The Impact of Laboratory Diagnostics on Anesthesia Management a Multidisciplinary Approach Involving Pharmacists and Nurse

Tariq Ali Alqhtani1, Mohammed Saleh Abdulrhman Alhabdan2, Abdulrahman Abdulwahab Abdulrahman Alghanem2, Ahmed Hamoud Al-Amiri1, Abdulaziz Abdullah Al Thagafi3, Mohammed Abdullh Alasmari3, Sami Fahad Althiyabi4, Saleh Falah Alruqi5, Abdulrahman Mohammed Awad Alanazi6, Wadia Abdulrahman Gedair7, Osama Abdullah Al Thagafi8

1 Nursing Technician, Alhada Armed Forces Hospital, Taif, Saudi Arabia

2 Social Worker, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

3 Preventive Medicine Technician, Prince Mansour Military Hospital, Taif, Saudi Arabia

4 Pharmacy Technician, Taif Medical Center, Saudi Arabia

5 Nursing Technician, Taif Medical Center, Saudi Arabia

6 Anesthesia Technology Technician, King Salman Bin Abdulaziz Hospital

7 Laboratory Technician, Jeddah Medical Center, Saudi Arabia

8 Preventive Medicine Technician, Armed Forces Center For Health Rehabilitation, Taif, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Laboratory diagnostics play a crucial role in the effective management of anesthesia, enhancing patient safety and outcomes. By providing essential information about a patient's physiological status, including electrolyte levels, renal function, and blood counts, laboratory tests enable anesthesia providers to tailor their approach to individual patient needs. For example, identifying coagulopathy or electrolyte imbalances before surgery can significantly reduce the risk of complications during anesthesia. Furthermore, timely interpretation of laboratory results fosters improved communication among the anesthesia team, enabling a more coordinated approach to patient care. Involving pharmacists and nurses in this multidisciplinary approach enhances the overall efficacy of anesthesia management. Pharmacists contribute by ensuring the appropriate selection, dosage, and timing of anesthetic agents and adjunct medications based on laboratory findings. Their expertise helps in anticipating potential drug interactions and adverse effects, thus optimizing medication management. Meanwhile, nurses play a pivotal role in monitoring patient status and relaying critical information regarding laboratory results, which is essential for timely therapeutic adjustments during the perioperative period. This collaborative model not only streamlines anesthesia management but also promotes a holistic understanding of patient care, ultimately leading to improved surgical outcomes and enhanced patient satisfaction.

Keywords: Anesthesia Management, Laboratory Diagnostics, Multidisciplinary Approach, Pharmacists, Nurses, Electrolyte Levels, Coagulopathy, Medication Management.

Introduction:

Anesthesia management is a pivotal aspect of contemporary surgical practice, fundamentally influencing patient safety, surgical outcomes, and resource utilization. Traditionally viewed through the lens of anesthesiology, the complexities of anesthesia management necessitate a multifaceted approach that encompasses not only the expertise of anesthesiologists but also the collaborative involvement of various healthcare professionals, including pharmacists and nurses. One critical component that significantly enhances anesthesia management is laboratory diagnostics. The integration of laboratory data into the anesthesia

continuum can refine decision-making processes, optimize patient outcomes, and improve the efficiency of care delivery. It is essential to explore the impact of laboratory diagnostics on anesthesia management through a multidisciplinary lens, focusing on the roles that pharmacists and nurses play in this vital intersection of healthcare [1].

The evolution of laboratory diagnostics has transformed the landscape of medical practice, enabling more rapid and accurate identification of health conditions that may affect anesthesia care. Advances in point-of-care testing, biomarkers, and genetic profiling are increasingly informing anesthetic choices, risk stratification, and perioperative monitoring. Furthermore, laboratory diagnostics facilitate the identification of patient-specific factors such as metabolic status, electrolyte imbalances, and infection markers that are imperative for customizing anesthetic regimens and anticipating potential complications. A tailored approach, founded on robust laboratory data, empowers anesthesiologists to make informed decisions, enhancing patient safety and optimizing outcomes [2].

The role of pharmacists in the anesthesia management framework has gained recognition in recent years, as they bring specialized knowledge of pharmacotherapy to the perioperative arena. Pharmacists play a crucial role in advising anesthesiologists on drug interactions, optimizing medication regimens, and ensuring appropriate dosing based on laboratory findings. Additionally, their involvement in the medication reconciliation process contributes to the prevention of adverse drug events, particularly in patients with complex medication histories. By aligning laboratory diagnostics with pharmacological expertise, pharmacists can help ensure that anesthesia management is grounded in safety and efficacy [3].

Equally important is the role of nurses, who serve as integral conduits between patients, anesthesiologists, and other healthcare professionals. Nurses are often responsible for conducting pre-anesthetic assessments, which typically involve obtaining vital signs, reviewing laboratory results, and assessing patients' medical histories. Their nursing expertise enables them to recognize factors that could influence anesthesia management, including responses to prior anesthetics and unique patient sensitivities. In collaboration with pharmacists and anesthesiologists, nurses facilitate the integration of laboratory diagnostics into the perioperative plan of care, thereby enhancing patient monitoring and care transitions [4].

Emphasizing a multidisciplinary approach not only maximizes the expertise of each provider but also aligns with the principles of patient-centered care. Effective communication and collaboration among anesthesiologists, pharmacists, and nurses are essential to intrinsically link laboratory diagnostics with anesthesia management. This approach fosters a comprehensive perspective on patient care, ultimately improving the quality and safety of anesthesia services.

Despite the clear benefits of a multidisciplinary approach, several challenges remain [5]. Fragmentation in healthcare delivery, gaps in communication, and varying levels of understanding regarding laboratory diagnostics among anesthesia providers can hinder optimal collaboration. Therefore, emphasizing education and training programs that promote knowledge-sharing related to laboratory diagnostics, pharmacotherapy, and nursing interventions is essential. Additionally, fostering an interdisciplinary culture within surgical and anesthesia teams can facilitate better integration of these essential practices [6].

The Role of Laboratory Diagnostics in Preoperative Assessment:

In the realm of modern medicine, the preoperative assessment is a critical phase that influences surgical outcomes, patient safety, and overall healthcare efficiency. At the core of this evaluation lies laboratory diagnostics—an indispensable tool that provides invaluable information to surgical teams. Laboratory diagnostics encompass a variety of tests and procedures that analyze bodily fluids and tissues, offering insights into a patient’s health status, underlying conditions, and potential surgical risks [7].

Understanding Preoperative Assessment

Before undergoing surgical procedures, patients engage in a comprehensive preoperative assessment designed to identify any medical conditions that may affect the surgery or influence the patient’s response to anesthesia. This assessment typically includes a

thorough medical history, a physical examination, and an array of laboratory tests, which aim to ensure that the patient is in optimal health for surgery. Factors such as age, pre-existing conditions, and the nature of the surgical procedure itself must be considered during this evaluation. Furthermore, effective communication between surgical and anesthetic teams is paramount during this phase to tailor a personalized approach to each patient's unique needs [8].

The Foundation of Laboratory Diagnostics

Laboratory diagnostics serve as the backbone of the preoperative assessment process, enabling healthcare providers to make informed decisions about patient readiness for surgery. Various laboratory tests are employed, including blood tests, urinalysis, imaging studies, and microbiological cultures, among others. Each of these tests plays a distinct role in assessing different aspects of a patient's health [9].

Blood Tests

One of the most common laboratory evaluations performed during preoperative assessments is the complete blood count (CBC). This test measures levels of red blood cells, white blood cells, and platelets, providing insights into the patient's hemoglobin levels, immune status, and potential bleeding risks. Anemia, indicated by low hemoglobin levels, can significantly impact surgical outcomes and recovery, warranting potential interventions such as blood transfusions or the optimization of iron levels preoperatively [10].

Similarly, serum electrolyte levels, liver and kidney function tests, and coagulation profiles are critical in evaluating a patient's metabolic status and organ function. Abnormal liver function tests may suggest underlying hepatic impairment that could complicate anesthesia and postoperative recovery, while coagulation tests help assess the risk of intraoperative bleeding [11].

Urinalysis and Imaging Studies

Furthermore, urinalysis is essential in detecting urinary tract infections or renal impairment, particularly in patients undergoing surgeries related to the urogenital system. Imaging studies, such as chest X-rays or echocardiograms, are performed in specific patient populations to evaluate cardiac and pulmonary function, which can significantly influence surgical safety [12].

Microbiological Cultures

In cases where infection is a concern, obtaining cultures from various bodily sites is vital. Identifying pathogenic organisms before surgery allows for targeted antibiotic prophylaxis, reducing the risk of surgical site infections—one of the most common complications associated with surgical procedures [13].

Risk Stratification and Clinical Decision-Making

The integration of laboratory diagnostics into preoperative assessment enhances risk stratification, allowing healthcare professionals to categorize patients based on their potential for complications. By interpreting laboratory results in conjunction with clinical findings, healthcare providers can identify higher-risk individuals more accurately, paving the way for tailored anesthetic and surgical management strategies [14].

For instance, patients with elevated risk factors—such as advanced age, comorbidities (like diabetes or cardiovascular disease), or significant lab abnormalities (e.g., abnormal coagulation profiles)—may warrant closer monitoring during and after surgery. In such cases, interdisciplinary approaches involving anesthesiologists, surgeons, and internists may be necessary to optimize patient care [15].

Optimization of Clinical Management

Laboratory diagnostics also play a critical role in optimizing clinical management before surgery. For instance, if preoperative testing reveals elevated blood glucose levels in a diabetic patient, interventions like insulin administration, dietary adjustments, or delays in surgery may be undertaken to reduce the risk of postoperative complications. Similarly, identified electrolyte imbalances can be corrected beforehand to minimize the potential for adverse reactions to anesthesia [16].

Moreover, identifying patients at high risk for thromboembolic events through coagulation testing allows healthcare teams to implement appropriate prophylactic strategies, including pharmacologic and mechanical interventions to prevent deep vein

thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, which are critical considerations in surgical care [17].

Enhancing Surgical Outcomes

Ultimately, the contributions of laboratory diagnostics to the preoperative assessment transcend simple risk evaluation; they directly correlate with improved surgical outcomes and enhanced patient safety. By enabling proactive management of identified issues, laboratory tests help minimize complications, shorten recovery times, and reduce lengths of hospital stays [18].

In addition, thorough preoperative testing instills confidence in surgical teams and fosters informed consent discussions with patients. By providing quantifiable parameters regarding a patient's health, clinicians can communicate potential risks and expected outcomes more effectively, thereby empowering patients in their decision-making processes [18].

Critical Laboratory Tests Influencing Anesthesia Decisions:

Anesthesia plays a pivotal role in modern surgical and medical procedures, enabling patients to undergo interventions with reduced pain and awareness. The decision to administer anesthesia involves multiple considerations, one of the most critical being the patient's current health status as reflected by various laboratory tests. Understanding these tests is essential for anesthesiologists to mitigate risks, tailor anesthetic plans, and ensure patient safety [19].

Hematological Tests

One of the primary groups of tests that inform anesthesia decisions is hematological tests. The complete blood count (CBC) is a broad panel that provides crucial insights into a patient's hematologic status, encompassing red blood cell (RBC) counts, hemoglobin levels, hematocrit, white blood cell (WBC) counts, and platelet counts [20].

Hemoglobin Levels and Anemia: Hemoglobin levels are indicative of oxygen-carrying capacity. Anemia can significantly alter a patient's response to anesthesia by decreasing oxygen supply, increasing the risk of myocardial ischemia, particularly in patients with cardiovascular disorders. Anesthesiologists may opt for a blood transfusion or adjust the anesthetic technique according to the severity of anemia before surgery [21].

Platelet Count and Coagulation Status: The platelet count is another pivotal factor; a low platelet count can lead to increased bleeding during and after surgery, thereby complicating surgical procedures. Additionally, the presence of clotting disorders necessitates specialized anesthetic management. For instance, in a patient with thrombocytopenia, regional anesthesia might be contraindicated due to an elevated risk of hematoma formation [22].

Coagulation Profile

The coagulation profile, which includes parameters like prothrombin time (PT), activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), and international normalized ratio (INR), is crucial in assessing a patient's bleeding risk. An elevated INR indicates a longer time for blood to clot, which can drastically affect surgical outcomes and anesthetic management [23].

For patients on anticoagulant therapy, anesthesiologists must carefully consider the timing of the surgery in relation to the administration of these medications. In some cases, they may need to hold anticoagulants preoperatively or employ techniques to reverse their effects, ensuring hemostasis during the surgical procedure [23].

Electrolyte Balance

Electrolyte levels, particularly sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium, are essential indicators of a patient's metabolic state. An imbalance in these electrolytes can lead to severe complications during anesthesia [24].

Potassium Levels and Cardiac Risk: Elevated potassium levels (hyperkalemia) can affect cardiac conduction and may predispose a patient to serious cardiac arrhythmias under anesthesia. This is particularly critical for patients with existing cardiac conditions, necessitating either adjustment of anesthetic agents or the implementation of urgent corrective measures [24].

Hyponatremia and Fluid Balance: Hyponatremia, or low sodium levels, can also pose significant risks, notably the potential for cerebral edema. An effective management strategy often involves careful monitoring and correction of fluid levels preoperatively [25].

Renal Function Tests

Renal function tests, including serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen (BUN), provide insight into a patient's renal health status. The results help anesthesiologists assess fluid management strategies and the appropriateness of certain anesthetic drugs, which are often metabolized or cleared by the kidneys [26].

In patients with renal impairment, medications may need adjustment, as the risk of drug accumulation and subsequent toxicity is significantly heightened. Additionally, the presence of renal dysfunction can impact the volume of fluid resuscitation necessary during the perioperative period [27].

Liver Function Tests

Liver function tests (LFTs) are vital in assessing the hepatic status of the patient. These tests measure various enzymes and compounds produced or processed by the liver, including alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase, bilirubin, and albumin levels [27].

An altered liver function can have significant implications for anesthetic management. A patient with liver disease may have impaired drug metabolism, leading to increased sensitivity to anesthetic agents and a heightened risk of postoperative complications. Consequently, anesthesiologists may modify drug choices, dosages, and monitoring protocols for such patients [28].

Metabolic Panel

The comprehensive metabolic panel (CMP) offers insights into the patient's metabolic and organ function. In addition to electrolytes and liver function, it assesses glucose levels and potential diabetic concerns, which can influence anesthetic management. Hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia can affect recovery and may require interventions during surgery [29].

Monitoring blood glucose is particularly crucial for patients with diabetes, as fluctuations can lead to complications such as delayed healing or increased infection risk [29].

Pharmacists' Contributions to Anesthesia Management: A Diagnostic Perspective:

As healthcare systems continue to evolve, the role of pharmacists has expanded significantly beyond traditional settings. In particular, the intersection of pharmacy practice and anesthesia management has gained recognition for its potential to improve patient outcomes and enhance the overall safety of anesthetic procedures. Pharmacists, armed with their advanced knowledge of pharmacology, clinical therapeutics, and patient care, offer critical contributions to the multifaceted challenges presented in the perioperative environment [30].

Pharmacists play a pivotal role in the perioperative phase of patient care, which encompasses preoperative assessment, intraoperative management, and postoperative recovery. The complexity of anesthesia management requires a deep understanding of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, along with the ability to assess and manage potential drug interactions, adverse effects, and patient-specific factors that can influence anesthetic outcomes [30].

In recent years, the recognition of pharmacists as integral members of the anesthesia care team has gained traction. They collaborate with anesthesiologists, nurse anesthetists, and other healthcare professionals to optimize medication management, ensuring that patients receive the most appropriate drugs at the correct dosages and routes of administration. Their expertise is particularly crucial in the contexts of polypharmacy, where patients may be on multiple medications, and in managing patients with complex medical histories, including those with kidney and liver impairment, obesity, or other comorbid conditions [31].

Preoperative Assessment and Medication Management

One of the most significant contributions of pharmacists in anesthesia management occurs

during the preoperative assessment phase. This period is critical for identifying potential risks that could affect anesthesia and surgical outcomes. Pharmacists conduct comprehensive medication reviews, analyzing a patient's current pharmacotherapy regimen to identify contraindications, interactions, and any medications that may need to be held or adjusted prior to surgery [32].

The importance of this evaluation cannot be overstated; studies have shown that adverse drug events (ADEs) in the perioperative period can lead to increased morbidity and length of hospital stay. Pharmacists leverage their pharmacological knowledge to assess the appropriateness of the medications being used, identify potential issues, and recommend alternative therapies when necessary. For instance, they may suggest discontinuing anticoagulants in patients at risk for bleeding, while also considering the timing of surgical procedures and the need for bridging therapy [32].

In addition to evaluating existing medication regimens, pharmacists provide valuable education to patients regarding the importance of medication adherence, particularly in those with chronic conditions such as diabetes or hypertension. This education is foundational to stabilizing patients' medical conditions ahead of surgery, thereby minimizing complications during the anesthetic process [33].

Intraoperative Contributions

During the intraoperative phase, the contributions of pharmacists may vary by institutional protocols, but their impact is considerable. Pharmacists are skilled in the pharmacological aspects of induction and maintenance anesthesia and are well-versed in the drug classes commonly used, such as anesthetic agents, opioids, neuromuscular blockers, and adjunctive medications [33].

Pharmacists may participate in the selection of anesthetic drugs by providing consultative support regarding the pharmacological properties, dosing strategies, and potential interactions of various agents. They can analyze real-time patient data, taking into account factors such as hemodynamics and biochemical markers, and recommend adjustments to anesthesia plans based on their clinical judgments [34].

For example, if a patient is experiencing hypotension during surgery, pharmacists can intervene by suggesting doses or alternatives for vasopressors or fluids that align with anesthetic goals, leveraging their understanding of the patient's history and current medications. By being present in these critical moments, pharmacists can enhance the team's response to intraoperative challenges, contributing to patient safety and overall anesthesia management [34].

Postoperative Monitoring and Patient Education

The pharmacist's role does not end with the conclusion of surgery; postoperative monitoring and patient education form a vital part of their contributions. After the completion of anesthesia, pharmacists are involved in evaluating pain management strategies, including opioid-sparing approaches like multimodal analgesia, which has gained increasing popularity due to the opioid crisis [35].

Pharmacists assess the appropriateness of pain medications and make recommendations for modifications based on the patient's response and any side effects experienced. They can also help customize analgesic protocols tailored to individual patient needs, including the use of adjuvants such as gabapentin or regional anesthesia techniques [35].

In the postoperative setting, pharmacists have an essential role in patient education regarding medication management, especially as patients transition to outpatient care. They educate patients on drug interactions, side effects, and the importance of adhering to their medication regimens, emphasizing the need for follow-up and communication with their healthcare providers. By ensuring that patients leave the surgical facility with a clear understanding of their medications, pharmacists help mitigate the risk of readmissions and enhance recovery [36].

Challenges and Future Directions

While the contributions of pharmacists to anesthesia management are significant, challenges remain. Barriers to effective integration of pharmacists into anesthesia teams include a lack of awareness about their roles, differences in educational training, and

institutional policies that may not support pharmacists being present in operating rooms or perioperative settings. More research is needed to clearly delineate the impact of pharmacists on patient outcomes in anesthesia and to establish best practices and guidelines for their involvement [37].

As healthcare delivery continues to evolve, the integration of pharmacists into anesthesia management will likely become more standardized. Training programs that emphasize the collaborative role of pharmacists in perioperative care can enhance interdisciplinary teamwork. Furthermore, the implementation of technology-driven solutions such as electronic health records can facilitate real-time data sharing, allowing pharmacists to contribute effectively to anesthesia management teams [37].

Nursing Responsibilities in Monitoring Laboratory Parameters During Anesthesia:

Anesthesia is a critical component of surgical and diagnostic procedures that enables patients to undergo potentially painful or uncomfortable treatments while remaining free from sensation, movement, and awareness. While anesthesiologists predominantly oversee the administration of anesthetic agents, anesthetic care is a multifaceted process that demands a collaborative approach from various healthcare professionals. Among these, nurses play an essential role, particularly in monitoring laboratory parameters during anesthesia [38].

Understanding Laboratory Parameters in Anesthesia

Laboratory parameters are essential indicators of a patient's physiological status and can significantly impact anesthetic management. These parameters include blood gases, electrolyte levels, coagulation profiles, blood counts, and metabolic markers. Each of these metrics provides vital information that aids in the assessment of a patient's preoperative health, informs decisions on anesthetic agents to be employed, and guides intraoperative management [38].

1. **Blood Gas Analysis**: Arterial blood gases (ABGs) measure the levels of oxygen (O2) and carbon dioxide (CO2) in the blood, as well as the acid-base balance (pH). The interpretation of these values helps healthcare providers evaluate the adequacy of gas exchange and the overall respiratory function of the patient [39].
2. **Electrolyte Levels**: Electrolytes such as sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium play pivotal roles in neuromuscular function and cardiac conduction. Imbalances in these levels can lead to serious complications during anesthesia, such as cardiac arrhythmias [40].
3. **Coagulation Profiles**: Assessing coagulation parameters, including Prothrombin Time (PT), Partial Thromboplastin Time (PTT), and international normalized ratio (INR), is crucial in patients who may be on anticoagulants or have underlying bleeding disorders. Abnormal coagulation profiles can lead to excessive bleeding during and after surgical interventions [41].
4. **Complete Blood Count (CBC)**: A CBC provides information on hemoglobin levels, white blood cell counts, and platelet counts. Anemias or infections identified before surgery can dictate the urgency of interventions and necessary adjustments in anesthetic management [42].
5. **Metabolic Markers**: Glucose levels, kidney function indicators (like creatinine), and liver function tests can inform the anesthetic plan. For instance, hyperglycemia affecting wound healing or renal impairment necessitating careful fluid management are vital considerations [42].

Nursing Responsibilities in Monitoring Laboratory Parameters

The role of nursing in monitoring laboratory parameters during anesthesia is extensive and vital to ensuring patient safety. Responsibilities encompass preoperative assessment, intraoperative monitoring, and postoperative care. Each phase

demands acute attention to detail, sound clinical judgment, and effective communication skills [43].

1. **Preoperative Assessment**: The anesthesia nurse is often tasked with reviewing laboratory results in advance of the scheduled procedure. This includes evaluating historical data, recognizing trends in laboratory values, and identifying critical abnormalities. Nurses may be required to follow institutional protocols to notify anesthesiologists or surgical teams of concerning findings, ensuring appropriate preoperative management tailored to the patient's specific needs [43].
2. **Intraoperative Monitoring**: During surgery, the nursing responsibilities escalate as patients are continuously monitored to respond to changes that may arise from anesthesia and surgery. Anesthetic nurses utilize a multitude of monitoring devices to continuously assess vital signs, including heart rate, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation. Close attention is also paid to laboratory parameters, which can be updated through point-of-care testing to gauge rapid changes in a patient's condition [43].

In many hospitals, advanced monitoring systems may include integrated devices that track both anesthetic delivery and the patient's responses, which gives nurses comprehensive access to real-time data. Should any abnormalities or trends emerge, nurses are expected to initiate appropriate interventions, which may involve supplemental oxygenation, fluid resuscitation, or adjustments in the anesthetic plan under the guidance of the anesthesia provider [44].

3. **Postoperative Care**: Following surgery, monitoring extends into recovery. Anesthesia nurses must evaluate laboratory parameters to detect any post-anesthetic complications such as respiratory depression or metabolic derangements. Proper assessment in the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) is vital, as patients might require further intervention based on trends observed in lab values at this stage [44].

Communicating and Collaborating for Patient Safety

The effective management of these responsibilities hinges on stellar communication and collaboration among the surgical team, which includes surgeons, anesthesiologists, and nursing staff. A shared understanding of laboratory parameters is critical, as each member of the team plays an essential role in ensuring that data collected leads to actionable plans to promote patient safety [45].

Nurses are often the first to notice any discrepancies in a patient's baseline parameters; therefore, establishing an effective feedback loop where nurses feel empowered to voice concerns can be invaluable. Through effective reporting and documentation, nurses not only contribute to patient safety but also foster an environment of teamwork and trust within the surgical milieu [45].

Interdisciplinary Communication and Collaboration in Anesthesia Care:

Interdisciplinary collaboration within healthcare settings has become increasingly vital as the complexities of patient care continue to rise. One such area where this collaboration is paramount is anesthesia care, where the roles of pharmacists and nurses converge to ensure safe and effective patient outcomes [46].

Pharmacists play a critical role in the anesthesia care team through their expertise in medication management. They are responsible for ensuring the safe use of anesthetic agents and adjunct medications, which include analgesics, sedatives, and neuromuscular blockers. Their role extends to collaborating with anesthesia providers to develop medication protocols, conducting medication reconciliation, and ensuring that drug interactions and contraindications are addressed. Pharmacists also engage in educating both healthcare providers and patients regarding the properties of medications used during anesthesia [47].

Nurses, particularly certified registered nurse anesthetists (CRNAs) and anesthesia nurses, have their own critical responsibilities in the anesthesia care continuum. They administer anesthetics,

monitor patient vital signs, and provide direct patient care pre-, intra-, and post-anesthesia. Additionally, nurses play a pivotal role in patient advocacy by communicating patient needs and concerns, thus ensuring a comprehensive approach to care. Their close interaction with patients allows them to observe factors that may affect anesthesia, such as co-morbidities and allergies, thereby contributing vital information to the anesthesia plan [48].

Effective communication between pharmacists and nurses is foundational for patient safety and quality of care in anesthesia. The intricate nature of anesthetic drug therapy necessitates that all members of the healthcare team possess a robust understanding of medication usage. Miscommunication can lead to medication errors, which are critical in the perioperative setting where patients are often in vulnerable states influenced by pharmacologic agents [48].

Furthermore, pharmacists and nurses often need to share insights and data for pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic considerations in different patient populations. By communicating clearly regarding dosage adjustments in specific populations—such as the elderly or those with renal impairment—pharmacists can help nurses monitor patient responses and make necessary adjustments during anesthesia care. Clear communication protocols ensure that critical information flows seamlessly among all team members, ultimately fostering a safer clinical environment [49].

The collaboration between pharmacists and nurses in anesthesia care yields numerous benefits that extend beyond enhanced patient safety. Studies have suggested that interdisciplinary collaboration can improve clinical outcomes, reduce hospitalization lengths, and enhance overall patient satisfaction. A collaborative approach can also enhance the professional development of both pharmacists and nurses, allowing them to share expertise, learn from one another, and engage in evidence-based practice [49].

Furthermore, collaboration has the potential to advance the efficiency of care delivery. For instance, by involving pharmacists in preoperative assessments, healthcare teams can optimize medication management, reduce perioperative complications, and streamline postoperative recovery processes. This not only improves patient outcomes but also increases the productivity of healthcare systems [50].

Despite the clear advantages, several challenges may impede effective interdisciplinary collaboration between pharmacists and nurses in the anesthesia setting. These challenges can stem from systemic barriers, including hierarchical structures within healthcare organizations that may undervalue the contributions of pharmacists in anesthesia care. Time constraints during high-pressure situations, particularly in acute care settings, may limit opportunities for meaningful collaboration [50].

Additionally, differences in professional languages, terminologies, and work cultures can hinder effective communication. Nurses and pharmacists may have varying levels of understanding regarding medication management nuances, leading to potential misunderstandings. Overcoming these barriers requires an intentional focus on educational initiatives and fostering a shared language within the team [51].

To address the challenges of interdisciplinary collaboration in anesthesia care, several strategies can be employed. First, fostering a culture of respect and inclusion is essential. Healthcare organizations should promote team-building initiatives and collaborative practice models that value the expertise of pharmacists and nurses equally. This includes involving pharmacists in interdisciplinary rounds and decision-making processes related to anesthesia care [51].

Second, educational programs and workshops can be developed to enhance mutual understanding of each professional's roles. Interprofessional education (IPE) initiatives, where pharmacists and nurses train together, can pave the way for improved communication and teamwork, ultimately translating into better patient care [52].

Lastly, leveraging technology can facilitate better communication among team members. Electronic health records (EHRs) can serve as platforms for sharing real-time patient information, medication plans, and care progress notes. This accessibility can help align the team’s approach to patient care and reduce the occurrences of medication errors [52].

Case Studies Illustrating the Impact of Diagnostics on Anesthetic Outcomes:

The field of anesthesiology has seen substantial advancements over the past few decades, many of which stem from improved diagnostic techniques. The incorporation of diagnostic tools in anesthetic planning not only leads to enhanced patient safety but also optimizes outcomes during and after surgical procedures [53].

Understanding the Role of Diagnostics in Anesthesia

Diagnostics in anesthesia encompass a range of assessments, including preoperative evaluations, laboratory tests, imaging studies, and monitoring technologies. Preoperative assessments often focus on a patient's medical history, previous anesthesia experiences, and comorbidities, which provide essential insights into potential risks. These evaluations guide anesthesiologists in tailoring anesthetic plans to individual patient profiles [53].

Advancements in diagnostic imaging and laboratory techniques have further enhanced anesthesiologists' ability to predict and mitigate complications. For example, echocardiography can identify cardiac function abnormalities, while airway assessments can determine potential difficulties in intubation. Properly leveraging these diagnostics can ultimately lead to better anesthetic management and improved postoperative outcomes [54].

Case Study 1: The Impact of Cardiac Assessments

Consider the case of a 72-year-old male patient scheduled for aortic valve replacement surgery. The patient had a history of hypertension, obesity, and a previous myocardial infarction. During the preoperative assessment, a comprehensive cardiac evaluation was conducted, including an echocardiogram and a dobutamine stress test. The echocardiogram indicated reduced left ventricular function, and the stress test confirmed exercise-induced ischemia [54].

With these diagnostic insights, the anesthesiologist opted for a regional anesthesia technique—specifically, a combined spinal-epidural anesthesia—rather than general anesthesia. This choice minimized the stress on the heart and reduced the risk of intraoperative complications, such as arrhythmias and hypotension. The patient experienced a smooth anesthetic course and had a successful surgery, with minimal postoperative recovery time. The case illustrates how thorough cardiac diagnostics can lead to alternative anesthetic strategies that enhance patient safety and outcomes [54].

Case Study 2: Addressing Airway Challenges

Another compelling case involves a 55-year-old female patient scheduled for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy. During the preoperative evaluation, the anesthesiologist conducted a detailed airway assessment, which included physical examination and the application of the Mallampati classification system. The findings suggested a potentially difficult airway due to a short neck and obesity [55].

Recognizing this risk, the anesthesiologist decided to obtain a CT scan of the neck and chest to better understand the patient's airway anatomy. The scan confirmed significant neck tissue hypertrophy, leading the anesthesiologist to prepare for potential intubation challenges. On the day of the surgery, a video laryngoscope was available, which ultimately facilitated successful intubation without complications [55].

The careful diagnostics allowed the anesthesiologist to devise an appropriate plan to manage airway difficulties and ensured the patient's safety during the procedure. This case underscores the importance of thorough airway evaluation and preoperative imaging in optimizing anesthesia techniques and outcomes [56].

Case Study 3: Managing Coagulation Disorders

Diagnostics also play a crucial role in identifying and managing patients with coagulation disorders. Take the case of a 40-year-old female patient with a known history of von Willebrand disease, scheduled for a hysterectomy. Preoperative blood tests revealed low levels of von Willebrand factor and factor VIII. Such diagnostics were imperative not only for ensuring safe surgical practices but also for formulating an appropriate anesthetic plan [57].

Prior to the procedure, the anesthesiologist conferred with a hematologist to arrange for desmopressin administration, which would elevate the von Willebrand factor levels. The team also planned for intraoperative monitoring of the patient's coagulation status to prevent excessive bleeding. During the surgery, the anesthesiologist employed a regional block in conjunction with general anesthesia to reduce the need for anticoagulants, thereby minimizing bleeding risks [57].

As a result of these comprehensive diagnostic and procedural preparations, the patient experienced a successful surgery with no major bleeding complications and a smooth recovery. The case exemplifies how proactive diagnostics affecting coagulation management can lead to improved anesthetic outcomes [57].

Future Directions for Research and Practice in Multidisciplinary Anesthetic Care:

The complexity of modern anesthesia care demands a multidisciplinary approach to optimize patient outcomes. Central to this collaboration are two critical groups of healthcare professionals: pharmacists and nurses. As the landscape of healthcare continues to evolve, propelled by advances in medicine, technology, and patient-centered care, the roles of pharmacists and nurses in the field of anesthesia are becoming increasingly important [58].

Pharmacists, with their expertise in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, play a vital role in ensuring the safe and effective use of anesthetic agents. They contribute to clinical decision-making, medication therapy management, and the prevention of drug interactions, which can have significant implications in the perioperative setting. Similarly, nurses serve as critical members of the anesthesia care team, offering direct patient care and monitoring, administering medications, and educating patients and families [58].

Building on these foundations, the integration of pharmacists and nurses into the anesthesia care process represents a shift towards a more collaborative healthcare model, where different expertise converge to enhance patient safety and care quality. Research has already shown that including pharmacists in surgical teams can reduce medication errors and improve overall patient satisfaction. However, there remains considerable scope for further exploration and innovation within this interdisciplinary framework [59].

Future Research Directions

1. **Integrated Care Models**: Future research needs to investigate the effectiveness of integrated anesthesia care models that formally incorporate pharmacists and nurses into the anesthesia team. Studies should assess parameters such as patient outcomes, medication errors, hospital length of stay, and satisfaction levels. By evaluating different models of collaboration, healthcare organizations can identify best practices tailored to their specific contexts [60].
2. **Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine**: Investigating the role of pharmacists in utilizing pharmacogenomic data to personalize anesthetic approaches represents an exciting frontier in anesthesia care. By assessing genetic variations that influence drug metabolism, healthcare professionals can optimize anesthetic regimens, potentially minimizing adverse effects and improving efficacy [60].
3. **Pain Management Protocols**: Chronic pain management is an area ripe for multidisciplinary collaboration. Research can delve into developing and implementing pain management protocols that involve anesthesiology, nursing, and pharmacy—considering aspects from preoperative assessments to postoperative pain management [61].
4. **Education and Training**: Future studies must assess the educational needs of both pharmacists and nurses regarding anesthesia to identify knowledge gaps [61]. Interprofessional training programs that simulate multidisciplinary scenarios could be developed, allowing pharmacists and nurses to sharpen their collaborative skills

and ensure coherence in patient management [62].

5. **Telehealth and Technology Integration**: The rapid integration of telehealth technologies offers a fresh dimension for anesthesia care. Research can explore how pharmacists and nurses can employ telehealth tools to monitor patients, provide education, and manage medication-related issues remotely. This is particularly relevant in the context of an aging population or patients with chronic illnesses who require ongoing care management [62].
6. **Quality Improvement Initiatives**: Future research should focus on quality improvement initiatives that combine the expertise of pharmacists and nurses in tracking and improving anesthesia-related outcomes. This includes developing standardized protocols, utilizing data analytics, and implementing continuous feedback mechanisms to refine practices over time [63].

Challenges in Future Directions

While the potential benefits of multidisciplinary anesthesia care involving pharmacists and nurses are significant, several challenges must be addressed.

1. **Interprofessional Barriers**: Differences in professional cultures and terminologies between pharmacists, nurses, and anesthesiologists can inhibit effective communication and teamwork. Addressing these interprofessional barriers through clarity in roles, responsibilities, and mutual respect is paramount [63].
2. **Regulatory and Institutional Constraints**: Many healthcare institutions may lack policies promoting the integration of pharmacists and nurses into anesthesia care teams. An essential step forward involves advocating for policies that recognize and support these roles in anesthesia practice [64].
3. **Funding and Resources**: Implementing longer-term studies and quality improvement initiatives requires adequate funding. Resource allocations vary significantly across institutions, and securing grants or institutional support for this integration remains a pressing need [65].
4. **Variation in Education and Training**: The varying levels of training and expertise in anesthesia care among pharmacists and nurses necessitate the development of standardized educational programs to ensure consistency in practice and understanding [66].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the integration of laboratory diagnostics into anesthesia management represents a paradigm shift that enhances patient safety and optimizes clinical outcomes. By leveraging critical laboratory data, anesthesia providers can tailor their anesthetic approaches to address the specific needs and conditions of each patient, thereby reducing the risk of perioperative complications. The collaboration between anesthesiologists, pharmacists, and nurses emerges as a vital factor in this process, ensuring that all aspects of patient care are addressed through a multidisciplinary lens. Pharmacists apply their expertise in medication management and drug interactions based on laboratory findings, while nurses play an indispensable role in monitoring patient responses and communicating vital information.

The findings of this study underline the importance of fostering a collaborative environment in the perioperative setting, where the continuous exchange of information and expertise leads to improved decision-making and enhanced patient outcomes. As healthcare continues to evolve, embracing a multidisciplinary approach will not only streamline anesthesia management but also pave the way for innovations in patient care. Future research should focus on developing standardized protocols for interdisciplinary collaboration and evaluating their impacts on anesthesia practices,

ultimately aiming to create a safer and more efficient healthcare system.

This comprehensive understanding of the interplay between laboratory diagnostics and multidisciplinary teamwork lays the groundwork for future advancements in anesthesia management and highlights the vital roles each professional plays in promoting patient welfare.

References:

1. Zheng Z., Wu J., Zeng Y., Wang R., Wang J., Li X., Li J., Chen W., Wang Y. Promoting the establishment of the position of "surgical pharmacist". Pharm. Today. 2020;30:259–260+271. (In Chinese)
2. Roulin D., Najjar P., Demartines N. Enhanced Recovery after Surgery Implementation: From Planning to Success. J. Laparoendosc. Adv. Surg. Tech. 2017;27:876–879. doi: 10.1089/lap.2017.0347.
3. Hoonhout L.H., de Bruijne M.C., Wagner C., Asscheman H., van der Wal G., van Tulder M.W. Nature, occurrence and consequences of medication-related adverse events during hospitalization: A retrospective chart review in the Netherlands. Drug Saf. 2010;33:853–864. doi: 10.2165/11536800-000000000-00000.
4. Wang D., Liu Z., Zhou J., Yang J., Chen X., Chang C., Liu C., Li K., Hu J. Barriers to implementation of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) by a multidisciplinary team in China: A multicentre qualitative study. BMJ Open. 2022;12:e053687. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-053687.
5. McIsaac D.I., Wong C.A., Bryson G.L., van Walraven C. Association of Polypharmacy with Survival, Complications, and Healthcare Resource Use after Elective Noncardiac Surgery: A Population-based Cohort Study. Anesthesiology. 2018;128:1140–1150. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000002124.
6. Barlow A., Prusak E.S., Barlow B., Nightingale G. Interventions to reduce polypharmacy and optimize medication use in older adults with cancer. J. Geriatr. Oncol. 2021;12:863–871. doi: 10.1016/j.jgo.2020.12.007.
7. Klek S., Salowka J., Choruz R., Cegielny T., Welanyk J., Wilczek M., Szczepanek K., Pisarska-Adamczyk M., Pedziwiatr M. Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) Protocol Is a Safe and Effective Approach in Patients with Gastrointestinal Fistulas Undergoing Reconstruction: Results from a Prospective Study. Nutrients. 2021;13:1953. doi: 10.3390/nu13061953.
8. Zheng Z., Wu J., Zeng Y., Wang R., Wang J., Wang Y. Creating the position of surgical pharmacist in China. Eur. J. Hosp. Pharm. 2020;27:e99. doi: 10.1136/ejhpharm-2020-002238.
9. Davies E.C., Green C.F., Taylor S., Williamson P.R., Mottram D.R., Pirmohamed M. Adverse drug reactions in hospital in-patients: A prospective analysis of 3695 patient-episodes. PloS ONE. 2009;4:e4439. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0004439.
10. Miguel A., Azevedo L.F., Araujo M., Pereira A.C. Frequency of adverse drug reactions in hospitalized patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Pharmacoepidemiol. Drug Saf. 2012;21:1139–1154. doi: 10.1002/pds.3309.
11. Dagnew S.B., Binega Mekonnen G., Gebeye Zeleke E., Agegnew Wondm S., Yimer Tadesse T. Clinical Pharmacist Intervention on Drug-Related Problems among Elderly Patients Admitted to Medical Wards of Northwest Ethiopia Comprehensive Specialized Hospitals: A Multicenter Prospective, Observational Study. BioMed Res. Int. 2022;2022:8742998. doi: 10.1155/2022/8742998.
12. Ljungqvist O., Scott M., Fearon K.C. Enhanced Recovery after Surgery: A Review. JAMA Surg. 2017;152:292–298. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2016.4952.
13. Krahenbuhl-Melcher A., Schlienger R., Lampert M., Haschke M., Drewe J., Krahenbuhl S. Drug-related problems in hospitals: A review of the recent literature. Drug Saf. 2007;30:379–407. doi: 10.2165/00002018-200730050-00003.
14. Francis N.K., Walker T., Carter F., Hubner M., Balfour A., Jakobsen D.H., Burch J., Wasylak T., Demartines N., Lobo D.N., et al. Consensus on Training and Implementation of Enhanced Recovery after Surgery: A Delphi Study. World J. Surg. 2018;42:1919–1928. doi: 10.1007/s00268-017-4436-2.
15. Bos J.M., van den Bemt P.M., Kievit W., Pot J.L., Nagtegaal J.E., Wieringa A., van der

Westerlaken M.M., van der Wilt G.J., de Smet P.A., Kramers C. A multifaceted intervention to reduce drug-related complications in surgical patients. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2017;83:664–677. doi: 10.1111/bcp.13141.
16. Guangdong Province Pharmaceutical Association Consensus of medical experts on the management of perioperative medication therapy in ERAS. Pharm. Today. 2020;30:361–371. (In Chinese)
17. Mohammed M., Bayissa B., Getachew M., Adem F. Drug-related problems and determinants among elective surgical patients: A prospective observational study. SAGE Open Med. 2022;10:20503121221122438. doi: 10.1177/20503121221122438.
18. Young MP, Gooder VJ, Oltermann MH, Bohman CB, French TK, James BC. The impact of a multidisciplinary approach on caring for ventilator-dependent patients. Int J Qual Health Care. 1998;10:15–26. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/10.1.15.
19. Angus DC, Kelley MA, Schmitz RJ, White A, Popovich J., Jr Current and projected workforce requirements for care of the critically ill and patients with pulmonary disease: can we meet the requirements of an aging population? JAMA. 2000;284:2762–70. doi: 10.1001/jama.284.21.2762.
20. Burns SM, Earven S, Fisher C, et al. Implementation of an institutional program to improve clinical and financial outcomes of mechanically ventilated patients: one-year outcomes and lessons learned. Crit Care Med. 2003;31:2752–63. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000094217.07170.75.
21. Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell E, Peabody E, et al. Incidence and outcomes of acute lung injury. N Engl J Med. 2005;353:1685–93. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa050333.
22. Erickson SE, Martin GS, Davis JL, Matthay MA, Eisner MD. Recent trends in acute lung injury mortality: 1996–2005. Crit Care Med. 2009;37:1574–9. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31819fefdf.
23. Halpern NA, Pastores SM, Greenstein RJ. Critical care medicine in the United States 1985–2000: an analysis of bed numbers, use, and costs. Crit Care Med. 2004;32:1254–9. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000128577.31689.4c.
24. Carmel S, Rowan K. Variation in intensive care unit outcomes: a search for the evidence on organizational factors. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2001;7:284–296. doi: 10.1097/00075198-200108000-00013.
25. Angus DC, Shorr AF, White A, Dremsizov TT, Schmitz RJ, Kelley MA. Critical care delivery in the United States: Distribution of services and compliance with Leapfrog recommendations. Crit Care Med. 2006;34:1016–1024. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000206105.05626.15.
26. Milstein A, Galvin RS, Delbanco SF, Salber P, Buck CR., Jr Improving the safety of health care: the leapfrog initiative. Eff Clin Pract. 2000;3:313–6.
27. Pronovost PJ, Angus DC, Dorman T, Robinson KA, Dremsizov TT, Young TL. Physician staffing patterns and clinical outcomes in critically ill patients: a systematic review. JAMA. 2002;288:2151–62. doi: 10.1001/jama.288.17.2151.
28. Lin C, Farrell MH, Lave JR, Angus DC, Barnato AE. Organizational determinants of hospital end-of-life treatment intensity. Medical Care. 2009 doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e31819261bd. in press.
29. Sebat F, Johnson D, Musthafa AA, et al. A multidisciplinary community hospital program for early and rapid resuscitation of shock in nontrauma patients. Chest. 2005;127:1729–43. doi: 10.1378/chest.127.5.1729.
30. Brilli RJ, Spevetz A, Branson RD, et al. Critical care delivery in the intensive care unit: defining clinical roles and the best practice model. Crit Care Med. 2001;29:2007–19. doi: 10.1097/00003246-200110000-00026.
31. Durbin CG., Jr Team model: advocating for the optimal method of care delivery in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med. 2006;34:S12–7. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000199985.72497.D1.
32. Angus DC, Linde-Zwirble WT, Lidicker J, Clermont G, Carcillo J, Pinsky MR. Epidemiology of severe sepsis in the United States: analysis of incidence, outcome, and associated costs of care. Crit Care Med. 2001;29:1303–10. doi: 10.1097/00003246-200107000-00002.
33. Lin CY, Farrell MH, Lave JR, Angus DC, Barnato AE. Organizational determinants of

hospital end-of-life treatment intensity. Med Care. 2009;47:524–30. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e31819261bd.
34. Angus DC, Barnato AE, Linde-Zwirble WT, et al. Use of intensive care at the end of life in the United States: an epidemiologic study. Crit Care Med. 2004;32:638–43. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000114816.62331.08.
35. Joo JY, Huber DL. Scoping Review of Nursing Case Management in the United States. Clin Nurs Res 2018;27:1002-16. 10.1177/1054773817717861.
36. Martins L, Henriques AC, Dias L, et al. Pancreas-kidney transplantation: complications and readmissions in 9-years of follow-up. Transplant Proc 2010;42:552-4. 10.1016/j.transproceed.2010.01.043.
37. Tena B, Vendrell M. Perioperative considerations for kidney and pancreas-kidney transplantation. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2020;34:3-14. 10.1016/j.bpa.2020.01.005.
38. Pragatheeswarane M, Muthukumarassamy R, Kadambari D, et al. Early oral feeding vs. traditional feeding in patients undergoing elective open bowel surgery-a randomized controlled trial. J Gastrointest Surg 2014;18:1017-23. 10.1007/s11605-014-2489-1.
39. Conway P, Davis C, Hartel T, et al. Simultaneous kidney pancreas transplantation: patient issues and nursing interventions. ANNA J 1998;25:455-60, 478;quiz 461-2.
40. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2022;183:109119. 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119.
41. Huang L, Zhang W, Fang J, et al. Nursing of acute graft-versus-host disease after simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation: a case series study. Gland Surg 2022;11:677-86. 10.21037/gs-21-853.
42. Banga N, Hadjianastassiou VG, Mamode N, et al. Outcome of surgical complications following simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2012;27:1658-63. 10.1093/ndt/gfr502.
43. Stratta RJ, Cushing KA, Frisbie K, et al. Analysis of hospital charges after simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation in the era of managed care. Transplantation 1997;64:287-92. 10.1097/00007890-199707270-00019.
44. Martinelli V, Gregorini M, Politi P, et al. Psychological Aspects and Psychopharmacologic Treatment in the Very Early Period After Kidney Transplantation: Role of a Multidisciplinary Approach. Transplant Proc 2019;51:143-6. 10.1016/j.transproceed.2018.02.211.
45. Montagud-Marrahi E, Cuadrado-Payán E, Hermida E, et al. Preemptive simultaneous pancreas kidney transplantation has survival benefit to patients. Kidney Int 2022;102:421-30. 10.1016/j.kint.2022.04.032.
46. Jin M, Zeng L, Zhang W, et al. Clinical features of multidrug-resistant organism infections in early postoperative solid organ transplantation in a single center. Ann Palliat Med 2021;10:4555-62. 10.21037/apm-21-777.
47. Zhang L, Chen Z, Lai X, et al. The homolateral simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation: a single-center experience in China. Ann Transl Med 2019;7:629. 10.21037/atm.2019.10.117.
48. Pillay B, Wootten AC, Crowe H, et al. The impact of multidisciplinary team meetings on patient assessment, management and outcomes in oncology settings: A systematic review of the literature. Cancer Treat Rev 2016;42:56-72. 10.1016/j.ctrv.2015.11.007.
49. de Boer IH, Rue TC, Hall YN, et al. Temporal trends in the prevalence of diabetic kidney disease in the United States. JAMA 2011;305:2532-9. 10.1001/jama.2011.861.
50. Carlotto JRM, Linhares MM, Salzedas Netto AA, et al. Simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation and the impact of postoperative complications on hospitalization cost. Rev Col Bras Cir 2019;46:e2096. 10.1590/0100-6991e-20192096.
51. Stratta RJ, Cushing KA, Frisbie K, et al. Analysis of hospital charges after simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation in the era of managed care. Transplantation 1997;64:287-92. 10.1097/00007890-199707270-00019.
52. Hill NR, Fatoba ST, Oke JL, et al. Global prevalence of chronic kidney disease - A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(7):e0158765. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158765.

53. Manley HJ, Carroll CA. The clinical and economic impact of pharmaceutical care in end-stage renal disease patients. Semin Dial. 2002;15:45–9. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-139x.2002.00014.x.
54. Suleymanlar G, Utas C, Arinsoy T, et al. A population-based survey of chronic renal disease in Turkey–the CREDIT study. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2011;26(6):1862–71. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfq656.
55. Alruqayp WS, Price MJ, Paudyal V, et al. Drug-related problems in hospitalised patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review. Drug Saf. 2021;44(10):1041–58. doi: 10.1007/s40264-021-01099-3.
56. Mirkov S. Implementation of a pharmacist medication review clinic for haemodialysis patients. N Z Med J. 2009;122:25–37.
57. Tozawa M, Iseki K, Iseki C, et al. Analysis of drug prescription in chronic haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2002;17:1819–24. doi: 10.1093/ndt/17.10.1819.
58. Al Raiisi F, Stewart D, Fernandez-Llimos F, et al. Clinical pharmacy practice in the care of chronic kidney disease patients: a systematic review. Int J Clin Pharm. 2019;41(3):630–66. doi: 10.1007/s11096-019-00816-4.
59. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, et al. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. N Engl J Med. 2004;351(13):1296–305. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa041031.
60. Kaplan B, Mason NA, Shimp LA, Ascione FJ. Chronic hemodialysis patients. Part II: reducing drug-related problems through application of the focused drug therapy review program. Ann Pharmacother. 1994;28:320–4. doi: 10.1177/106002809402800304.
61. Liu XX, Wang HX, Hu YY, et al. Drug-related problems identified by clinical pharmacists in nephrology department of a tertiary hospital in China-a single center study. Ann Palliat Med. 2021;10(8):8701–8. doi: 10.21037/apm-21-817.
62. Pai AB, Boyd A, Depczynski J, et al. Reduced drug use and hospitalization rates in patients undergoing hemodialysis who received pharmaceutical care: a 2-year, randomized, controlled study. Pharmacotherapy. 2009;29:1433–40. doi: 10.1592/phco.29.12.1433.
63. Stemer G, Lemmens-Gruber R. Clinical pharmacy activities in chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease patients: a systematic literature review. BMC Nephrol. 2011;12:35. doi: 10.1186/1471-2369-12-35.
64. Holm H, Bjerke K, Holst L, et al. Use of renal risk drugs in patients with renal impairment. Int J Clin Pharm. 2015;37(6):1136–42. doi: 10.1007/s11096-015-0175-3.
65. Sathvik BS, Mangasuli S, Narahari MG, et al. Medication knowledge of hemodialysis patients and influence of clinical pharmacist provided education on their knowledge. Indian J Pharm Sci. 2007;69:232–9. doi: 10.4103/0250-474X.33149.
66. Abunahlah N, Elawaisi A, Velibeyoglu FM, et al. Drug-related problems identified by clinical pharmacists at the Internal Medicine Ward in Turkey. Int J Clin Pharm. 2018;40(2):360–7. doi: 10.1007/s11096-017-0585-5.