

---

## Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Family Practice: The Role of Nursing, Anesthesia, and Radiology in Patient Management

**Salem Mohammed Alqarni<sup>1</sup>, Rabab Abdulazim Alhassan<sup>2</sup>, Ahmed Saleh Alsaiari<sup>3</sup>, Asma Ahmed Ali Albatran<sup>4</sup>, Jameela Essa Al Darwish<sup>5</sup>, Al Dossary Fatema Khalil M<sup>6</sup>, Sabah Ali Alqudhi<sup>7</sup>, Manar Abdulmuhsen Alsadiq<sup>8</sup>, Mohammed Ali Alabdulaziz<sup>9</sup>, Rokayah Abdullah Saleh Alghawi<sup>10</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>. Family Medicine at Almansak PHCC , salemco\_000@hotmail.com

<sup>2</sup>. Qatif Health Network, raaalhassan@moh.gov.sa

<sup>3</sup>. GP at Althager General Hospital, Jeddah, Ahmadsa1414@hotmail.com

<sup>4</sup>. Health Assistant Nursing at Qatif Central Hospital, aalbatran@moh.gov.sa

<sup>5</sup>. Nursing Technician at Dammam Medical Complex (DMC), jaldarewesh@moh.gov.sa

<sup>6</sup>. Nurse Technician at Dammam Medical Tower, fkaldossary@moh.gov.sa

<sup>7</sup>. Health Assistant Nursing at Dammam Medical Tower, Saalqudaihi@moh.gov.sa

<sup>8</sup>. MRI Senior Technologist at Dammam Medical Complex, Malsadiq@moh.gov.sa

<sup>9</sup>. Radiological Technician at Qatif Central Hospital, malabdulaziz@moh.gov.sa

<sup>10</sup>. Dammam Medical Complex, Dammam, raalghawi@moh.gov.sa

---

### **Abstract:**

Interdisciplinary collaboration in family practice is crucial for delivering comprehensive patient care. This approach integrates diverse healthcare professionals, including nurses, anesthetists, and radiologists, each contributing their specialized knowledge and skills to optimize patient outcomes. Nurses often take the frontline role in assessing patients, providing education, and managing chronic conditions. Their holistic understanding of patient needs enables them to facilitate effective communication between different disciplines, ensuring that care plans are cohesive. Anesthetists contribute significantly during surgical procedures, managing pain relief and anesthesia protocols, while also participating in pre-operative evaluations to assess patient suitability for surgery. By fostering an environment of teamwork, family practices can enhance the quality of care, reduce the risk of complications, and improve patient satisfaction. Radiology plays a pivotal role in diagnosing conditions and guiding treatment decisions within the interdisciplinary team. Radiologists provide critical imaging services that help in identifying underlying issues, thereby informing the treatment strategies adopted by nurses and anesthetists. This collaboration ensures that patient management is both efficient and evidence-based, with imaging results influencing the overall care plan. Moreover, involving multiple disciplines facilitates a comprehensive view of patient health, allowing for tailored interventions that consider all aspects of care—from pre-operative assessments to postoperative recovery. As healthcare continues to evolve, the integration of nursing, anesthesia, and radiology within family practice remains essential for advancing patient-centered care and enhancing clinical outcomes.

**Keywords:** Interdisciplinary collaboration, family practice, nursing, anesthesia, radiology, patient management, comprehensive care

---

## Introduction:

In the rapidly evolving landscape of healthcare, the complexity of patient management has necessitated a shift towards more collaborative practices. This change is particularly evident in family practice, where interdisciplinary collaboration among various healthcare professions—specifically nursing, anesthesia, and radiology—is essential for optimizing patient outcomes [1].

The growing demand for comprehensive patient care is driven by several factors, including an aging population, a rise in chronic diseases, and increased patient expectations regarding the quality of healthcare services. Family practitioners are often the first point of contact for patients, necessitating a broad understanding of multiple medical disciplines to provide effective care. As a result, the traditional silos that previously existed among healthcare professionals are increasingly being dismantled in favor of a more integrated approach to patient management [2].

Interdisciplinary collaboration in healthcare involves a coordinated effort between various healthcare professionals who bring distinct expertise to the table. In family practice, nurses, anesthesiologists, and radiologists each play a pivotal role in managing a wide range of patient needs. Nurses are fundamental in providing direct patient care, assessing patient conditions, and acting as the primary communication bridge between patients and other healthcare professionals. Their skills in patient education and emotional support are invaluable in promoting adherence to treatment plans and enhancing patient satisfaction [3].

Anesthetics, often viewed primarily in the context of surgical procedures, are crucial in managing pain and ensuring patient safety across a variety of medical scenarios. Anesthesiologists take on an expanded role in family practice, involving not only surgery but also pain management, procedural sedation, and overall perioperative care. Their expertise is critical in planning and executing treatment strategies that align with the patients' unique medical histories and needs [4].

Radiology, a field often perceived as a separate entity, has become increasingly central to patient management in family practice. Radiologists interpret diagnostic imaging that informs clinical decisions, making it vital for family practitioners to

collaborate closely with them to achieve accurate and timely diagnoses. Early detection of diseases through imaging studies can lead to more effective treatment and improved patient outcomes, making this collaboration essential [5].

Despite the apparent benefits of interdisciplinary collaboration, challenges persist in the current healthcare system that can hinder effective teamwork. Barriers such as professional hierarchy, communication breakdowns, and differing institutional cultures often complicate cooperative efforts among healthcare providers. This research aims to identify these barriers and explore strategies to overcome them, paving the way for a more cohesive approach to patient management in family practice [6].

## Integrative Roles of Nursing in Family Care Settings

The role of nursing in the healthcare continuum is multifaceted, particularly within family care settings, where the focus extends beyond individual patients to encompass the well-being of family units. As healthcare becomes increasingly patient-centered, nurses are finding themselves in integral positions that require a blend of clinical expertise, communication skills, and cultural competence to provide holistic care [7].

### 1. Holistic Assessment and Care

Nurses in family care settings conduct comprehensive assessments that consider not only the physical health of individual patients but also the emotional and social circumstances of their families. This holistic approach involves gathering detailed family histories, understanding the health beliefs and practices of family members, and assessing the environmental factors that may influence health. By appreciating the context in which families live, nurses can tailor interventions to meet the unique needs of each family unit [8].

For example, a nurse working with a family managing a chronic illness, such as diabetes, will assess the patient's health status while also considering how the entire family can be involved in diet modifications and lifestyle changes. Such an approach recognizes that health behaviors are often influenced by familial support systems or, conversely, might be exacerbated by family conflict or lack of understanding [5].

## 2. Chronic Disease Management and Education

One of the critical roles of nurses in family care is chronic disease management. Families often bear the burden of caregiving, especially when managing long-term conditions such as hypertension, asthma, or mental health disorders. Nurses act as educators and facilitators, empowering family members to take an active role in managing their loved one's health. This empowerment includes teaching family members how to monitor vitals, administer medications, and recognize signs of exacerbation [8].

For instance, a nurse providing education on asthma management may engage the whole family in discussions about environmental triggers, appropriate medication use, and lifestyle adjustments. By involving the family in the educational process, nurses foster an environment where all members are engaged, and this collective responsibility can lead to better health outcomes and adherence to treatment protocols [9].

## 3. The Nurse as a Mediator and Communicator

Effective communication is vital in family care settings. Nurses often serve as mediators, translating complex medical information into understandable language, which helps families better comprehend diagnoses, treatment options, and care plans. This role is particularly significant in ensuring informed consent and fostering trust between families and healthcare providers [10].

Furthermore, family dynamics can affect communication and decision-making processes. Nurses are trained to recognize communication barriers that may arise from cultural differences, health literacy levels, or emotional distress. By facilitating conversations that respect a family's cultural context, nurses ensure that every voice is heard, promoting shared decision-making—a key component in family-centered care [2].

## 4. Support in Crisis and Emotional Well-being

Health crises can deeply affect family units, causing stress and anxiety. The nurse's role often extends beyond clinical responsibilities to include providing emotional support and counseling. Nurses are equipped to recognize signs of distress and can offer interventions that help families cope with illness or end-of-life issues. This support may involve active listening, validation of feelings, and connecting

families with mental health resources or support groups [11].

In instances where families are confronted with terminal illnesses, nurses can guide them through palliative care options, helping families navigate difficult conversations about treatment goals, preferences, and advance care planning. By providing compassionate care and emotional support, nurses contribute significantly to the overall quality of life for both patients and their families [12].

## 5. Interdisciplinary Collaboration

In family care settings, nurses collaborate with a diverse array of professionals, including physicians, social workers, psychologists, and nutritionists. This interdisciplinary approach ensures comprehensive care that addresses the various dimensions of health and well-being. Nurses often take on the role of care coordinators, integrating information and recommendations from different specialties to develop a cohesive treatment plan [7].

For example, in managing a child with mental health challenges, a nurse may work alongside a psychiatrist and a school counselor to create a comprehensive support system that includes school-based interventions, medication management, and family therapy. Such collaboration enhances the effectiveness of interventions and ensures that care is consistent and well-coordinated [2].

## Anesthesia Contributions in Family Care Settings

Anesthesia plays a pivotal role in modern medicine, enabling a wide array of surgical procedures ranging from minor outpatient interventions to major surgeries. Its contributions to surgical success and patient safety are particularly pronounced in family care settings, where various procedures are performed with the goal of providing comprehensive and personalized care [12].

In family care settings, where practitioners often cater to the diverse needs of families across various life stages, the choice of anesthesia is crucial. Common procedures may include skin biopsies, minor orthopedic surgeries, dental work, and gynecological interventions. Understanding the appropriate use of anesthesia allows healthcare providers to tailor their approach, maximizing

patient comfort and minimizing the risks associated with surgical interventions [13].

The success of any surgical procedure hinges on multiple factors, one of the most significant being the effectiveness of anesthesia. Factors that influence this success include the type of procedure, the underlying health status of the patient, and the anesthetic technique employed [11].

Firstly, the proper choice of anesthesia contributes enormously to the effectiveness of the surgical endeavor. For example, general anesthesia may be more suitable for a lengthy and complex surgical procedure, allowing for complete immobilization and analgesia. In contrast, regional anesthesia can be more beneficial for outpatient surgery or procedures with localized pain, enabling faster recovery times and minimizing hospital stays [14].

Moreover, effective anesthesia management can lead to better intraoperative conditions. When patients are adequately anesthetized, surgeons can perform operations with greater ease and efficiency, leading to improved surgical precision and outcomes. Studies have repeatedly demonstrated that well-managed anesthesia correlates with shorter operative times, reduced blood loss, and decreased complication rates [15].

In the family healthcare context, patient safety is paramount, particularly when it comes to surgeries. The administration of anesthesia carries inherent risks, including allergic reactions, respiratory complications, and cardiovascular issues; therefore, a focus on patient safety is crucial in minimizing these risks [15].

Anesthesia providers, which may include anesthesiologists, nurse anesthetists, and anesthesiology assistants, play a vital role in preoperative assessments that enhance patient safety. Comprehensive evaluations help identify patients at higher risk for complications and enable the formulation of tailored anesthesia plans. These assessments include reviewing medical histories, conducting physical examinations, and discussing potential anesthetic risks with patients [16].

In addition to preoperative planning, the intraoperative monitoring of patients is a critical safety measure. Advanced technologies allow for real-time tracking of vital signs, such as heart rate, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation. Continuous

monitoring enables anesthesiologists to make prompt adjustments as needed, ensuring that patients remain stable throughout the procedure [22].

In the context of family care settings, an emphasis on education is invaluable. Preoperative discussions can alleviate patient anxiety, enhancing their understanding of the anesthesia process and what to expect during and after surgery. By fostering an environment of open communication, healthcare professionals can build trust and assure patients that their safety is a priority [17].

The field of anesthesia has witnessed significant advancements over the years that have further transformed surgical success and patient safety. The introduction of newer anesthetic agents, which often feature enhanced pharmacokinetic properties, allows for more rapid onset and recovery times. This is particularly advantageous in family care settings where outpatient procedures are commonplace [5].

Moreover, techniques such as enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols have emerged, providing a systematic approach to optimize surgical outcomes. ERAS protocols often incorporate multimodal anesthesia strategies, where a combination of regional and local anesthetics is used alongside non-opioid pain management techniques. This approach minimizes opioid consumption, reducing the risk of postoperative complications and addressing the public health issue of opioid dependence [15].

Telehealth innovations have also played a role in transforming the approach to anesthesia in family care settings. Virtual consultations can help with preoperative assessments, provide anesthesia education, and allow for improved follow-up care. These digital tools can enhance accessibility and convenience for patients, which is especially critical in family-centered care [18].

### **Radiology's Critical Role in Diagnostic and Treatment Pathways in Family Care Settings**

Family care is characterized by its comprehensive and continuous approach to healthcare, taking into consideration the physical, psychological, and social aspects of health over a patient's lifetime. Within this framework, office-based family physicians often encounter a wide variety of medical conditions and concerns, requiring fast and accurate diagnostic solutions to guide treatment. Radiology offers

multiple modalities—including X-rays, ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and nuclear medicine—that provide essential information about a patient’s health status, often within moments of examination [12].

The incorporation of these imaging technologies allows family practitioners to make informed decisions more quickly and accurately than would be possible through clinical assessment alone. Radiology not only enhances the clinician’s ability to diagnose conditions but also supports proactive management of chronic diseases and preventive care strategies, ultimately aligning with the goals of modern family healthcare [19].

One of the paramount roles of radiology in family care is its undeniable impact on diagnostic pathways. Accurate diagnosis is the cornerstone of effective treatment, and radiology provides critical insights that can confirm or refute clinical suspicion. For example, X-rays are commonly utilized for assessing musculoskeletal injuries, while CT scans are invaluable for diagnosing internal organ pathologies. Ultrasound has emerged as a cornerstone imaging modality in family medicine for conditions related to obstetrics, gynecology, and pediatrics, serving as a first-line diagnostic tool due to its safety and real-time imaging capabilities [20].

Additionally, when unexplained symptoms arise, family physicians can use radiological imaging to narrow down potential diagnoses, thereby reducing the need for invasive diagnostic procedures such as biopsies or exploratory surgeries. For instance, in cases of abdominal pain, imaging can reveal conditions ranging from appendicitis to gallstones, allowing for more accurate treatment plans based on specific findings [11].

Beyond diagnosis, radiology also plays an indispensable role in planning and monitoring treatment pathways. Once a diagnosis is established, imaging tools can assist in determining the most effective treatment options, including the use of medications, physical therapy, or surgical intervention. The indication of a tumor’s location, size, and metastasis through imaging studies can guide oncologists in devising a treatment strategy tailored to the patient’s unique situation [21].

Moreover, radiological imaging is essential in monitoring the efficacy of treatment interventions. For instance, patients undergoing chemotherapy or

radiation therapy for cancer can be closely monitored using imaging modalities to assess how well the tumor is responding to treatment. In such cases, timely adjustments to the treatment plan can be made based on radiological findings, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes [22].

In family care settings, professionals often address common chronic conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and arthritis. Here, radiology not only provides necessary diagnostic support but also aids in tracking disease progression. For patients with osteoarthritis, regular radiographic evaluation can document structural changes in joints, allowing for timely adjustments in treatment strategies that encompass lifestyle changes, medication, or potentially surgery [23].

Radiology has also shown to bridge gaps in healthcare delivery within family care settings. The increasing application of digital imaging has transformed access to radiological services. Patients no longer need to be referred to specialized facilities; instead, family care practices equipped with necessary imaging technologies can conduct assessments on-site. Such immediacy enhances patient convenience and satisfaction, while also expediting the diagnosis and treatment process [24].

Furthermore, advances in tele-radiology enable healthcare providers in remote areas or smaller urban centers to access radiological interpretations from specialist radiologists located elsewhere. This not only fosters timely decision-making but also ensures that patients receive high-quality diagnostic care, regardless of geographical constraints [12].

Despite the myriad advantages that radiology brings to family care settings, certain challenges must be addressed for optimal integration. Over-reliance on imaging can sometimes lead physicians to favor diagnostic tests over clinical judgment, which can result in unnecessary radiation exposure or increased healthcare costs. Family physicians must find a balance, using imaging judiciously and interpreting results in the context of comprehensive clinical assessments [24].

Moreover, the rapid evolution of imaging technology necessitates ongoing education and training for family care practitioners. Staying abreast of the latest advancements, including artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms applied in imaging analysis, is crucial to

maximizing the effective use of radiology in practice [25].

### **Impact of Collaborative Care on Patient Experiences**

At its core, collaborative care involves a team-based approach to health management, particularly in the treatment of chronic conditions such as diabetes, mental health disorders, and cardiovascular diseases. Teams typically consist of various healthcare professionals, including physicians, nurses, social workers, psychologists, and dietitians, all working together to create a comprehensive treatment plan tailored to the individual needs of patients. This model recognizes that no single provider can address all facets of a patient's health, and instead promotes interdisciplinary collaboration for more effective care delivery [26].

1. **Holistic Treatment Approaches:** One of the profound impacts of collaborative care is the shift away from a solely medical model of treatment to a more holistic approach. This model takes into account the psychological, social, and emotional aspects of patient care, thereby addressing the whole person rather than just their symptoms. For instance, a patient with depression may receive medication from a psychiatrist, but they can also benefit from therapy sessions with a psychologist and lifestyle advice from a nutritionist. Such integrative care not only improves clinical outcomes but also enhances the patient's overall experience by addressing their diverse needs [27].

2. **Improved Communication and Engagement:** Collaborative care emphasizes open communication between all parties involved—providers, patients, and their families. This relationship fosters an environment where patients feel heard and respected, which is critical for effective treatment. Regular team meetings enable health professionals to discuss progress, share insights, and adapt care plans flexibly. Patients, in turn, are encouraged to actively participate in their own care decisions, leading to increased adherence to treatment protocols and a deeper understanding of their health conditions. The empowerment of patients through this active involvement often results in greater satisfaction with their care experience [28].

3. **Accessibility and Continuity of Care:** Patient experiences are significantly enhanced when

care is accessible and continuous. Collaborative care models often incorporate care coordinators, who help navigate the healthcare system for patients, streamlining access to services and reducing the chances of fragmented care. For example, a care coordinator can ensure a patient is scheduled for follow-up appointments, inform them about lab results, and facilitate referrals to specialists. This continuity promotes a sense of security and trust among patients, knowing they have a dedicated team supporting their health journey [29].

4. **Personalization of Care:** Each patient's journey is unique, and collaborative care allows for personalized treatment plans that reflect individual preferences, values, and circumstances. By involving patients in the decision-making process, healthcare teams can align treatment strategies with what matters most to the patients themselves. Personalization often leads to improved motivation for patients to engage in their healthcare, leading to better adherence to treatment regimens and overall enhanced health outcomes [30].

5. **Reduction in Healthcare Costs:** When collaborative care is effectively implemented, it can lead to reduced emergency room visits and hospitalizations due to better management of chronic diseases. Preventative care measures and consistent follow-up promote early detection and intervention, ultimately resulting in lower healthcare costs for both patients and the system. This economic aspect positively influences patient experiences, as financial stress often exacerbates health issues [31].

While collaborative care offers numerous advantages, it is not without its challenges. One major hurdle is the necessity for effective communication among diverse team members. Different professional languages, priorities, and approaches can lead to misunderstandings and hinder teamwork. Moreover, time constraints in busy healthcare settings can make it challenging for team members to coordinate seamlessly [32].

Another challenge is ensuring that all members of the care team are equally engaged and informed about the patient's history and treatment plans. Disparities in access to technology and resources may also affect communication, particularly in resource-limited settings. Additionally, training healthcare professionals to work collaboratively

requires time and investment, which some institutions may be reluctant to allocate [33].

The future of healthcare lies in embracing models like collaborative care to enhance patient experiences across the globe. To maximize the benefits of this approach, healthcare systems must prioritize training and professional development initiatives that emphasize teamwork and communication skills. Additionally, policies encouraging collaborative care practices should be developed and supported by healthcare organizations and payers alike [34].

Patient education campaigns can also empower individuals to understand the principles of collaborative care, encouraging them to take an active role in their treatment. Furthermore, integrating technology into collaborative care practices—such as telemedicine and shared electronic health records—can facilitate better communication and coordination among team members and between providers and patients [35].

#### **Conclusion:**

In conclusion, interdisciplinary collaboration in family practice is essential for delivering holistic and effective patient care. The integration of nursing, anesthesia, and radiology exemplifies how diverse professional expertise can come together to address the complex needs of patients. Nurses play a critical role in patient assessment, education, and ongoing management, serving as the linchpin that connects various disciplines. Anesthetists significantly enhance the safety and efficacy of surgical procedures, contributing to improved patient experiences and outcomes. Meanwhile, radiologists provide vital diagnostic insights that guide treatment decisions and ensure accurate, timely interventions.

As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve, fostering a culture of collaboration among disciplines is imperative. Overcoming the barriers to communication and enhancing teamwork will not only improve patient safety and satisfaction but also advance the overall quality of care. The future of family practice relies on an integrated approach that values the contributions of every member of the healthcare team, ultimately leading to more effective management of patient health and well-being. By prioritizing interdisciplinary collaboration, family practices can ensure that they are well-equipped to

meet the diverse and changing needs of their patient populations.

#### **References:**

1. Wranik WD, Price S, Haydt SM, Edwards J, Hatfield K, Weir J, et al. Implications of interprofessional primary care team characteristics for health services and patient health outcomes: a systematic review with narrative synthesis. *Health Policy*. 2019;123(6):550–563. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2019.03.015.
2. Kirkwood J, Ton J, Korownyk CS, Kolber MR, Allan GM, Garrison S. Who provides chronic disease management? Population-based retrospective cohort study in Alberta. *Can Fam Physician Med Fam Can*. 2023;69(6):e127–133. doi: 10.46747/cfp.6906e127.
3. Huffer D, Hing W, Newton R, Clair M. Strength training for plantar fasciitis and the intrinsic foot musculature: a systematic review. *Phys Ther Sport off J Assoc Chart Physiother Sports Med*. 2017;24:44–52. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2016.08.008.
4. Džakula A, Relić D, Michelutti P. Health workforce shortage – doing the right things or doing things right? *Croat Med J*. 2022;63(2):107–109. doi: 10.3325/cmj.2022.63.107.
5. White KL. The ecology of medical care: origins and implications for population-based healthcare research. *Health Serv Res*. 1997;32(1):11–21. doi: 10.1111/1475-6773.12101.
6. Saint-Pierre C, Herskovic V, Sepúlveda M. Multidisciplinary collaboration in primary care: a systematic review. *Fam Pract*. 2018;35(2):132–141. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmz085.
7. Gilbert JHV, Yan J, Hoffman SJ. A WHO report: framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice. *J Allied Health*. 2010;39(Suppl 1):196–197.
8. Johnson JM, Carragher R. Interprofessional collaboration and the care and management of type 2 diabetic patients in the Middle East: a systematic review. *J Interprof Care*. 2018;32(5):621–628. doi: 10.1080/13561820.2018.1471052.

9. Archer J, Bower P, Gilbody S, Lovell K, Richards D, Gask L, et al. Collaborative care for depression and anxiety problems. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;10:CD006525. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006525.pub2.
10. Li M, Kennedy EB, Byrne N, Gérin-Lajoie C, Katz MR, Keshavarz H, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of collaborative care interventions for depression in patients with cancer. *Psychooncology.* 2017;26(5):573–587. doi: 10.1002/pon.4286.
11. Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ.* 2021;372:n160. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n160.
12. Reeves S, Pelone F, Harrison R, Goldman J, Zwarenstein M. Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;2017(6):CD000072. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000072.pub3.
13. Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 1998;52(6):377–384. doi: 10.1136/jech.52.6.377.
14. Dahlke S, Hunter KF, Reshef Kalogirou M, Negrin K, Fox M, Wagg A. Perspectives about interprofessional collaboration and patient-centred care. *Can J Aging Rev Can Vieil.* 2020;39(3):443–455. doi: 10.1017/S0714980819000539.
15. Green LA, Fryer GE, Jr, Yawn BP, Lanier D, Dovey SM. The ecology of medical care revisited. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344(26):2021–2025. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200106283442611.
16. Law 2009 – 879 21 July 2009 portant réforme de l'hôpital et relative aux patients, à la santé et aux territoires: JORF n°0167 du 22 juillet 2009.
17. Rathbone J, Hoffmann T, Glasziou P. Faster title and abstract screening? Evaluating Abstrackr, a semi-automated online screening program for systematic reviewers. *Syst Rev.* 2015;4:80. doi: 10.1186/s13643-015-0067-6.
18. World Health Organization, Fund (UNICEF) UNC. A vision for primary health care in the 21st century: towards universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals. World Health Organization. 2018. Report No.: WHO/HIS/SDS/2018.15.
19. Lee JK, McCutcheon LRM, Fazel MT, Cooley JH, Slack MK. Assessment of interprofessional collaborative practices and outcomes in adults with diabetes and hypertension in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2021;4(2):e2036725. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.36725.
20. Chen EH, Thom DH, Hessler DM, Phengrasamy L, Hammer H, Saba G, et al. Using the teamlet model to improve chronic care in an academic primary care practice. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2010;25(Suppl 4):610–614. doi: 10.1007/s11606-010-1390-1.
21. ElGerges NS. Effects of therapeutic education on self-efficacy, self-care activities and glycemic control of type 2 diabetic patients in a primary healthcare center in Lebanon. *J Diabetes Metab Disord.* 2020;19(2):813–821. doi: 10.1007/s40200-020-00567-4.
22. Jiao FF, Fung CSC, Wong CKH, Wan YF, Dai D, Kwok R, et al. Effects of the multidisciplinary Risk Assessment and Management Program for patients with Diabetes Mellitus (RAMP-DM) on biomedical outcomes, observed cardiovascular events and cardiovascular risks in primary care: a longitudinal comparative study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2014;13:127. doi: 10.1186/s12933-014-0127-6.
23. Agarwal G, Gaber J, Richardson J, Mangin D, Ploeg J, Valaitis R, et al. Pilot randomized controlled trial of a complex intervention for diabetes self-management supported by volunteers, technology, and interprofessional primary health care teams. *Pilot Feasibility Stud.* 2019;27(1):118. doi: 10.1186/s40814-019-0504-8.
24. Fokkens AS, Wieggersma PA, Beltman FW, Reijneveld SA. Structured primary care for type 2 diabetes has positive effects on clinical outcomes. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2011;17(6):1083–1088. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01466.x.



- 
25. Benedict AW, Spence MM, Sie JL, Chin HA, Ngo CD, Salmingo JF, et al. Evaluation of a pharmacist-managed diabetes program in a primary care setting within an integrated health care system. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm.* 2018;24(2):114–122. doi: 10.18553/jmcp.2018.24.2.114.
26. Choi YK, Han JH, Li R, Kung K, Lam A. Implementation of secondary stroke prevention protocol for ischaemic stroke patients in primary care. *Hong Kong Med J.* 2015;21(2):136–142. doi: 10.12809/hkmj144236.
27. Edwards HD, Webb RD, Scheid DC, Britton ML, Armor BL. A pharmacist visit improves diabetes standards in a patient-centered medical home (PCMH) *Am J Med Qual.* 2012;27(6):529–534. doi: 10.1177/1062860612444304.
28. Carter BL, Coffey CS, Ardery G, Uribe L, Ecklund D, James P, et al. Cluster-randomized trial of a physician/pharmacist collaborative model to improve blood pressure control. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2015;8(3):235–243. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.114.001283.
29. Jameson JP, Baty PJ. Pharmacist collaborative management of poorly controlled diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Manag Care.* 2010;16(4):250–255.
30. Carter BL, Ardery G, Dawson JD, James PA, Bergus GR, Doucette WR, et al. Physician and pharmacist collaboration to improve blood pressure control. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;23(21):1996–2002. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.358.
31. Furler J, O’Neal D, Speight J, Manski-Nankervis JA, Gorelik A, Holmes-Truscott E, et al. Supporting insulin initiation in type 2 diabetes in primary care: results of the Stepping Up pragmatic cluster randomised controlled clinical trial. *BMJ.* 2017;356:j783. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j783.
32. Carter BL, Levy B, Gryzlak B, Xu Y, Chrischilles E, Dawson J, et al. Cluster-Randomized Trial to Evaluate a Centralized Clinical Pharmacy Service in Private Family Medicine Offices. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2018;11(6).
33. Weber CA, Ernst ME, Sezate GS, Zheng S, Carter BL. Pharmacist-physician comanagement of hypertension and reduction in 24-hour ambulatory blood pressures. *Arch Intern Med.* 2010;11(18):1634–1639. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2010.349.
34. Boulton C, Reider L, Frey K, Leff B, Boyd CM, Wolff JL, et al. Early effects of "Guided Care" on the quality of health care for multimorbid older persons: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2008;63(3):321–327. doi: 10.1093/gerona/63.3.321.
35. Smith S, Bury G, O’Leary M, Shannon W, Tynan A, Staines A, et al. The North Dublin randomized controlled trial of structured diabetes shared care. *Fam Pract.* 2004;21(1):39–45. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmh109.