scientific Report. Washington, DC; 2018.
72. Wang YJ, Seggelke S, Hawkins RM, et al. Impact of glucose management team on outcomes of hospitalization in patients with type 2 diabetes admitted to the medical service. Endocrine Practice. 2016;22(12):1401-1405. doi: 10.4158/EP161414.OR.

73. Young-Hyman D, De Groot M, Hill-Briggs F, et al. Psychosocial care for people with diabetes: a position statement of the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care. 2016;39(12):2126-2140. doi: 10.2337/dc16-2053.
74. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica. 1983;67(6):361-370. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x.
75. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, et al. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. The Lancet. 2012;380(9836):37-43. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60240-2.
76. Bell C, Appel CW, Frølich A, et al. Improving Health Care for Patients with Multimorbidity: A Mixed-Methods Study to Explore the Feasibility and Process of Aligning Scheduled Outpatient Appointments through Collaboration between Medical Specialties. International Journal of Integrated Care. 2022;22(1):1. doi: 10.5334/ijic.5953.
77. Bell C, Vedsted P, Kraus DGA, et al. Clinic for Multimorbidity: An Innovative Approach to Integrate General Practice and Specialized Health Care Services. International Journal of Integrated Care. 2023;23(2):1. doi: 10.5334/ijic.7014.
78. Frølich A, Ghith N, Schiøtz M, et al. Multimorbidity, healthcare utilization and socioeconomic status: A register-based study in Denmark. PLoS One. 2019;14(8):e0220869. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0220869.
79. Gill AN, Kuluski K, Sw M, et al. "Where Do We Go from Here?" Health System Frustrations Expressed by Patients with Multimorbidity, Their Caregivers and Family Physicians. Healthcare Policy. 2014;9(4):73-89.
80. Heikkala E, Mikkola I, Jokelainen J, et al. Multimorbidity and achievement of treatment goals among patients with type 2 diabetes: a primary care, real-world study. BMC Health Services Research. 2021;21(1):964. doi: 10.1186/s12913-021-06989-x.
81. Henriksen DP, Ennis ZN, Panou V, et al. Physician-led in-hospital multidisciplinary team conferences with multiple medical specialities present - A scoping review. Journal of Multimorbidity and Comorbidity. 2022;12:26335565221141745. doi: 10.1177/26335565221141745.
82. Hill-Briggs F, Adler NE, Berkowitz SA, et al. Social determinants of health and diabetes: A scientific review. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(1):258-279. doi: 10.2337/dci20-0053.
83. Kudesia P, Salimarouny B, Stanley M, et al. The incidence of multimorbidity and patterns in accumulation of chronic conditions: A systematic review. Journal of Multimorbidity and Comorbidity. 2021;11:26335565211032880. doi: 10.1177/26335565211032880.
84. Luijks H, Schermer T, Bor H, et al. Prevalence and incidence density rates of chronic comorbidity in type 2 diabetes patients: An exploratory cohort study. BMC Medicine. 2012;10:128. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-10-128.
85. Nowakowska M, Zghebi SS, Ashcroft DM, et al. The comorbidity burden of type 2 diabetes mellitus: patterns, clusters and predictions from a large English primary care cohort. BMC Medicine. 2019;17(1):145. doi: 10.1186/s12916-019-1373-y.
86. Pathirana TI, Jackson CA. Socioeconomic status and multimorbidity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health. 2018;42(2):186-194. doi: 10.1111/1753-6405.12762.
87. Pati S, Pati S, van den Akker M, et al. Managing diabetes mellitus with comorbidities in primary healthcare facilities in urban settings: a qualitative study among physicians in Odisha, India. BMC Family Practice. 2021;22(1):54. doi: 10.1186/s12875-021-01405-z.
88. Rosbach M, Andersen JS. Patient-experienced burden of treatment in patients with multimorbidity: A systematic review of qualitative data. PLoS One. 2017;12(6):e0179916. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0179916.